

indoor access point to be received by the client device with a particular signal level? Apple, Broadcom et al. suggested –99 dBm/MHz: Is this level appropriate? If not, what signal level would be appropriate for this purpose? How can a specific signal level be correlated with the current requirement that the client device be under the control of an access point? For example, under such an approach, should the enabling signal level be of such a strength to effectively require that the signal levels between the access point and client device be sufficiently strong to permit bi-directional communications between the client devices and the access point, thereby ensuring that both client devices are sufficiently close to the access point? How frequently should a client device be required to receive an enabling signal to continue transmitting to another client device?

5. If permitted, should the client devices be limited to receiving an enabling signal from the same access point or could client-to-client communications be permitted so long as each client device receives an enabling signal from any authorized access point? Apple, Broadcom et al.'s suggestion would potentially permit two client devices to communicate even if they receive enabling signals from two different access points. For example, client devices in two different buildings receiving enabling signals from different low-power indoor access points could attempt to communicate with each other. Would permitting this to occur increase the potential for the client devices to cause harmful interference to licensed services? How would a requirement for both devices to receive an enabling signal from the same access point be implemented? Or should other configurations be permitted? For example, could a client device controlled by a standard power access point be permitted to communicate with a client device controlled by a low-power indoor access point? Could client-to-client communications be permitted between devices when both clients are controlled by a standard power access point? If so, are any changes needed to the AFC systems? Must the enabling signal be received on the same channel for each device under any of the scenarios contemplated? Under any envisioned client-to-client communication scenario, commenters should provide detailed descriptions of how such communications can be enabled including how such communications fit under the current rules that limit client devices to operating only under the control of a

standard power access point or a low-power indoor access point or whether, and which, rules would need to be modified. Commenters should provide detailed analysis of how any client-to-client communication configurations they prefer would protect incumbent operations from harmful interference. Finally, commenters should provide any other information they believe relevant to evaluating whether direct client-to-client communications consistent with the rationale of the Commission in the 6 GHz Order should be permitted, including any alternative methods or necessary rule changes not directly noted above.

Federal Communications Commission.

Ronald T. Repasi,

Acting Chief, Office of Engineering and Technology.

[FR Doc. 2021-01404 Filed 1-21-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060-0686; FRS 17401]

Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission Under Delegated Authority

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collections. Comments are requested concerning: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it

displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid OMB control number.

DATES: Written PRA comments should be submitted on or before March 23, 2021. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Cathy Williams, FCC, via email to PRA@fcc.gov and to Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information about the information collection, contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418-2918.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060-0686.

Title: International Section 214 Process and Tariff Requirements, 47 CFR Sections 63.10, 63.11, 63.13, 63.18, 63.19, 63.21, 63.22, 63.24, 63.25 and 1.1311.

Form No.: International Section 214—New Authorization; International Section 214 Authorization—Transfer of Control/Assignment; International Section 214—Special Temporary Authority and International Section 214—Foreign Carrier Affiliation Notification.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities.

Number of Respondents: 268 respondents; 455 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 1 hour–20 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion, annual and quarterly reporting requirements, third party disclosure requirement, and recordkeeping requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. The statutory authority for Part 1 of this information collection is contained in 47 U.S.C 151, 154(i), 154(j), 155, 225, 303(r), 309, and 325(e). The statutory authority for Part 63 of this information collection is contained in Sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), 10, 11, 201–205, 214, 218, 403, and 651 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and 47 U.S.C. 151, 154(i), 154(j), 160, 201–205, 214, 218, 403, and 571. The statutory authority for this information collection is also contained in the Cable Landing License Act, Executive Order 10530 and the Coastal Zone Management Act, 16 U.S.C. 1456.

Total Annual Burden: 1,677 hours.

Annual Cost Burden: \$419,390.
Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:
In general, there is no need for confidentiality.

Needs and Uses: This collection will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) as an extension after this 60-day comment period in order to obtain the full three-year clearance.

