
**Action:** On May 12, 2020, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Tradition Mortgage LLC, ("Tradition Mortgage") that included a civil money penalty of $14,819. The settlement agreement does not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

**Cause:** The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of HUD requirements: Tradition Mortgage (a) failed to timely notify HUD of a state sanction in fiscal year 2018; and (b) submitted a false certification to HUD concerning Tradition Mortgage’s fiscal year 2018.

55. **United Home Loans, Inc.**, Westchester, IL [Docket No. 20–2015–MR]

**Action:** On September 1, 2020, the Board voted to accept a settlement agreement with United Home Loans, Inc. ("United Home") that included a civil money penalty of $5,000. The settlement does not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

**Cause:** The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of HUD requirements: United Home failed to timely notify HUD of a sanction in fiscal year 2019.


**Action:** On December 17, 2019, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Valley Mortgage Inc. ("Valley Mortgage") that included a civil money penalty of $9,468 and the indemnification of one FHA-insured mortgage for a term of five years. The settlement agreement does not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

**Cause:** The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of HUD requirements: Valley Mortgage violated FHA underwriting requirements in a fiscal year 2019.

57. **VIG Mortgage Corporation**, San Juan, PR [Docket No. 20–2016–MR]

**Action:** On May 12, 2020, voted to enter into a settlement agreement with VIG Mortgage Corporation ("VIG") that included a civil money penalty of $4,909. The settlement agreement does not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

**Cause:** The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of HUD requirements: VIG Mortgage failed to timely notify HUD of a change to VIG Mortgage’s business structure, consisting of a change in ownership, in fiscal year 2018.


**Action:** On May 12, 2020, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Weststar Mortgage Corporation ("Weststar") that included a civil money penalty of $5,000. The settlement agreement does not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

**Cause:** The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of HUD requirements: Weststar failed to timely notify HUD of a sanction in fiscal year 2019.

**II. Lenders That Failed To Timely Meet Requirements for Annual Recertification of HUD/FHA Approval but Came Into Compliance**

**Action:** The Board entered into settlement agreements with the following lenders, which required the lender to pay a civil money penalty without admitting fault or liability.

**Cause:** The Board took these actions based upon allegations that the listed lenders failed to comply with HUD’s annual recertification requirements in a timely manner.

The lenders below paid the following civil money penalty amounts:

1. **Central Bank and Trust Lander**, WY ($10,067) [Docket No. 20–2038–MRT]

2. **Community Investment Corporation**, Chicago, IL [Docket No. 20–2081–MRT]

3. **Great Lakes Financial Group Ltd**, Cleveland, OH [Docket No. 20–2090–MRT]

4. **Buckeye State Bank**, Powell, OH [Docket No. 20–2029–MRT]

5. **First Flight Federal Credit Union**, Cary, NC [Docket No. 20–2032–MRT]


7. **SWI Financial Services Inc.**, Escondido, CA [Docket No. 20–2032–MRT]

8. **Windsor Federal Savings** [Docket No. 19–2040–MRT]

**III. Lenders That Failed To Meet Requirements for Annual Recertification of HUD/FHA Approval**

**Action:** The Board voted to withdraw the FHA approval of each of the lenders listed below for a period of one (1) year.

**Cause:** The Board took this action based upon allegations that the lenders listed below were not in compliance with HUD’s annual recertification requirements.

1. **Apex Lending Inc.**, Santa Ana, CA
2. **Aries Loans Inc.**, El Segundo, CA
3. **City National Bank of New Jersey**, Newark, NJ
4. **Consumer Loan Services, LLC**, La Crosse, WI
5. **Gulf Atlantic Funding Group**, Davie, FL
6. **Metro Phoenix Financial Services, LLC**, Phoenix, AZ
7. **Mortgage Bank of California**, Manhattan Beach, CA
8. **South Central Bank and Trust Co.**, Chicago, IL
9. **United Police Federal Credit Union**, Miami, FL

Janet M. Golrick, Acting Assistant Secretary for Housing/FHA Commissioner Chair, Mortgagee Review Board.

