

data by Friday, March 26, 2021, to facilitate timely processing.

Submissions by SEAs to the Census Bureau will be analyzed for accuracy and returned to each SEA for verification. SEAs must submit all data, including any revisions to FY 2019 and FY 2020 data, to the Census Bureau no later than Friday, August 13, 2021. Any resubmissions of FY 2019 or FY 2020 data by SEAs in response to requests for clarification or reconciliation or other inquiries by NCES or the Census Bureau must be completed by Tuesday, September 7, 2021. Between August 13, 2021, and September 7, 2021, SEAs may also, on their own initiative, resubmit data to resolve issues not addressed in their final submission of NPEFS data by August 13, 2021. All outstanding data issues must be reconciled or resolved by the SEAs, NCES, and the Census Bureau

as soon as possible, but no later than September 7, 2021.

In order to facilitate timely submission of data, the Census Bureau will send reminder notices to SEAs in June and July of 2021.

Having accurate, consistent, and timely information is critical to an efficient and fair allocation process and to the NCES statistical process. The Department establishes Friday, August 13, 2021, as the final date by which the SEAs must submit data using either the interactive survey form on the NPEFS data collection website at: <https://surveys.nces.ed.gov/ccdnpefs> or ED Form 2447. This date is established to ensure that the best, most accurate data will be available to support timely distribution of Federal education funds.

Any resubmissions of FY 2019 or FY 2020 data by SEAs in response to

requests for clarification or reconciliation or other inquiries by NCES or the Census Bureau must be completed through the interactive survey form on the NPEFS data collection website or ED Form 2447 by Tuesday, September 7, 2021. If an SEA submits revised data after the final deadline that result in a lower SPPE figure, the SEA's allocations may be adjusted downward, or the Department may direct the SEA to return funds. SEAs should be aware that all of these data are subject to audit and that, if any inaccuracies are discovered in the audit process, the Department may seek recovery of overpayments for the applicable programs.

Note: The following are important dates in the data collection process for FY 2020 data and revisions to reports for previous fiscal years:

Date	Activity
January 29, 2021	SEAs can begin to submit accurate and complete data for FY 2020 and revisions to previously submitted data for FY 2019.
March 26, 2021	Date by which SEAs are urged to submit accurate and complete data for FY 2020 and FY 2019.
August 13, 2021	Mandatory final submission date for FY 2019 and FY 2020 data to be used for program funding allocation purposes.
September 7, 2021	Mandatory final deadline for responses by SEAs to requests for clarification or reconciliation or other inquiries by NCES or the Census Bureau. Between August 13, 2021, and September 7, 2021, SEAs may also, on their own initiative, resubmit data to resolve issues not addressed in their final submission of NPEFS data by August 13, 2021. All data issues must be resolved.

Accessible Format: On request to the program contact person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**, individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an accessible format. The Department will provide the requestor with an accessible format that may include Rich Text Format (RTF) or text format (txt), a thumb drive, an MP3 file, braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc, or other accessible format.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. You may access the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations at www.govinfo.gov. At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the **Federal Register** by using the article search feature at www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by

the Department. (*Authority:* 20 U.S.C. 9543.)

Craig Stanton,

*Deputy Director of Administration and Policy,
Institute of Education Sciences.*

[FR Doc. 2020-29112 Filed 12-31-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[Case Number 2020-002; EERE-2020-BT-WAV-0009]

Energy Conservation Program: Decision and Order Granting a Waiver to Senneca Holdings From the Department of Energy Walk-in Cooler and Walk-in Freezer Test Procedure

AGENCY: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notification of decision and order.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy ("DOE") gives notification of a Decision and Order (Case Number 2020-002) that grants to Senneca Holdings ("Senneca") a waiver from specified portions of the DOE test procedure for determining the energy consumption of specified walk-in cooler and walk-in freezer door ("walk-in door") basic models. Under

the Decision and Order, Senneca is required to test and rate the specified basic models of its walk-in doors in accordance with the alternate test procedure specified in the Decision and Order.

DATES: The Decision and Order is effective on January 4, 2021. The Decision and Order will terminate upon the compliance date of any future amendment to the test procedure for walk-in cooler and walk-in freezer doors located at title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"), part 431, subpart R, appendix A that addresses the issues presented in this waiver. At such time, Senneca must use the relevant test procedure for this equipment for any testing to demonstrate compliance with the applicable standards, and any other representations of energy use.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ms. Lucy deButts, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Building Technologies Office, EE-5B, 1000 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20585-0121. Email: AS_Waiver_Requests@ee.doe.gov.

