Administration Size Standards define “small entities” as for-profit or nonprofit institutions with total annual revenue below $7,000,000 or, if they are institutions controlled by small governmental jurisdictions (that are comprised of cities, counties, towns, townships, villages, school districts, or special districts), with a population of less than 50,000.

The small entities that this proposed regulatory action would affect are public or private nonprofit agencies and organizations, including Indian Tribes and IHEs that may apply. We believe that the costs imposed on an applicant by the proposed priority and requirements would be limited to paperwork burden related to preparing an application and that the benefits of the proposed priority and requirements would outweigh any costs incurred by the applicant. There are very few entities that could provide the type of technical assistance required under the proposed priority and requirements. For these reasons, the proposed priority and requirements would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995: The proposed priority and requirements contain information collection requirements that are approved by OMB under OMB control number 1820–0018. The proposed priority and requirements do not affect the currently approved data collection.

Intergovernmental Review: This program is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. One of the objectives of the Executive order is to foster an intergovernmental partnership and a strengthened federalism. The Executive order relies on processes developed by State and local governments for coordination and review of proposed Federal financial assistance.

This document provides early notification of our specific plans and actions for this program.

Accessible Format: On request to the contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT, individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an accessible format. The Department will provide the requestor with an accessible format that may include Rich Text Format (RTF) or text format (txt), a thumb drive, an MP3 file, braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc, or other accessible format.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. You may access the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations at www.govinfo.gov. At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the Federal Register, in text or Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF, you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the Federal Register by using the article search feature at: www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

David Cantrell, Deputy Director, Office of Special Education Programs. Delegated the Authority To Perform the Functions and Duties of the Assistant Secretary for the Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services.

[FR Doc. 2021–04369 Filed 2–26–21; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

Air Plan Approval; FL; Prevention of Significant Deterioration Infrastructure Elements

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve revisions to the Florida State Implementation Plan (SIP), submitted by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP), Division of Air Resources Management, to EPA on August 26, 2020. The Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) requires that each state adopt and submit a SIP for the implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of each national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) promulgated by EPA, commonly referred to as an “infrastructure SIP.” This submission addresses certain greenhouse gas (GHG) Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permitting requirements for the 2008 and 1997 8-hour ozone and the 1997 annual and 2006 24-hour fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) NAAQS. Additionally, EPA is proposing to convert the previous disapprovals of Florida’s infrastructure SIPs related to the CAA GHG PSD permitting requirements for the above NAAQS to full approvals.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 1, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R04–OAR–2020–0459 at www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from Regulations.gov. EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pearlene Williams, Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–9144. Ms. Williams can also be reached via electronic mail at williams.pearlene@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Pursuant to section 110(a)(1) of the CAA, states are required to submit SIP revisions meeting the applicable requirements of section 110(a)(2) within three years after promulgation of a new or revised NAAQS or within such shorter period as EPA may prescribe. Section 110(a)(2) requires states to address basic SIP elements such as requirements for monitoring, basic program requirements, and legal authority that are designed to assure attainment and maintenance of the NAAQS. States were previously required to submit such SIPs for the 2008 and 1997 8-hour ozone and the 1997 annual and 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS to EPA within three years of promulgation of the respective NAAQS. This action only pertains to sections 110(a)(2)(C), (D)(i)(II), and (J) as they
relate to GHG under a SIP-approved PSD permitting program.1

On July 30, 2012 (77 FR 44485), EPA disapproved portions of Florida’s 1997 8-hour ozone infrastructure SIP submission related to GHG PSD permitting requirements under section 110(a)(2)(C) and section 110(a)(2)(J). The disapproval action was a result of Florida not submitting a SIP revision to adopt the appropriate emission thresholds for determining which new stationary sources and modification projects become subject to PSD permitting requirements for their GHG emissions as promulgated in the GHG Tailoring Rule. See 75 FR 31514 (June 3, 2010).

On April 3, 2013 (78 FR 19998), EPA disapproved the portion of Florida’s infrastructure SIP submission for both the 1997 annual and 2006 24-hour PM2.5 NAAQS related to GHG PSD permitting requirements under the section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) provision that prohibits emissions activity in one state from interfering with measures required to prevent significant deterioration of air quality in another state. The Florida SIP for these two standards did not provide adequate legal authority to address the GHG PSD permitting requirements at or above the levels of emissions set forth in the June 3, 2010, GHG Tailoring Rule.

