The Commissioner of Food and Drugs (the Commissioner), under section 512(e)(2)(A) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360b(e)(2)(A)), finds that the holders of the applications listed in this document have repeatedly failed to submit reports required by § 514.80. In addition, under § 514.200(b), the Commissioner finds that the holders of the applications have waived any contentions concerning the legal status of the drug products.

Therefore, under these findings, approval of the applications listed in this document, and all amendments and supplements thereto, is hereby withdrawn, effective February 23, 2021.

Elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register, FDA is amending the animal drug regulations to reflect the withdrawal of approval of these applications.


Lauren K. Roth,
Acting Principal Associate Commissioner for Policy.
[FR Doc. 2021–03250 Filed 2–22–21; 8:45 am]
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AGENCY: U.S. Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Copyright Office is creating a new group registration option for musical works, sound recordings, and certain other works contained on an album. This option will permit the registration of a group of musical works or a group of sound recordings distributed together, regardless of whether such distribution occurs via physical or digital media. The final rule generally adopts the provisions set forth in the May 2019 notice of proposed rulemaking in this proceeding, with certain updates to reflect the planned implementation of new online applications for this option.

DATES: Effective March 26, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Regan A. Smith, General Counsel and Associate Register of Copyrights, by email at regan@copyright.gov; Robert Kasunic, Associate Register of Copyrights and Director of Registration Policy and Practice, by email at rkas@copyright.gov; or John R. Riley, Assistant General Counsel, by email at jrl@copyright.gov. Each can be contacted by telephone at 202–707–8350.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Copyright Act authorizes the Register of Copyrights to specify by regulation the administrative classes of works available for the purpose of seeking a registration and the nature of the deposits required for each class. In addition, Congress gave the Register the discretion to allow registration of groups of related works with one application and one filing fee, a procedure known as “group registration.” 1 Pursuant to this authority, the Register has issued regulations permitting the Office to issue group registrations for certain types of works, including for groups of newspapers, unpublished works, newsletters and serials, unpublished and published photographs, contributions to periodicals, secure test items, and short online literary works. 2

On May 20, 2019, the Office published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (“NPRM”) proposing to create a new group registration option for musical works, sound recordings, and associated literary, pictorial, and graphic works contained on an album. This option is referred to as “Group Registration of Works on an Album of Music,” or “GRAM.” 3 The proposed rule would allow an applicant to register up to twenty musical works and twenty sound recordings, i.e., forty total works, if the works were fixed in the same phonorecord, if the works were created by the same author or had at least one common author, and if the claimant for each work in the group was the same. The proposed rule also would permit the registration of associated literary, pictorial, and graphic works in the album, such as cover art, liner notes, or posters. To exercise this option, the Office proposed that applicants would be required to submit their claims through the online copyright registration system using the Standard Application.

The Office received thirteen comments in response to the NPRM, eleven from individuals, one from the National Music Publishers Association (“NMPA”), and a joint comment by the American Association of Independent Music (“A2IM”) and the Recording Industry Association of America (“RIAA”). Each commenter supported the Office’s proposal to create the new group registration option, though some suggested various amendments to the proposed rule, including removing the proposed limit on the number of works that may be included in each claim and clarifying who could be listed as a claimant of a work in a GRAM registration.

Having reviewed and carefully considered the submitted comments, the Office now issues a final rule that generally follows the proposed rule, with some modifications. First, the rule requires claims under this option to be submitted using a new online

---

TABLE 1—NADAs FOR WHICH APPROVAL IS WITHDRAWN—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application No.</th>
<th>Trade name (drug)</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>046–922</td>
<td>SERGEANTS SURE SHOT (n-butyl chloride) Capsules</td>
<td>ConAgro Pet Products Co., 3902 Leavenworth St., Omaha, NE 68105.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>046–923</td>
<td>SERGEANTS (n-butyl chloride) Puppy Worm Capsules</td>
<td>ConAgro Pet Products Co., 3902 Leavenworth St., Omaha, NE 68105.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>065–067</td>
<td>Tetracycline Hydrochloride Tablets</td>
<td>Premo Pharmaceutical Laboratories, Inc., 111 Leuning St., South Hackensack, NJ 07606.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140–850</td>
<td>ELITE (dichlorophene and toluene) Dog and Cat Wormer</td>
<td>RSI Laboratories, Inc., 501 Fifth St., Bristol, TN 37620.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 37 CFR 202.4; see 17 U.S.C. 408(c)(1).
2 37 CFR 202.4(c)–(k).
3 84 FR 22762 (May 20, 2019).
II. The Final Rule