Mr. Michael Kido, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of the General Counsel, Mail Stop GC-33, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20585-0103.

Telephone: (202) 586-8145. Email: Michael.Kido@hq.doe.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In accordance with Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 431.401(f)(2)), DOE gives notification of the issuance of its Decision and Order as set forth below. The Decision and Order grants Senneca a waiver from the applicable test procedure at 10 CFR part 431, subpart R, appendix A for specified basic models of walk-in doors, and provides that Senneca must test and rate such equipment using the alternate test procedure specified in the Decision and Order. Senneca's representations concerning the energy consumption of the specified basic models must be based on testing according to the provisions and restrictions in the alternate test procedure set forth in the Decision and Order, and the representations must fairly disclose the test results. Distributors, retailers, and private labelers are held to the same requirements when making representations regarding the energy consumption of this equipment. (42 U.S.C. 6314(d))

Consistent with 10 CFR 431.401(j), not later than March 5, 2021, any manufacturer not currently distributing in commerce in the United States equipment employing a technology or characteristic that results in the same need for a waiver from the applicable test procedure must submit a petition for waiver. Manufacturers not currently distributing such equipment in commerce in the United States must petition for and be granted a waiver prior to the distribution in commerce of that equipment in the United States. Manufacturers may also submit a request for interim waiver pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR 431.401.

Signing Authority

This document of the Department of Energy was signed on December 28, 2020, by Daniel R. Simmons, Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, pursuant to delegated authority from the Secretary of Energy. That document with the original signature and date is maintained by DOE. For administrative purposes only, and in compliance with requirements of the Office of the Federal Register, the undersigned DOE Federal Register Liaison Officer has been authorized to sign and submit the document in electronic format for publication, as an official document of the Department of Energy. This administrative process in no way alters the legal effect of this document upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

Signed in Washington, DC, on December 29, 2020.

Treena V. Garrett,

Federal Register Liaison Officer, U.S. Department of Energy.

Case #2020-002 Decision and Order

I. Background and Authority

The Energy Policy and Conservation Act, as amended ("EPCA"),¹ among other things, authorizes the U.S. Department of Energy ("DOE") to regulate the energy efficiency of a number of consumer products and certain industrial equipment. (42 U.S.C. 6291-6317) Title III, Part C² of EPCA established the Energy Conservation Program for Certain Industrial Equipment, which sets forth a variety of provisions designed to improve energy efficiency for certain types of industrial equipment. These types of equipment include walk-in coolers and walk-in freezers, the focus of this document. (42 U.S.C. 6311(G))

Under EPCA, DOE's energy conservation program consists essentially of four parts: (1) Testing, (2) labeling, (3) Federal energy conservation standards, and (4) certification and enforcement procedures. Relevant provisions of EPCA include definitions (42 U.S.C. 6311), test procedures (42 U.S.C. 6314), labeling provisions (42 U.S.C. 6315), energy conservation standards (42 U.S.C. 6313), and the authority to require information and reports from manufacturers (42 U.S.C. 6316).

The Federal testing requirements consist of test procedures that manufacturers of covered equipment must use as the basis for: (1) Certifying to DOE that their equipment complies with the applicable energy conservation standards adopted pursuant to EPCA (42 U.S.C. 6316(a); 42 U.S.C. 6295(s)), and (2) making representations about the efficiency of that equipment (42 U.S.C. 6314(d)). Similarly, DOE must use these test procedures to determine whether the equipment complies with relevant standards promulgated under EPCA. (42 U.S.C. 6316(a); 42 U.S.C. 6295(s))

Under 42 U.S.C. 6314, EPCA sets forth the criteria and procedures DOE is required to follow when prescribing or amending test procedures for covered equipment. EPCA requires that any test procedures prescribed or amended under this section must be reasonably designed to produce test results which

reflect energy efficiency, energy use or estimated annual operating cost of covered equipment during a representative average use cycle and requires that test procedures not be unduly burdensome to conduct. (42 U.S.C. 6314(a)(2)) The test procedure for walk-in doors is contained at 10 CFR part 431, subpart R, appendix A, "Uniform Test Method for the Measurement of Energy Consumption of the Components of Envelopes of Walk-In Coolers and Walk-In Freezers" ("Appendix A").