On November 1, 2013 (78 FR 65559), EPA disapproved portions of Florida’s 2008 8-hour ozone infrastructure SIP submission related to GHG PSD permitting requirements under section 110(a)(2)(C), the section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) provision that prohibits emissions activity in one state from interfering with measures required to prevent significant deterioration of air quality in another state, and section 110(a)(2)(J). The disapproval was a result of Florida not submitting a SIP revision to adopt the appropriate emission thresholds for determining which new stationary sources and modification projects become subject to PSD permitting requirements for their GHG emissions as promulgated in the June 3, 2010, GHG Tailoring Rule.

In summary, for the 2008 and 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. EPA interprets the PSD sub-element to require that a state’s infrastructure SIP submission for a particular NAAQS demonstrate that the state has a complete PSD permitting program in place covering the current PSD requirements for all regulated NSR pollutants. A state’s PSD permitting program is complete for this sub-element (and (D)(i)(II) and (J) related to PSD) if EPA has already approved or is simultaneously approving the state’s implementation plan with respect to all PSD requirements that are due under the EPA regulations or the CAA.

This proposed action pertains to the PSD permitting for new major sources and major modifications for the 2008 and 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. EPA interprets the PSD sub-element to require that a state’s infrastructure SIP submission for a particular NAAQS demonstrate that the state has a complete PSD permitting program in place covering the current PSD requirements for all regulated NSR pollutants. A state’s PSD permitting program is complete for this sub-element (and (D)(i)(II) and (J) related to PSD) if EPA has already approved or is simultaneously approving the state’s implementation plan with respect to all PSD requirements that are due under the EPA regulations or the CAA.

FDEP’s August 26, 2020, submission cited a number of SIP provisions to address the major source PSD program. Florida’s authority to regulate new and modified sources to assist in the protection of air quality in attainment or unclassifiable areas is established in Chapter 62-210 and Chapter 62-212 of the Florida SIP. Under Florida’s SIP, new major sources and major modifications in areas of the State designated attainment or unclassifiable for a NAAQS are subject to a federally-approved PSD permitting program meeting all the current structural requirements of part C of title I of the CAA to satisfy the infrastructure SIP PSD elements. With EPA’s May 19, 2014 approval of Florida’s SIP revision to address GHG under its PSD program in accordance with the GHG Tailoring Rule, Florida’s SIP satisfied current CAA requirements for PSD. Therefore, EPA has made the preliminary determination that Florida’s SIP and practices are adequate for PSD permitting related to GHGs for major sources and major modifications as required by section 110(a)(2)(C) for the 2008 and 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

II. Analysis of Florida’s Submission

A. Section 110(a)(2)(C) Programs for Enforcement of Control Measures and for Construction or Modification of Stationary Sources

This element consists of three sub-elements: Enforcement, state-wide regulation of new and modified minor sources and minor modifications of major sources, and preconstruction permitting for new major sources and major modifications in areas designated attainment or unclassifiable for the subject NAAQS as required by CAA title I part C (i.e., the major source PSD program).

This proposed action pertains to the PSD permitting for new major sources and major modifications for the 2008 and 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. EPA interprets the PSD sub-element to require that a state’s infrastructure SIP submission for a particular NAAQS demonstrate that the state has a complete PSD permitting program in place covering the current PSD requirements for all regulated NSR pollutants. A state’s PSD permitting program is complete for this sub-element (and (D)(i)(II) and (J) related to PSD) if EPA has already approved or is simultaneously approving the state’s implementation plan with respect to all PSD requirements that are due under the EPA regulations or the CAA.

This proposed action pertains to the PSD permitting for new major sources and major modifications for the 2008 and 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. EPA interprets the PSD sub-element to require that a state’s infrastructure SIP submission for a particular NAAQS demonstrate that the state has a complete PSD permitting program in place covering the current PSD requirements for all regulated NSR pollutants. A state’s PSD permitting program is complete for this sub-element (and (D)(i)(II) and (J) related to PSD) if EPA has already approved or is simultaneously approving the state’s implementation plan with respect to all PSD requirements that are due under the EPA regulations or the CAA.

This proposed action pertains to the PSD permitting for new major sources and major modifications for the 2008 and 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. EPA interprets the PSD sub-element to require that a state’s infrastructure SIP submission for a particular NAAQS demonstrate that the state has a complete PSD permitting program in place covering the current PSD requirements for all regulated NSR pollutants. A state’s PSD permitting program is complete for this sub-element (and (D)(i)(II) and (J) related to PSD) if EPA has already approved or is simultaneously approving the state’s implementation plan with respect to all PSD requirements that are due under the EPA regulations or the CAA.

