

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1218]

**Notice of a Commission Determination
Not To Review an Initial Determination
Granting Leave To Amend the
Complaint and Notice of Investigation;
Certain Variable Speed Wind Turbine
Generators and Components Thereof****AGENCY:** International Trade
Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 10) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”), granting leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Benjamin S. Richards, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5453. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on September 8, 2020. 85 FR 55492-93 (Sep. 8, 2020). The complaint, as supplemented, was filed by General Electric Company (“GE”) and alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain variable speed wind turbine generators and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of United States Patent Nos. 6,921,985 (“the ‘985 patent”) and 7,629,705 (“the ‘705 patent”). *Id.* at 55492. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy Inc. of Orlando, Florida; Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy A/S of Brande, Denmark; and Gamesa Electric, S.A.U. of Zamudio,Spain (collectively “SGRE”). *Id.* at 55493. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in this investigation. *Id.*

On November 13, 2020, GE moved for leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add independent claim 15 and dependent claims 16 and 21-24 to the asserted claims of the ‘985 patent and dependent claim 2 for the ‘705 patent. On November 25, 2020, SGRE filed a brief opposing the motion.

On December 2, 2020, the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) issued the subject ID granting the motion and allowing GE leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add the requested claims. The ALJ reached that conclusion by finding that the record supported the conclusion that GE did not obtain information supporting allegations of infringement as to the additional claims until after it filed the complaint in this investigation. The ALJ also found that granting the motion would not prejudice the parties’ rights in the investigation because the additional claims would not expand the scope of accused products at issue in the investigation, and adequate time remains in fact discovery, scheduled to close on March 5, 2021. No petitions for review of the ID were received.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on December 18, 2020. The following claims have been added to the investigation: claims 15-16 and 21-24 of the ‘985 patent and claim 2 of the ‘705 patent.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 21, 2020.

Lisa Barton,*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2020-28673 Filed 12-28-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1202]

**Certain Synthetic Roofing
Underlayment Products and
Components Thereof; Commission
Determination Not To Review an Initial
Determination Terminating the
Investigation as to All Respondents
Based on Withdrawal of the Complaint;
Termination of Investigation****AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade
Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 23) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting complainant’s unopposed motion to terminate the above-captioned investigation as to all respondents based on withdrawal of the complaint.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on June 1, 2020, based on a complaint filed by Kirsch Research and Development, LLC (“Kirsch”) of Simi Valley, California. 85 FR 33198-99 (June 1, 2020). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain synthetic roofing underlayment products and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,765,251. *Id.* at 33198. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation names eleven respondents: Atlas Roofing Corporation of Atlanta, Georgia;

CertainTeed Corporation of Malvern, Pennsylvania; Dupont De Nemours, Inc. and E.I. Du Pont De Nemours and Company, both of Wilmington, Delaware; Epilay, Inc. of Carson, California; GAF Corporation of Parsippany, New Jersey; Owens Corning, Owens Corning Roofing & Asphalt, LLC, and InterWrap Corp., each of Toledo, Ohio; SCC of Issaquah, Washington; and TAMKO Building Products, LLC of Joplin, Missouri. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not named as a party. *Id.*

On August 4, 2020, the Commission determined to amend the complaint and notice of investigation by substituting CertainTeed LLC for respondent CertainTeed Corporation and GAF Materials LLC for respondent GAF Corporation. Order No. 6 (July 14, 2020), *unreviewed by* 85 FR 47988 (Aug. 7, 2020). That same day, the Commission also determined to terminate the investigation as to CertainTeed Corporation and GAF Corporation based on good cause. Order No. 7 (July 14, 2020), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Aug. 4, 2020). On November 18, 2020, the Commission determined to terminate the investigation as to SCC based on settlement. Order No. 18 (Oct. 22, 2020), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Nov. 18, 2020).

On December 7, 2020, Kirsch filed an unopposed motion to terminate the investigation as to all respondents based on withdrawal of the complaint under Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(a)(1)). Kirsch's motion included a request to stay the procedural schedule pending termination of the investigation. Mot. at 3–4. No party responded to the motion.

On December 9, 2020, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the unopposed motion. The ID finds that the motion complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(a)(1)); that the parties “appear to agree that there are no extraordinary circumstances” that would prevent termination; and that terminating the investigation “is in the public interest.” ID at 2–3. No petitions for review of the subject ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. This investigation is terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on December 21, 2020.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of

Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 22, 2020.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020–28778 Filed 12–28–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on December 15, 2020, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Specifically, Alpha Video, Eden Prairie, MN; Aperi, Camarillo, CA; NTT Electronics Europe sr, Milano, ITALY; NVIDIA Corporate, Santa Clara, CA; SynaMedia, Lawrenceville, GA; and Telestream, LLC, Nevada City, CA, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, AXON Digital Design BV, Gilze, NETHERLANDS; Embrionex Design Inc., Laval Quebec, CANADA; Fox NE&O Technology Group, Los Angeles, CA; IML, Seoul, SOUTH KOREA; Mellanox Technologies Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; Nevion Limited, Theale, UNITED KINGDOM; UNIVISION Communications Inc., Miami, FL; and Vidispine, Kista, SWEDEN, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 28, 2000, Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 29, 2000 (65 FR 40127).

The last notification was filed with the Department on September 15, 2020. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 29, 2020 (85 FR 61031).

Suzanne Morris,

Chief, Premerger and Division Statistics, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2020–28696 Filed 12–28–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Brian M. Manjarres, M.D.; Decision and Order

On September 28, 2020, the Assistant Administrator, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration (hereinafter, Government), issued an Order to Show Cause (hereinafter, OSC) to Brian M. Manjarres, M.D. (hereinafter, Registrant). OSC, at 1. The OSC proposed the revocation of Registrant's Certificate of Registration No. FM0288363. *Id.* It alleged that Registrant is without “authority to handle controlled substances in the State of California, the state in which [Registrant is] registered with the DEA.” *Id.* at 2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3)).

Specifically, the OSC alleged that on January 1, 2020, Registrant surrendered his medical license “after the Medical Board of California filed an Accusation against [him] alleging gross negligence, repeated negligent acts, incompetence, and failure to maintain adequate and accurate records in [his] care and treatment of numerous patients, and additionally alleging that [he] self-prescribed controlled substances and engaged in general unprofessional conduct.” *Id.* at 1–2. The OSC further alleged that because Registrant surrendered his medical license, Registrant lacks the authority to handle controlled substances in the State of California. *Id.* at 2.

The OSC notified Registrant of the right to either request a hearing on the allegations or submit a written statement in lieu of exercising the right to a hearing, the procedures for electing each option, and the consequences for failing to elect either option. *Id.* (citing 21 CFR 1301.43). The OSC also notified Registrant of the opportunity to submit a corrective action plan. *Id.* at 2–3 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(c)(2)(C)).

A DEA Diversion Investigator personally served Registrant with the OSC on October 21, 2020, and Registrant signed a DEA Form 12,