A. Eligibility Requirements

1. Definition of an “Album”; Number of Works

The NPRM proposed limiting this group registration option to musical works, sound recordings, and any associated literary, pictorial, or graphic works on an album of music, such as liner notes and cover artwork. It defined an “album” as “a single physical or electronic unit of distribution containing at least two musical works and/or sound recordings embodied in phonorecords, including any associated literary, pictorial, or graphic works distributed with the unit.” Three commenters requested clarification to ensure that the rule is sufficiently flexible to accommodate streaming services and other distribution models that do not involve the purchasing of copies. A2IM and RIAA argued that the term “album” should be eliminated, as it “appear[s] to be tied to physical distribution and to an ownership model” of music. They proposed instead using the term “release,” which would be defined as “a collection of two or more sound recordings or other media that are grouped together as those terms are used in the Copyright Act.”

In their view, this approach would have the advantage of “av[oiding] the single unit of distribution concept” and would be “generic enough to embrace new release formats, such as a playlist that contains all new recordings released by a single artist and grouped together for commerce.” NMPA did not propose a change to the regulatory text but urged the Office to consider adding language to the Compendium of U.S. Copyright Office Practices or a circular to clarify that “’the GRAM option extends to albums that are not offered for digital purchase.’”

The proposed definition of “album” is not intended to be limited to albums distributed under a model in which copies are acquired and retained by a purchaser—e.g., downloads or physical media. A collection of musical recordings presented and offered to the public under authority of the copyright owner as a self-contained, fixed group would qualify as “a single physical or electronic unit of distribution” for purposes of the rule, regardless of whether the works are accessed via download, stream, or other mechanism. The key consideration is whether there is some indication of the copyright owner’s intention to release the works together as a single collection, as distinguished from, for example, releasing them over time as additions to an evolving playlist. Thus, a group of songs presented together on a streaming service may qualify as an album, provided the other eligibility requirements are met.

It is partly for this reason that the final rule defines “album” as a “unit of distribution.” As discussed in the NPRM, the Office’s “unit of publication” option has long provided a vehicle for registering albums released in physical formats, such as a “CD packaged with cover art and a leaflet containing lyrics” or a “box set of music CDs.” While the Office has declined to extend the use of publication option to digital products, the GRAM option is intended to provide an analogous mechanism for digital music albums, in part to ensure that albums “released first (or only) in digital formats” are eligible for similar registration options.

The final rule accordingly retains the proposed definition, and the Office intends this further guidance to address comments regarding the scope of eligibility for the GRAM option.

Some commenters expressed concern with the proposed requirement that an applicant “submit documentation . . . confirming that the musical works and/or sound recordings were included on the album.” A2IM and RIAA and an individual commenter, Jesse Morris, argued that it should be sufficient for an applicant to submit a sworn statement to that effect. The Office agrees with this recommendation. As part of the application, the applicant will be required to certify that the works being registered satisfy the requirements for this group registration option, including that the works in the group were all published on the same album. The language regarding documentation accordingly has been removed from the final rule.

The NPRM also proposed to allow applicants to register up to twenty musical works or twenty sound recordings contained in an album. Some commenters requested that the Office remove or at least raise the twenty-track cap. In addition to noting that some albums contain more than twenty tracks, commenters pointed out that the Standard Application does not prevent a label from listing more than twenty musical works or sound recordings in the application.

More generally, the Office has concluded that a twenty-track cap is appropriate to balance the needs of applicants with the administrative capabilities of the Office. As noted in the NPRM, the Office believes that this limit will make this group option available to the majority of albums actually distributed in the market, and in any event, albums with more than twenty tracks would still benefit from the group option because applicants may be able to register additional works with a separate GRAM application.