The information is used by the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) staff in carrying out its duties under the Communications Act. The information collections pertaining to Part 1 of the rules are necessary to determine whether the Commission should grant a license for proposed submarine cables landing in the United States. Pursuant to Executive Order No. 10530, the Commission has been delegated the President's authority under the Cable Landing License Act to grant cable landing licenses, provided that the Commission obtains the approval from the State Department and seeks advice from other government agencies as appropriate. The information collections pertaining to Part 63 are necessary largely to determine the qualifications of applicants to provide common carrier international telecommunications service, including applicants that are affiliated with foreign carriers, and to determine whether and under what conditions the authorizations are in the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

If the collections are not conducted or are conducted less frequently, applicants will not be able to obtain the authorizations necessary to provide telecommunications services, and the Commission will be unable to carry out its mandate under the Communications Act of 1934 and the Cable Landing License Act. In addition, without the information collections, the United States would jeopardize its ability to fulfill the U.S. obligations as negotiated under the World Trade Organization (WTO) Basic Telecom Agreement because these collections are imperative to detecting and deterring anticompetitive conduct. They are also necessary to preserve the Executive Branch agencies' and the Commission's ability to review foreign investments for national security, law enforcement, foreign policy, and trade concerns.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021-01367 Filed 1-21-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME AND DATE: 10:22 a.m. on Tuesday, January 19, 2021.

PLACE: The meeting was held via video conference on the internet.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: In calling the meeting, the Board determined, on motion of Director Martin J. Gruenberg, seconded by Director Kathleen L. Kraninger (Director, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau), and concurred in by Director Blake Paulson (Acting Comptroller of the Currency), and Chairman Jelena McWilliams, that Corporation business required its consideration of the matters which were to be the subject of this meeting on less than seven days' notice to the public; that no earlier notice of the meeting was practicable; that the public interest did not require consideration of the matters in a meeting open to public observation; and that the matters could be considered in a closed meeting by authority of subsections (c)(2), (c)(4), (c)(6), (c)(8), (c)(9)(A)(ii), and (c)(9)(B) of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(2), (c)(4), (c)(6), (c)(8), (c)(9)(A)(ii), and (c)(9)(B)).

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Requests for further information concerning the meeting may be directed to Ms. Debra A. Decker, Deputy Executive Secretary of the Corporation, at 202-898-8748.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

James P. Sheesley,

Assistant Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021-01545 Filed 1-19-21; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-P

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Sunshine Act Meeting; Notice of Meeting To Be Held With Less Than Seven Days Advance Notice

TIME AND DATE: 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, January 19, 2021.

PLACE: The meeting was held via video conference on the internet and was webcast to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, notice is hereby given that the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's Board of Directors met in open session at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, January 19, 2021, to consider the following matters:

Summary Agenda

Disposition of Minutes of a Board of Directors' Meeting Previously Distributed.

Memorandum and resolution re: Final Rule on Role of Supervisory Guidance.

Memorandum and resolution re: Notice of Proposed Rule on Rescission and Removal of Transferred OTS Regulations, Definitions for Regulations Affecting All State Savings Associations (Part 390 Subpart Q).

Memorandum and resolution re: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Removal of Transferred OTS Regulations Regarding Securities Offerings of State Savings Associations, Rescission of Statement of Policy on the Use of Offering Circulars, Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Securities Offerings by State Nonmember Banks and State Savings Associations, and Other, Technical Amendments.

Report of actions taken pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors.

Discussion Agenda

Memorandum and resolution re: Revisions to the FDIC's Guidelines for Appeals of Material Supervisory Determinations.

In calling the meeting, the Board determined, on motion of Director Martin J. Gruenberg, seconded by Director Kathleen Kraninger (Director, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau), concurred in by Director Blake Paulson (Acting Comptroller of the Currency), and Chairman Jelena McWilliams, that Corporation business required its consideration of the matters on less than seven days' notice to the public; and that no earlier notice of the meeting than that previously provided on January 15, 2021, was practicable.

Dated this the 19th day of January, 2021.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

James P. Sheesley,

Assistant Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021-01544 Filed 1-19-21; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-P

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

[NOTICE 2021-01]

Filing Dates for the Louisiana Special Election in the 2nd Congressional District Special Election

AGENCY: Federal Election Commission.

ACTION: Notice of filing dates for special election.

SUMMARY: Louisiana has scheduled a Special General Election on March 20,