SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Marc Geier, Office of Policy and Program Analysis, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472, 202.924.0196 or FEMA-DPA@fema.dhs.gov. You may contact the Information Management Division for copies of the proposed collection of information at email address: FEMA-Information-Collections-Management@fema.dhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This information is necessary to support the President’s priorities and allocations authority under the DPA implemented by the Emergency Management Priorities and Allocations System (EMPAS) regulation (44 CFR part 333), which was added by FEMA’s May 13, 2020, Emergency Management Priorities and Allocations System Interim Final Rule (RIN 1660–AB04). The purpose of this authority is to ensure the timely delivery of products, materials, and services to meet current national defense requirements. The definition of “national defense” in Section 702(14) of the DPA provides that this term includes “homeland security,” “emergency preparedness activities” conducted pursuant to Section 602 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (Stafford Act) (42 U.S.C. 5195a), and “critical infrastructure protection and restoration.”

Collection of Information

Title: Rated Orders, Adjustments, Exceptions, or Appeals Under the Emergency Management Priorities and Allocations System (EMPAS).

Type of Information Collection: Revision of a currently approved information collection.

OMB Number: 1660–0150.

FEMA Forms: No forms.

Abstract: To help ensure the timely delivery of goods and services in support of approved emergency management programs, section 333.13 of the EMPAS regulation requires suppliers to accept or reject priority rated orders for these goods and services within established time periods (10 working days for a “DX” rated order and 15 working days for a “DO” rated order). Rated orders may be placed directly by the Federal Government on a contractor or supplier, or they may “flow down” from a contractor to subsequent subcontractors or suppliers. Additionally, FEMA may facilitate sales to third parties. Section 333.13 also requires that certain emergency preparedness rated orders must be accepted or rejected within shorter time periods as specified in section 333.12(b). Section 333.13(d)(3) of the EMPAS regulation requires that, if after acceptance of a rated order the supplier discovers that shipment or performance against the order will be delayed, the supplier must notify the customer immediately in written electronic format, giving the reasons for the delay and advising the customer of a new shipment or performance date. This collection of information involves order communications between a Federal Government prime contractor and its subcontractors, unless FEMA is facilitating a sale to a third party. In those situations, FEMA would collect information on the customer as part of the sale facilitation.

Finally, under section 333.70 each request for adjustment or exception must be in writing and contain a complete statement of all the facts and circumstances related to 44 CFR part 333 or official action from which adjustment is sought and a full and precise statement of the reasons why relief should be provided. Under section 333.71, any person who has had a request for adjustment or exception denied by FEMA under section 333.70 may appeal to the Administrator. Each appeal must be in writing and contain a complete statement of all the facts and circumstances related to the action appealed from a full and precise statement of the reasons the decision should be modified or reversed.

Affected Public: For Profit Business; Private Non-Profit; State, local or Tribal government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 26.

Estimated Number of Responses: 26.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 8.5.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Cost: $533.

Estimated Respondents’ Operation and Maintenance Costs: None.

Estimated Respondents’ Capital and Start-Up Costs: None.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to the Federal Government: $188.

Comments

Comments may be submitted as indicated in the ADDRESSES caption above. Comments are solicited to (a) evaluate whether the proposed data collection is necessary for the proper performance of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.


[FR Doc. 2020–29029 Filed 12–31–20; 8:45 am]
operational control of the international land border. Secure Fence Act of 2006, Public Law 109–367, sec. 2, 120 Stat. 2638 (Oct. 26, 2006) (8 U.S.C. 1701 note). Congress defined “operational control” as the prevention of all unlawful entries into the United States, including entries by terrorists, other unlawful aliens, instruments of terrorism, narcotics, and other contraband. Id. Consistent with that mandate from Congress, the President’s Executive Order on Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements directed executive departments and agencies to deploy all lawful means to secure the southern border. Executive Order 13767, sect. 1. In order to achieve that end, the President directed, among other things, that I take immediate steps to prevent all unlawful entries into the United States, including the immediate construction of physical infrastructure to prevent illegal entry. Executive Order 13767, sect. 4(a).


**Determination and Waiver**

**Section 1**

The United States Border Patrol’s El Centro Sector is an area of high illegal entry. In fiscal year 2020, the United States Border Patrol (“Border Patrol”) apprehended over 11,000 illegal aliens attempting to enter the United States between border crossings in the El Centro Sector. In that same time period, between border crossings in the El Centro Sector the Border Patrol seized over 200 pounds of marijuana, over 50 pounds of cocaine, over 30 pounds of heroin, over 3,100 pounds of methamphetamine, and over 60 pounds of fentanyl.

Due to the high levels of illegal entry within the El Centro Sector, I must use my authority under section 102 of IIRIRA to install additional physical barriers and roads in the El Centro Sector. Therefore, DHS will take immediate action to construct primary and secondary barriers in the El Centro Sector. The areas in the vicinity of the border within which such construction will occur are referred to herein as the “project areas” and are more specifically described in Section 2 below.

**Section 2**

I determine that the following areas in the vicinity of the United States border, located in the State of California in the Border Patrol’s El Centro Sector are areas of high illegal entry (the “project areas”):

- Starting one-half (0.5) of a mile west of the San Diego County—Imperial County line and extending east to approximately one mile east of Border Monument 210

There is presently an acute and immediate need to construct physical barriers and roads in the vicinity of the border of the United States in order to prevent unlawful entries into the United States in the project areas pursuant to sections 102(a) and 102(b) of IIRIRA. In order to ensure the expeditious construction of the barriers and roads in the project areas, I have determined that it is necessary that I exercise the authority that is vested in me by section 102(c) of IIRIRA.

Accordingly, pursuant to section 102(c) of IIRIRA, I hereby waive in their entirety, with respect to all contracting actions associated with the construction of physical barriers and roads (including, but not limited to, accessing the project areas, creating and using staging areas, the conduct of earthwork, excavation, fill, and site preparation, and installation and upkeep of physical barriers, roads, supporting elements, drainage, erosion controls, safety features, lighting, cameras, and sensors) in the project areas, all of the following statutes and regulations, including any legal requirements of, deriving from, or related to the subject of, the following statutes and regulations: 41 U.S.C. 3301; 41 U.S.C. 3302(b)–(e); 41 U.S.C. 3304; 41 U.S.C. 3306(a); 41 U.S.C. 3309(b)–(e); 41 U.S.C. 3502; 41 U.S.C. 4103(c), (d)(3)–(4); 41 U.S.C. 4104(b); 41 U.S.C. 4106(c)–(d); 41 U.S.C. 6101(b)(1); 41 U.S.C. 1126; 41 U.S.C. 1708(a), (c), (e).


This waiver does not revoke or supersede any other waiver determination made pursuant to section 102(c) of IIRIRA. Such waivers shall remain in full force and effect in accordance with their terms. I reserve the authority to execute further waivers from time to time as I may determine to be necessary under section 102 of IIRIRA.

**Signature**

The Acting Secretary of Homeland Security, Chad F. Wolf, having reviewed and approved this document, is delegating the authority to electronically sign this document to Ian J. Brekke, who is Deputy General Counsel for DHS, for purposes of publication in the Federal Register.

Ian J. Brekke,  
Deputy General Counsel for DHS.
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BILLING CODE 9111–14–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–7028–N–09]

**60-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Transfer and Consolidation of Public Housing Programs; OMB Control No.: 2577–0280**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, PIH, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** HUD is seeking approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for the information collection described below. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD is requesting comment from all interested parties on the proposed collection of