Under 10 CFR 431.401, any interested person may submit a petition for waiver from DOE's test procedure requirements. DOE will grant a waiver from the test procedure requirements if DOE determines either that the basic model for which the waiver was requested contains a design characteristic that prevents testing of the basic model according to the prescribed test procedures, or that the prescribed test procedures evaluate the basic model in a manner so unrepresentative of its true energy consumption characteristics as to provide materially inaccurate comparative data. 10 CFR 431.401(f)(2). DOE may grant the waiver subject to conditions, including adherence to alternate test procedures. *Id.*

II. Senneca's Petition for Waiver: Assertions and Determinations

By letter dated March 13, 2020, Senneca Holdings ("Senneca") filed a petition for waiver and a petition for interim waiver from the DOE test procedure applicable to walk-in doors set forth in Appendix A. (Senneca, No. 1)³ In response to questions from DOE, Senneca provided subsequent petitions for waiver and interim waiver on June 12, 2020 (Senneca, No. 2) and on July 21, 2020 (Senneca, No. 3).⁴ Appendix A accounts for the power consumption of all electrical components associated with each door and discounts the power consumption of electrical components based on their operating time by an assigned percent time off ("PTO") value. Appendix A, section 4.5.2. Section 4.5.2 of Appendix A specifies a PTO of 25 percent for "other electricity-consuming

³ A notation in the form "Senneca, No. 1" identifies a written submission: (1) Made by Senneca Holdings; and (2) recorded in document number 1 that is filed in the docket of this petition for waiver (Docket No. EERE-2020-BT-WAV-0009) and available for review at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

⁴ Due to the lengthy list of walk-in door basic models listed in Senneca's July 21, 2020 petition, DOE is making the complete list publicly available in the relevant regulatory docket. The specific basic models identified in Appendix I of the petition can be found in the docket at <http://www.regulations.gov/docket?D=EERE-2020-BT-WAV-0009>.

¹ All references to EPCA in this document refer to the statute as amended through America's Water Infrastructure Act of 2018, Public Law 115-270 (Oct. 23, 2018).

² For editorial reasons, upon codification in the U.S. Code, Part C was redesignated as Part A-1.

devices” (*i.e.*, electrical devices other than lighting or anti-sweat heaters) that have demand-based controls, and a PTO of 0 percent for other electricity-consuming devices without demand-based controls. *Id.* In its petition for waiver, Senneca suggested applying a PTO value of 97 percent to the door motors associated with the basic models specified in its petition. Senneca stated that the test procedure’s assumption that the door motor operates for 75 percent of the day significantly overstates normal motor usage on their ColdGuard and Eco-Cold powered door models, causing the prescribed test procedure to inaccurately evaluate the true energy consumption characteristics as to provide materially inaccurate comparative data (Senneca, No. 3 at p. 1).

On September 28, 2020, DOE published a notification that announced its receipt of the petition for waiver and granted Senneca an interim waiver. 85 FR 60771 (“Notification of Petition for Waiver”). In the Notification of Petition for Waiver, DOE presented Senneca’s claim that results from testing the specified basic models according to Appendix A are unrepresentative of actual energy usage because of the assigned PTO value. DOE also summarized Senneca’s requested alternate test procedure, which would require testing the specified basic models according to Appendix A, except the PTO value for door motors would be modified from 25 percent to 97 percent for the specified freight and passage doors.

As explained in the Notification of Petition for Waiver, DOE considered the potential range of parameters affecting door motor operating time and evaluated the PTO based on the most energy consumptive scenarios for both the horizontally and vertically opening door basic models specified by Senneca. 85 FR 60771, 60774. In its calculations, DOE used the largest door opening at the slowest speed and standard duty cycle specified in the product literature of the door motors associated with Senneca’s specified basic models. *Id.* Assuming the most energy consumptive scenarios, DOE initially determined that the suggested PTO value of 97 percent was more representative of actual energy use than the currently required PTO value of 25 percent. 85 FR 60771, 60775.

In the Notification of Petition for Waiver, DOE also solicited comments from interested parties on all aspects of the petition and the specified alternate test procedure. 85 FR 60771. In response, DOE received one substantive

comment⁵ from Hussmann Corporation (“Hussmann”).⁶ Hussmann objected to Senneca’s request for an alternate test procedure, asserting that Senneca’s waiver request does not meet the criteria for DOE to grant a waiver, specifically: (1) The basic model(s) for which the waiver was requested must contain a design characteristic that prevents testing of the basic model according to the prescribed test procedures, or (2) the prescribed test procedure must evaluate the basic model(s) in a manner so unrepresentative of its true energy consumption as to provide materially inaccurate comparative data.