Removing or raising the cap would likely increase the average processing time for these applications and thereby undermine the efficiency of this group option. Indeed, there is a potential that even the twenty-track cap may prove inefficient if the average number of works on each application approaches twenty. The Office remains open to
revisiting this issue based on data that become available after implementation, including with respect to fees, staffing, and processing times.\textsuperscript{18}

2. Custom Application; Works That May Be Included

Under the proposed rule, an applicant could register up to twenty musical works and twenty sound recordings, i.e., forty total works, using the Standard Application if the works were fixed in the same phonorecord and the other regulatory requirements were met.\textsuperscript{19} A2IM and RIAA expressed concern over the requirement to use the Standard Application, urging the Office to instead “create a dedicated GRAM application that runs on the existing system.”\textsuperscript{20} They observed that this approach would “[n]ot only . . . encourage use of GRAM by smaller, less sophisticated, less frequent users,” but also would “lead to fewer telephone inquiries from copyright owners and [would] require less correspondence from registration specialists, both of which will save the Office time and money.”\textsuperscript{21} The Office agrees that decoupling GRAM applications from the Standard Application would greatly simplify the registration process for both applicants and Office staff, and therefore it has worked with the Library of Congress to develop new applications specifically for GRAM that can be accessed and submitted through the electronic registration system (‘‘eCO’’). The final rule has been updated to reflect this change.

In the course of developing this functionality, the Office also determined that permitting the registration of both musical works and sound recordings using one application may give rise to complexities in the examination process that could hinder the Office’s efficient administration of the group option. For example, in cases where the musical works and sound recordings on an album have different authors, an applicant would be required to list all the authors of both the music and sound recordings, to list the titles of the works created by each author, and to provide an appropriate authorship statement to describe each author’s contribution to each work. In the Registration Program’s experience, challenges or inaccuracies are likely to arise where the nature of the applicant’s authorship differs with respect to the musical work and the sound recording for multiple album tracks. For example, if an author created twenty sound recordings on an album, but wrote only ten of the musical works embodied in those recordings, she would be required to provide titles for all twenty works and exclude from the musical works claim the ten compositions she did not author by listing their titles in the Material Excluded field. Given the number of possible permutations, such requirements would likely lead to ambiguities requiring correspondence with the applicant, as well as potential inaccuracies in the public record, particularly where the applicant is unfamiliar with the distinction between musical works and sound recordings for copyright purposes. Because such correspondence would likely result in additional refusals or a higher fee for this group registration option to accommodate the additional Office resources needed, the Office has determined that it is preferable to create a bifurcated group option.

The final rule accordingly provides for two separate types of applications. An applicant may register up to twenty musical works on an album using the application designated for “musical works from an album.” An applicant may register up to twenty sound recordings, as well as any associated literary, pictorial, or graphic works first published with the same album, using the application designated for “sound recordings from an album.” The initial version of the application for “musical works from an album” will not include an option for registration of these ancillary materials because the Office understands that typically these works are not authored or owned by the party that authored or owns the musical works. To the extent there is a need in the marketplace, however, the Office is open to considering a future update that would permit registration of such materials on the application for “musical works from an album.” The Office welcomes public input on whether copyright owners would benefit from such an option.

3. Title Information

The NPRM proposed that an applicant be required to provide a title for the album, a title for each musical work and/or sound recording, titles for any associated literary, pictorial, or graphic works that are included in the group, and a title that identifies the group as a whole, starting with the word “GRAM.”\textsuperscript{22} Some commenters objected to the requirement to provide a separate title for the group. Among other concerns, they noted that the registration certificate would start with “GRAM,” while the album offered for sale would not, causing potential confusion in the public record and in litigation.\textsuperscript{23}

In light of these concerns, and because the group-title requirement was necessitated by the limitations of the Standard Application,\textsuperscript{24} the Office has eliminated this requirement. Instead, the GRAM applications will include a mandatory field for the title of the album, which will appear on the registration certificate and in the public record. The album title will be used to automatically generate a group title (e.g., “Works published on the album [ALBUM TITLE]”), the group title and the album title will both appear in the registration certificate and the public record. For applications that include literary, pictorial, or graphic works, the system will automatically generate titles for those works (e.g., “Liner notes, photograph(s), and/or artwork first published on the album [ALBUM TITLE]”), although the applicant may provide a more specific title for those works by following the instructions given in the help text. The Office will add those titles to the public record when it examines the claim.