[PR Doc. 2021–02921 Filed 2–11–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210–67–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

[Docket No. FR–6245–N–01]

**Mortgage and Loan Insurance Programs Under the National Housing Act—Debenture Interest Rates**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This Notice announces changes in the interest rates to be paid on debentures issued with respect to a loan or mortgage insured by the Federal Housing Administration under the provisions of the National Housing Act (the Act). The interest rate for debentures issued under Section 221(g)(4) of the Act during the 6-month period beginning January 1, 2021, is 3 1/4 percent. The interest rate for debentures issued under any other provision of the Act is the rate in effect on the date that the commitment to insure the loan or mortgage was issued, or the date that the loan or mortgage was endorsed (or initially endorsed if there are two or more endorsements) for insurance, whichever rate is higher. The interest rate for debentures issued under these other provisions with respect to a loan or mortgage committed or endorsed during the 6-month period beginning January 1, 2021, is 1 3/4 percent.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth Olazabal, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW, Room 5146,
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|

For convenience of reference, HUD is publishing the following chart of debenture interest rates applicable to mortgages committed or endorsed since January 1, 1980:

- **9%**
- **9-1/2%**
statutory formula based on the average yield on all outstanding marketable Treasury obligations of 8- to 12-year maturities, for the 6-month period beginning January 1, 2021, 13/4 percent. The subject matter of this notice falls within the categorical exemption from HUD’s environmental clearance procedures set forth in 24 CFR 50.19(c)(6). For that reason, no environmental finding has been prepared for this notice.

[Authority: Sections 211, 221, 224, National Housing Act, 12 U.S.C. 1715b, 1715l, 1715o; Section 7(d), Department of HUD Act, 42 U.S.C. 5353(d).]

Janet M. Golrick,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Housing—
Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 2021–02867 Filed 2–11–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1162]

Certain Touch-Controlled Mobile Devices, Computers, and Components Thereof; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to Amazon, Dell, Lenovo, Microsoft, Motorola, and Samsung Based on Settlement; Termination of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 66) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) that terminates the investigation as to the remaining respondents (Amazon, Dell, Lenovo, Microsoft, Motorola, and Samsung) based on a settlement. This investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Ronald A. Traud, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3427. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on June 24, 2019, based on a complaint filed by Neodron Ltd. of Dublin, Ireland (“Neodron”). 84 FR 29545 (June 24, 2019). The complaint, as amended, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain touch-controlled mobile devices, computers, and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,432,173; 8,791,910; 9,024,790; and 9,372,580. Id.: 84 FR 55584 (Oct. 17, 2019). The amended complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by section 337. 84 FR 29545; 84 FR 55584. The notice of investigation, as amended, named as respondents Amazon.com, Inc. of Seattle, Washington (“Amazon”); Dell Inc. of Round Rock, Texas; Dell Products LP of Round Rock, Texas (together, “Dell”); HP Inc. of Palo Alto, California (“HP”); Lenovo Group Ltd. of Beijing, China; Lenovo (United States) Inc. of Morrisville, North Carolina (together, “Lenovo”); Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, Washington (“Microsoft”); Motorola Mobility LLC of Chicago, Illinois (“Motorola”); Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of Suwon, South Korea; and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey (together, “Samsung”). 84 FR 29545; 84 FR 55584. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in the investigation. 84 FR 29545.

On September 2, 2020, this investigation was terminated as to HP. Order No. 59 (Aug. 20, 2020), unreviewed, Notice (Sept. 2, 2020).

On January 25, 2021, Neodron, Amazon, Dell, Lenovo, Microsoft, Motorola, and Samsung filed a joint motion to terminate all remaining respondents from the investigation based on a settlement agreement. On January 27, 2021, the ALJ issued Order No. 66, the subject ID, which granted the motion. The ID found that the motion complies with Commission Rule 210.21(b). The ID further found that terminating the investigation as to all remaining respondents will not adversely affect the public interest. Because the investigation had already terminated as to HP, the ID would result in the termination of the investigation in its entirety. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is hereby terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on February 8, 2021. The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 8, 2021.

Lisa Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021–02877 Filed 2–11–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1179]

Certain Pouch-Type Battery Cells, Battery Modules, and Battery Packs, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainants’ Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation and Terminate the Investigation as to Certain Claims Based on Withdrawal of the Complaint


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 53) of the presiding chief administrative law judge (“CALJ”) granting complainants’ corrected motion (1) for leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to reflect the respondents’ corporate reorganization and (2) to withdraw allegations concerning certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 10,121,994 (“the ’994 patent”) from the complaint.