(Hussmann, No. 5 at p. 1) Further, Hussmann stated that Senneca’s petition should be denied because investment and redesign can be used to achieve compliance with the current Federal standards. (Hussmann, No. 5 at p. 2)

As discussed previously, DOE may grant a waiver if either (1) the basic model for which the waiver was requested contains a design characteristic that prevents testing of the basic model according to the prescribed test procedures, or (2) the prescribed test procedures evaluate the basic model in a manner so unrepresentative of its true energy consumption characteristics as to provide materially inaccurate comparative data. 10 CFR 431.401(f)(2). In response to Hussmann’s assertion that the criteria for granting a waiver to Senneca has not been met, DOE notes that while Senneca is not prevented from testing the basic models specified in its waiver petition, the performance data demonstrate that the current test procedure evaluates the energy consumption of its basic models with motorized door openers in a manner so unrepresentative of its true energy consumption as to provide materially inaccurate comparative data. In response to Hussmann’s comment that compliance with current Federal standards could be achieved, DOE notes that the criteria which allow DOE to grant a waiver do not depend on whether investment or redesign could make the basic models compliant with the standard. EPCA does not require that a manufacturer design a particular type of equipment to enable it to be tested under a given test procedure. Instead, a test procedure must, among other things, be reasonably designed to produce test results reflecting the energy efficiency of a given type of industrial equipment. See generally 42 U.S.C.

6314(a)(2). Additionally, the required use of 97 percent is consistent with waivers previously granted in response to petitions that presented the same issue as in Senneca’s petition. See Notice of Decision and Order granting a waiver to Jamison Door (Case No. 2017–009), 83 FR 53460 (Oct. 23, 2018); Notice of Decision and Order granting a waiver to HH Technologies (Case No. 2018–001), 83 FR 53457 (Oct. 23, 2018); and Extension of Waiver to HH Technologies (Case No. 2018–011), 84 FR 1434 (Feb. 4, 2019).⁷

For the reasons explained here and in the Notification of Petition for Waiver, absent a waiver the basic models identified by Senneca in its petition cannot be tested and rated for energy consumption on a basis representative of their true energy consumption characteristics. DOE has reviewed the recommended procedure suggested by Senneca and concludes that it will allow for the accurate measurement of the energy use of the equipment, while alleviating the testing issues associated with Senneca’s implementation of DOE’s applicable walk-in door test procedure for the specified basic models. Thus, DOE is requiring that Senneca test and rate walk-in door basic models according to the alternate test procedure specified in this Decision and Order, which is identical to the procedure provided in the interim waiver.

This Decision and Order is applicable only to the basic models listed and does not extend to any other basic models. DOE evaluates and grants waivers for only those basic models specifically set out in the petition, not future models that may be manufactured by the petitioner.

Senneca may request that DOE extend the scope of this waiver to include additional basic models that employ the same technology as those listed in this waiver. 10 CFR 431.401(g). Senneca may also submit another petition for waiver from the test procedure for additional basic models that employ a different technology and meet the criteria for test procedure waivers. 10 CFR 431.401(a)(1).

DOE notes that it may modify or rescind the waiver at any time upon DOE’s determination that the factual basis underlying the petition for waiver

⁵ DOE received an additional comment from an anonymous submitter opposing waivers in general.

⁶ Hussmann’s comment can be accessed at: <https://www.regulations.gov/docket?D=EERE-2020-BT-WAV-0009>.

⁷ DOE notes that Hussmann has previously expressed general support for similar petitions for waiver, specifically in response to waiver petitions from Jamison Door, in which Jamison Door requested a PTO value of 93.5 percent (Docket No. EERE–2017–BT–WAV–0040–0005 at p. 1), and from HH Technologies, in which HH Technologies requested a PTO value of 96 percent (Docket No. EERE–2018–BT–WAV–0001–0013 at p. 1).

is incorrect, or upon a determination that the results from the alternate test procedure are unrepresentative of the basic models' true energy consumption characteristics. 10 CFR 431.401(k)(1). Likewise, Senneca may request that DOE rescind or modify the waiver if the company discovers an error in the information provided to DOE as part of its petition, determines that the waiver is no longer needed, or for other appropriate reasons. 10 CFR 431.401(k)(2).