4. Collective Work Registration

RIAA and A2IM requested that the Office allow applicants to include the full album as a collective work as one of the works that can be registered on the GRAM application.\textsuperscript{25} They noted that some existing group registration options permit registration of both a collective work and the individual component works, citing the unit of publication and group serial options as examples.\textsuperscript{26} But these commenters did not explain why they believe there is a need for such an option in the GRAM application given that applicants already have the ability to register both a collective work and the individual works comprising it by filing a collective work claim using the Standard Application. As discussed in the NPRM, a collective work registration will extend to the individual component works if the copyright in those works is held by the owner of the collective work copyright, provided the component works contain sufficient original authorship and have not been

\textsuperscript{18} Id. at 22765.
\textsuperscript{19} Id. at 22764.
\textsuperscript{20} A2IM & RIAA Comments at 8.
\textsuperscript{21} Id.
\textsuperscript{22} Id. at 22766.
\textsuperscript{23} A2IM & RIAA Comments at 6; Jesse Morris Comments at 1.
\textsuperscript{24} See Jesse Morris Comments at 1; A2IM & RIAA Comments at 6.
\textsuperscript{25} A2IM & RIAA Comments at 3.
\textsuperscript{26} Id. at 3–4.
previously published or registered. The author of a work'' or ''(ii) a person or copyright claimant is either (i) the party that owns or co-owns the rights that initially belonged to the co-authors. If a party claimant or co-claimant must own or co-create by two or more co-authors, the statement that ''if the works were expressed concern over the NPRM's definition of ''claimant'' for GRAM authors.'’

NMPA asked the Office to clarify the definition of ''claimant'' for GRAM registration eligibility. Specifically, it expressed concern over the NPRM's statement that ''if the works were created by two or more co-authors, the claimant or co-claimant must own or co-own all of the rights that initially belonged to the co-authors. If a party owns or co-owns the rights that initially belonged to some, but not all, of the co-authors, that party cannot be named as a copyright claimant.”

To clarify the definition of “claimant” for purposes of the GRAM option is not intended to differ from the generally applicable definition of that term. Under the Office’s regulations, a copyright claimant is either “(i) [t]he author of a work” or “(ii) [a] person or organization that has obtained ownership of all rights under the copyright initially belonging to the author.” Thus, a publisher that is the transferee of all of the exclusive rights of a joint author of eligible works may be listed as a claimant for purposes of a GRAM application, even if the publisher has not received rights from other joint authors. If, however, only a subset of a joint author’s exclusive rights have been transferred to it, the publisher would not qualify as a claimant.

While the Office cannot advise as to how this standard may apply to specific types of assignments, whether a given transaction constitutes a transfer of copyright ownership—as distinguished from merely a nonexclusive license—will be governed by the statutory definition of that term.

To the extent NMPA’s comment is raising concerns regarding the “claimant” definition more generally, the Office notes that any changes to that provision would have implications for a broader range of stakeholders than those affected by the GRAM option. The Office therefore does not believe that this proceeding is the appropriate forum for consideration of such changes. However, the Office notes that any changes to that provision would have implications for a broader range of stakeholders than those affected by the GRAM option. The Office notes that any changes to that provision would have implications for a broader range of stakeholders than those affected by the GRAM option.

The Office continues development of its next-generation registration system, however, it will continue to explore ways to better accommodate registrations involving jointly owned works, and welcomes input from NMPA and other interested parties. The Office also notes that a third party who is assigned a copyright interest but does not qualify as a claimant for GRAM registration purposes may record its ownership information in the Office’s public record. Moreover, as noted above, an author of the work may always be listed as a claimant.

6. Publication Information

Commenting parties did not object to the requirement that a GRAM application must “specify the date and nation of publication for the album.” NMPA, however, expressed concern over the requirement that all eligible works in the group be published on the album on the same date, noting that it is common for a song to be published as a single before it is published as part of an album. It suggested that the Office allow previously published works that are later included on an album to be included in a GRAM registration “to maximize the [GRAM option’s] usefulness” and “to encourage an accurate public record.” The Office has amended the final rule to allow for the registration of a musical work or sound recording that was previously published as an individual work only (e.g., as a single), provided that the application includes the statutory-required date of first publication for each of the works. Applicants should provide this information in the “Note to Copyright Office” field in the relevant GRAM application. The certificate of registration will be annotated to reflect the additional publication dates.

In addition, A2IM and RIAA and Jesse Morris separately asked for a clarification in the final rule that previously published or registered works that were later contained on an album may be excluded from the GRAM application claim. These parties were concerned that the inclusion of a previously published single would disqualify the entire album from the GRAM registration option. This was not the intent of the proposed rule. Applicants should disclaim ineligible works by listing the titles of those works in the Material Excluded field.