This proposed action pertains to the PSD permitting for new major sources and major modifications for the 2008 and 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. EPA interprets the PSD sub-element to require that a state’s infrastructure SIP submission for a particular NAAQS demonstrate that the state has a complete PSD permitting program in place covering the current PSD requirements for all regulated NSR pollutants. A state’s PSD permitting program is complete for this sub-element (and (D)(i)(II) and (J) related to PSD) if EPA has already approved or is simultaneously approving the state’s implementation plan with respect to all PSD requirements that are due under the EPA regulations or the CAA.

This proposed action pertains to the PSD permitting for new major sources and major modifications for the 2008 and 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. EPA interprets the PSD sub-element to require that a state’s infrastructure SIP submission for a particular NAAQS demonstrate that the state has a complete PSD permitting program in place covering the current PSD requirements for all regulated NSR pollutants. A state’s PSD permitting program is complete for this sub-element (and (D)(i)(II) and (J) related to PSD) if EPA has already approved or is simultaneously approving the state’s implementation plan with respect to all PSD requirements that are due under the EPA regulations or the CAA.

This proposed action pertains to the PSD permitting for new major sources and major modifications for the 2008 and 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. EPA interprets the PSD sub-element to require that a state’s infrastructure SIP submission for a particular NAAQS demonstrate that the state has a complete PSD permitting program in place covering the current PSD requirements for all regulated NSR pollutants. A state’s PSD permitting program is complete for this sub-element (and (D)(i)(II) and (J) related to PSD) if EPA has already approved or is simultaneously approving the state’s implementation plan with respect to all PSD requirements that are due under the EPA regulations or the CAA.

*Florida’s NNSR program is not relevant to this proposed action as it is limited to the regulation of GHGs under the State’s PSD program.
through Chapters 62–204, 62–210, and 62–212, EPA has made the preliminary determination that Florida’s SIP and practices are adequate for interstate transport for PSD permitting of major sources and major modifications related to GHGs for the 2008 8-hour ozone and the 1997 annual and 2006 24-hour PM$_2.5$ NAAQS for section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(ii) (prong 3).


This element consists of four sub-elements: Consultation requirements of section 121, the public notification requirements of section 127, PSD, and visibility protection. This action pertains to the PSD element of section 110(a)(2)(J) for GHGs for the 2008 and 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

With regard to the PSD element of section 110(a)(2)(J), this requirement is met when the State demonstrates in an infrastructure SIP submission that its PSD program meets all the current requirements of part C of title I of the CAA. As explained in the discussion of section 110(a)(2)(C), Florida’s SIP contains provisions in Chapters 62–210 and 62–212 for the State’s PSD program that reflect the relevant SIP revisions to satisfy the requirement of the PSD element of section 110(a)(2)(J). EPA has made the preliminary determination that Florida’s SIP is adequate for PSD permitting of major sources and major modifications related to GHGs for the 2008 and 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS for section 110(a)(2)(J).

III. Proposed Action

EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Florida SIP, submitted on August 26, 2020, related to sections 110(a)(2)(C), (D)(i) (prong 3), and (J) as they relate to new major sources and major modifications in areas of the State designated attainment or unclassifiable. EPA has made the preliminary determination that Florida’s SIP and practices are adequate for GHG PSD permitting of major sources and major modifications related to the 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS for sections 110(a)(2)(C), (D)(i) (prong 3), and (J); the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS for sections 110(a)(2)(C) and (J); and the 1997 annual and 2006 24-hour PM$_2.5$ NAAQS for section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(ii) (prong 3). Consequently, EPA is proposing to convert the previous disapprovals of Florida’s infrastructure SIPs related to the CAA GHG PSD permitting requirements for the 2008 and 1997 8-hour ozone and the 1997 annual and 2006 24-hour PM$_2.5$ NAAQS to full approvals.

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. See 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a).

EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. This action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements and Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.


John Blevins,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 2021–04059 Filed 3–1–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 282


Virginia: Final Approval of State Underground Storage Tank Program Revisions, Codification, and Incorporation by Reference

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Solid Waste Disposal Act of 1965, as amended (commonly known as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve revisions to the Commonwealth of Virginia’s Underground Storage Tank (UST) program submitted by the Commonwealth of Virginia (Virginia or State). This action is based on EPA’s determination that these revisions satisfy all requirements needed for program approval. This action also proposes to codify EPA’s approval of Virginia’s state program and to incorporate by reference those provisions of Virginia’s regulations and statutes that we have determined meet the requirements for approval. The provisions will be subject to EPA’s inspection and enforcement authorities under sections 9005 and 9006 of RCRA Subtitle I and other applicable statutory and regulatory provisions. In the “Rules and Regulations” section of this issue of the Federal Register, EPA is approving this action by a direct final rule. If no significant negative comment is received, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rulemaking, and the direct final rule will be effective 60 days from the date of publication in this