III. Order

After careful consideration of all the material that was submitted by Senneca, the various public-facing materials (*e.g.*, product literature, installation manuals) for the units listed in the petition, and comment(s) received, in this matter, it is *ordered* that:

(1) Senneca must, as of the date of publication of this Order in the **Federal Register**, test and rate the basic models listed in Appendix I of its July 21, 2020 petition as provided in Docket Number EERE-2020-BT-WAV-0009-0003 with the alternate test procedure as set forth in paragraph (2):

(2) The alternate test procedure for the Senneca basic models identified in paragraph (1) of this Order is the test procedure for walk-in doors prescribed by DOE at 10 CFR part 431, subpart R, appendix A, except that the PTO value specified in section 4.5.2 "Direct Energy Consumption of Electrical Components of Non-Display Doors" shall be 97 percent for door motors. All other requirements of 10 CFR part 431, subpart R, appendix A and DOE's regulations remain applicable.

(3) *Representations.* Senneca may not make representations about the energy use of a basic model identified in paragraph (1) of this Order for compliance or marketing, unless the basic model has been tested in accordance with the provisions set forth above and such representations fairly disclose the results of such testing.

(4) This waiver shall remain in effect according to the provisions of 10 CFR 431.401.

(5) DOE issues this waiver on the condition that the door performance characteristics, statements, representations, test data, and documentary materials provided by Senneca are valid. If Senneca makes any modifications to the controls or configurations of these basic models, such modifications will render the waiver invalid with respect to that basic model, and Senneca will either be required to use the current Federal test method or submit a new application for a test procedure waiver. DOE may

rescind or modify this waiver at any time if it determines the factual basis underlying the petition for waiver is incorrect, or the results from the alternate test procedure are unrepresentative of a basic model's true energy consumption characteristics. 10 CFR 431.401(k)(1). Likewise, Senneca may request that DOE rescind or modify the waiver if Senneca discovers an error in the information provided to DOE as part of its petition, determines that the waiver is no longer needed, or for other appropriate reasons. 10 CFR 431.401(k)(2).

(6) Senneca remains obligated to fulfill all applicable requirements set forth at 10 CFR part 429.

Signed in Washington, DC, on December 28, 2020.

Daniel R. Simmons,

Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.

[FR Doc. 2020-29099 Filed 12-31-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Agency Information Collection Extension

AGENCY: Office of Environment, Health, Safety and Security, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE), pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, intends to extend for three years, an information collection request with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The information continues to be necessary to provide DOE with the information needed to reduce the number of workers currently exposed to beryllium in the course of their work at sites managed by DOE or its contractors; minimize the levels of and potential for exposure to beryllium; and provide medical surveillance to ensure early detection of chronic beryllium disease.

DATES: Comments regarding this proposed information collection must be received on or before March 5, 2021. If you anticipate difficulty in submitting comments within that period, contact the person listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be sent to James Dillard, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Health, Safety and Security, AU-11/Germantown Building, 1000 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20585, or by email at: james.dillard@hq.doe.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to James Dillard, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Health, Safety and Security, AU-11/Germantown Building, 1000 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20585, or by email at james.dillard@hq.doe.gov or by telephone at (301) 903-1165.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the extended collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

This information collection request contains: (1) *OMB Control No.:* 1910-5112; (2) *Information Collection Request Title:* Final Rule: Chronic Beryllium Disease Prevention Program; (3) *Type of Review:* Renewal; (4) *Purpose:* This collection provides the Department with the information needed to continue reducing the number of workers currently exposed to beryllium in the course of their work at DOE facilities managed by DOE or its contractors; minimize the levels and potential exposure to beryllium; to provide information to employees, to provide medical surveillance to ensure early detection of disease; and to permit oversight of the programs by DOE management. DOE issued a final rule on December 8, 1999 (64 FR 68854), Chronic Beryllium Disease Prevention Program, which includes provisions that impose collections of information; (5) *Annual Estimated Number of Respondents:* 6,650 (26 DOE sites and 6,624 workers affected by the rule); (6) *Annual Estimated Number of Total Responses:* 16,613; (7) *Annual Estimated Number of Burden Hours:* 29,290; (8) *Annual Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden:* \$1,867,465; (9) *Response Obligation:* Mandatory.

Statutory Authority: Atomic Energy Act of 1954, 42 U.S.C. 2201, and the Department of Energy Organization Act, 42 U.S.C. 7191 and 42 U.S.C. 7254.