B. Application Requirements

As discussed, the Office is developing two new online applications specifically for this group option—one application for musical works, and another application for sound recordings and any associated literary, pictorial, or graphic works included with the same album. These applications are currently expected to be implemented into the eCD system this spring by the OCIO. Nevertheless, the availability of the GRAM applications is ultimately dependent on the completion of system development and may be affected by unanticipated delays in that process.
The Office will issue a public announcement when implementation is complete and this option is available to applicants.

The Office will provide detailed information on group eligibility requirements and instructions on completing the applications on its website, including a video and webinar.

C. Filing Fee

The NPRM provided that the filing fee for the GRAM option would be $55, the fee applicable to claims submitted on the Standard Application. It further noted that the Office had recently proposed to increase the Standard Application fee to $75 and that if that proposal were adopted, the new fee would apply to GRAM claims.41

Subsequently, the Office submitted a final proposed schedule and analysis of fees to Congress in which it reduced the proposed increase to $65.42 Based on the comments received in the fee study proceeding, and in light of the Office’s inability under the current registration system to charge different prices for different types of works submitted on the Standard Application, at the time its fee study was submitted to Congress, the Office reiterated its recommendation that the GRAM fee be the same as the Standard Application fee.43

Following the 120-day statutory period for congressional review,44 the Office promulgated a final rule implementing the proposed fee schedule.45 The rule noted the Office’s expectation that GRAM registrations would “require a workflow similar to claims submitted on the Standard Application” and that commenters in the fee study proceeding generally supported linking the two fees.46 To avoid potential confusion, the Office did not adopt the GRAM fee as part of that rule, noting that it instead would adopt the fee when it issued a final rule implementing the GRAM option.47

Although the Office is now providing standalone applications for GRAM submissions, it continues to believe it is appropriate to charge the same fee as is charged for Standard Application filings. The Office believes that it is reasonable to set the GRAM fee, at least initially, at the same level as previously noted, given the similarities in expected workflow associated with examining these claims and the lack of additional data to support an alternate level. The final rule therefore establishes a $65 fee. Given, however, that the Office now has greater flexibility to adjust fees specifically for this option, it will gather additional data to determine if this amount should be adjusted once this option is implemented.48 The Office also is open to considering differential price options following implementation of its next-generation registration system.49

D. Deposit Requirements

The NPRM noted that “[t]he deposit requirements for this group registration option will be the same as the requirements that normally apply to claims involving musical works, sound recordings, and associated album material.”50 The Office proposed that for GRAM claims that include sound recordings, “[t]he applicant should submit two physical phonorecords, along with two physical copies of any related album material,” if the album was published in physical form or in both physical and digital form.51 “If the album was published solely in digital form, the applicant may upload a digital phonorecord along with a digital copy of any related album material.”52 For GRAM claims that do not include sound recordings, the Office proposed that “[t]he deposit provisions, with the NPRM’s deposit provisions, with the following exceptions: the deposit must be open to a fee structure whereby some baseline number of tracks (e.g., 20) can be included as part of the basic group registration fee and additional tracks can be included in the same GRAM application for an additional surcharge (e.g., $1–$2 per track for each additional track).”53

A2IM and RIAA requested that “[t]he file name for each work must match the title as submitted on the application.” This provisions tracks language in the recently issued final rule for group registration of short online literary works,64 and is intended to avoid potential confusion resulting from inconsistent designations.

41 84 FR at 22766.
43 Id. at 29.
44 See 17 U.S.C. 708(b)(5).
45 Copyright Office Fees, 85 FR 9374 (Feb. 19, 2020).
46 Id. at 9380–81.
47 Id.
48 See A2IM & RIAA Comments at 6 (“[W]e would be open to a fee structure whereby some baseline number of tracks (e.g., 20) can be included as part of the basic group registration fee and additional tracks can be included in the same GRAM application for an additional surcharge (e.g., $1–$2 per track for each additional track).”).
50 84 FR at 22767.
51 Id.
52 Id.
53 Id.
54 A2IM & RIAA Comments at 7.
55 The Copyright Act authorizes the Register of Copyrights to specify by regulation “the nature of the copies or phonorecords to be deposited” with respect to particular administrative classes established for purposes of registration. 17 U.S.C. 408(c)(1); see also id. at 408(b) (establishing deposit requirements, “[e]xcept as provided by subsection (c)”). For purposes of this group option, the Office has adopted the same deposit requirements applicable to the relevant categories of works under individual applications, in order to avoid affecting the Library’s collections policies.
56 Id.
57 The Copyright Act provides that, in the case of a work first published outside the United States, only one copy or phonorecord is required for deposit. 17 U.S.C. 408(b)(3). The final rule has been updated to reflect this distinction.
58 As noted, the application for “musical works from an album” will not initially permit the registration of ancillary materials. The final rule has been revised to reflect this limitation.
59 84 FR at 22767.
60 Id.
E. Supplementary Registrations

A supplementary registration is a special type of registration that may be used “to correct an error in a copyright registration or to amplify the information given in a registration.” 62 The Office has created multiple versions of a form that may be used to correct or amplify information in registrations made under specified group registration options, but the Office has not yet created a version for a registration of a group of works on an album of music. Therefore, the final rule clarifies that applicants should contact the Office of Registration Policy & Practice to obtain instructions before seeking a supplementary registration involving these types of claims.

This update constitutes a change to a “rule[] of agency . . . procedure[] or practice.” 63 It does not “alter the rights or interests of parties,” but merely “alter[s] the manner in which the parties present themselves or their viewpoints to the agency.” 64 It therefore is not subject to the notice and comment requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act.

List of Subjects

37 CFR Part 201
Copyright, General provisions.

37 CFR Part 202
Copyright, Preregistration and registration of claims to copyright.

Final Regulations

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Copyright Office amends 37 CFR parts 201 and 202 as follows:

PART 202—PREREGISTRATION AND REGISTRATION OF CLAIMS TO COPYRIGHT

§ 202.3 Fees for registration, recordation, and related services, special services, and services performed by the Licensing Division.

* * * * *

§ 202.4 Group registration.

* * * * *

(k) Group registration of works on an album. Pursuant to the authority granted by 17 U.S.C. 408(c)(1), the Register of Copyrights has determined that a group of two or more musical works, or two or more sound recordings and any associated literary, pictorial, or graphic works, may be registered with one application, the required deposit, and the filing fee required by § 201.3 of this chapter, if the following conditions are met:

(1) Eligible works. (i) All of the works in the group must be contained on the same album. For the purposes of this section, an album is a single physical or electronic unit of distribution containing at least two musical works and/or sound recordings embodied in a phonorecord, including any associated literary, pictorial, or graphic works distributed with the unit.

(ii) The group may include:

(A) Up to twenty musical works; or

(B) Up to twenty sound recordings and any associated literary, pictorial, or graphic works included with the same album.

(iii) The applicant must provide a title for the album and a title for each musical work or sound recording claimed in the group.

(iv) All of the works in the group must be created by the same author or the works must have a common joint author, and the copyright claimant or co-claimants for each work must all be the same person(s) or organization. The works may be registered as works made for hire if they are identified in the application as such.

(v) As a general rule, all of the works in an album, the date and nation of publication for each work must be specified in the application, and the nation of publication for each work must be the same. A musical work or sound recording that was previously published as an individual work only (e.g., as a single) may be included in the claim if the date of first publication for that work is listed separately in the application.

(2) Application. If the group consists of sound recordings and, as applicable, any associated literary, pictorial, or graphic works, the applicant must complete and submit the application designated for “sound recordings from an album.” If the group consists of musical works, the applicant must complete and submit the application designated for “musical works from an album.” The application may be submitted by any of the parties listed in § 202.3(c)(1).

(3) Deposit. (i) For claims in works first published in the United States submitted with the application for “sound recordings from an album,” the applicant must submit two complete phonorecords containing the best edition of each recording, and two complete copies of any associated literary, pictorial, or graphic works that are included in the group. For claims in works first published outside the United States submitted with this application, the applicant must submit one complete phonorecord of the work either as first published or of the best edition. A phonorecord will be considered complete if it satisfies the requirements set forth in § 202.19(b)(2). The deposit may be submitted in a digital form if the album has been distributed solely in a digital format, and if the requirements set forth in paragraph (kl)(3)(iii) of this section have been met.

(ii) For claims submitted with the application for “musical works from an album,” the applicant must submit one complete phonorecord of each musical work that is included in the group.

(iii) The deposit may be submitted in a digital form if the following requirements have been met. Each work must be contained in a separate electronic file. The files must be assembled in an orderly form, they must be submitted in one of the electronic formats approved by the Office, and they must be uploaded to the electronic registration system as individual electronic files (not .zip files). The file size for each uploaded file must not exceed 500 megabytes; the files may be compressed to comply with this requirement. The file name for each work must match the title as submitted on the application.
(4) Special relief. In an exceptional case, the Copyright Office may waive the online filing requirement set forth in paragraph (k)(2) of this section or may grant special relief from the deposit requirement under § 202.20(d), subject to such conditions as the Associate Register of Copyrights and Director of the Office of Registration Policy and Practice may impose on the applicant.

* * * * *

§ 202.6 [Amended]

5. Amend § 202.6(e)(2) by:
   a. Removing “or for” after and adding “,” in its place and adding “, or a group of works published on the same album registered under § 202.4(k),” after “§ 202.4(j)”;


Shira Perlmutter,
Register of Copyrights and Director of the U.S. Copyright Office.

Approved by:
Carla D. Hayden,
Librarian of Congress.

[FR Doc. 2021–03533 Filed 2–22–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410–30–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Air Quality State Implementation Plans; Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Utah; Infrastructure Requirements for the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards; Correction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: On June 1, 2020, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published a rulemaking proposing to approve multiple elements of the infrastructure SIP requirements for the 2015 ozone NAAQS for the State of Utah, while taking no action on three infrastructure elements (85 FR 33052). On September 16, 2020, we published a rulemaking taking final action on the proposal. The final rulemaking incorrectly stated that there were no comments received during the public comment period for the proposed rulemaking (85 FR 57731). One comment, submitted electronically on July 2, 2020, had been received but was inadvertently overlooked in the preparation of the September 16 final rule. In this correction document we will respond to the comment received.

DATES: This rule is effective on February 23, 2021.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R08–OAR–2019–0643. All documents in the docket are listed at http://www.regulations.gov. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through http://www.regulations.gov, or you may contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section for additional availability information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate Gregory, (303) 312–6175, k.gregory@epa.gov. Mail can be directed to the Air and Radiation Division, U.S. EPA, Region 8, Mail-code 8ARD–QP, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202–1129.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document “we,” “us,” and “our” means the EPA.

I. Response to Comments

Comment

We received one anonymous comment on the proposed rulemaking. The commenter asserts that in stating that hard copy comments would not be accepted, the EPA was attempting to preclude submission of comments by “post mail,” without prior public notification or rulemaking, in violation of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA). The commenter cited in particular 5 U.S.C. 553(c): “After notice required by this section, the agency shall give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making through submission of written data, views, or arguments with or without opportunity for oral presentation.” The commenter stated that the EPA must re-propose the rule without excluding comments submitted by mail.

The commenter also stated that they submitted a separate comment by postal mail.

Response

The EPA does not agree that the comment method offered was in violation of the APA, or that the comment period was otherwise legally insufficient in any way. The agency did not eliminate the opportunity for public comment, but rather temporarily eliminated one method of transmission of public comment, in light of public health concerns related to the COVID–19 pandemic. We provided notice of that temporary change in the proposed rule published at 85 FR 57731, in full compliance with the APA’s “prior public notification” requirement.

Additionally, the proposed rule describes CAA comment submission requirements:

“The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets.” (This site directs commenters to regulations.gov as our preferred method for submitting comments.)

The APA requires agencies to provide an opportunity for public comment, but it does not dictate the form in which comments may be submitted, nor does it preclude agencies from imposing reasonable limitations or structures on comment submissions. Accordingly, the commenter’s assertion that the APA required the Agency here to accept comments on this proposal by postal mail is incorrect. In addition, the e-Government Act of 2002 requires agencies to create electronic dockets for rulemakings and make those e-dockets available to the public; the EPA satisfied that requirement in this case by employing the Federal Rulemaking Portal at regulations.gov as an option for submitting comments on the proposed rulemaking. Further, the fact that the commenter was successfully able to submit a written comment by electronic means demonstrates that the notice and comment method used did not interfere with the commenter’s ability to comment on this action.

As noted, the EPA was not required to accept comments by postal mail in this matter and did not do so. Even if we had chosen to accept a comment submitted by postal mail, the comment that the commenter claimed was submitted by postal mail was not found at the address listed in the proposed rule, or at any of the other agency...