

factor or combination of factors will necessarily provide a dispositive indication of a successor-in-interest relationship, generally, Commerce will consider the new company to be the successor to the previous company if the new company's resulting operation is not materially dissimilar to that of its predecessor.<sup>6</sup> Thus, if the record evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same business entity as the predecessor company, Commerce may assign the new company the cash deposit rate of its predecessor.<sup>7</sup>

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216, we preliminarily determine that LNSK Greenhouse Agro is the successor in interest to Greenhouse Agro. Record evidence, as submitted by LNSK Greenhouse Agro, indicates that LNSK Greenhouse Agro operates as essentially the same business entity as Greenhouse Agro with respect to the subject merchandise.<sup>8</sup> For the complete successor-in-interest analysis, including discussion of business proprietary information, refer to the accompanying successor-in-interest memorandum.<sup>9</sup> Commerce will issue its final results of the review in accordance with the time limits set forth in 19 CFR 351.216(e).

#### Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. In accordance with 19 CFR

*Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 83 FR 37784 (August 2, 2018) (*Shrimp from India Preliminary Results*), unchanged in *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 83 FR 49909 (October 3, 2018) (*Shrimp from India Final Results*).

<sup>6</sup> See, e.g., *Shrimp from India Preliminary Results*, 83 FR at 37784, unchanged in *Shrimp from India Final Results*, 83 FR at 49909.

<sup>7</sup> See *Shrimp from India Preliminary Results*, 83 FR at 37784, unchanged in *Shrimp from India Final Results*, 83 FR at 49910; see also *Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan*, 67 FR 58, 59 (January 2, 2002); *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France: Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review*, 75 FR 34688, 34689 (June 18, 2010); *Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 63 FR 14679 (March 26, 1998), unchanged in *Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 63 FR 20572 (April 27, 1998), in which Commerce found that a company which only changed its name and did not change its operations is a successor in interest to the company before it changed its name.

<sup>8</sup> See LNSK Greenhouse Agro CCR Request; and SQR.

<sup>9</sup> See Memorandum, "Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review," dated concurrently with this notice.

351.309(c)(1)(ii), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than seven days after the case briefs, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d). Parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>10</sup> All comments are to be filed electronically using Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the day it is due.<sup>11</sup> Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.<sup>12</sup>

Consistent with 19 CFR 351.216(e), we will issue the final results of this changed circumstances review no later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4) and (c)(3).

Dated: December 10, 2020.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2020–27686 Filed 12–15–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–201–842]

#### Large Residential Washers From Mexico: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that sales of large residential washers (LRW) from Mexico were made at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review,

<sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2).

<sup>11</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303(b).

<sup>12</sup> See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID–19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

February 1, 2018 through January 31, 2019.

**DATES:** Applicable December 16, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William Miller, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3906.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

This review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Electrolux Home Products Corp. N.V. and Electrolux Home Products de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (collectively, Electrolux). On February 27, 2020, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>1</sup> On April 6, 2020, we received case briefs on behalf of Electrolux and Haier U.S. Appliance Solutions dba GE Appliances (GE).<sup>2</sup> On April 13, 2020, we received rebuttal briefs on behalf of Electrolux, Whirlpool Corporation (Whirlpool), and GE.<sup>3</sup>

##### Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all large residential washers and certain subassemblies thereof from Mexico. The products are currently classifiable under subheadings 8450.20.0040 and 8450.20.0080 of the Harmonized Tariff System of the United States (HTSUS). Products subject to this order may also enter under HTSUS subheadings 8450.11.0040, 8450.11.0080, 8450.90.2000, and 8450.90.6000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to this scope is dispositive.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Large Residential Washers from Mexico: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019*, 85 FR 11338 (February 27, 2020) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

<sup>2</sup> See Electrolux's Case Brief, "Sixth Administrative Review of Large Residential Washers from Mexico: Case Brief of Electrolux," dated April 6, 2020; see also GE's Case Brief, "Administrative Review of Large Residential Washers from Mexico Antidumping Order: Case Brief," dated April 6, 2020.

<sup>3</sup> See Electrolux's Rebuttal Brief, "Sixth Administrative Review of Large Residential Washers from Mexico: Rebuttal Brief of Electrolux," dated April 13, 2020; Whirlpool's Rebuttal Brief, "Large Residential Washers from Mexico: Rebuttal Brief of Whirlpool Corporation," dated April 13, 2020; and GE's Rebuttal Brief, "Administrative Review of Large Residential Washers from Mexico Antidumping Order: GEA Rebuttal Brief," dated April 13, 2020.

<sup>4</sup> For a full description of the scope of the order, see *Preliminary Results* PDM.

## Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are listed in the Appendix to this notice and addressed in the IDM.<sup>5</sup> Interested parties can find a complete discussion of these issues and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the IDM can be accessed directly at <https://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed IDM and the electronic version of the IDM are identical in content.

## Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we made no changes to the preliminary weighted-average margin for Electrolux.

## Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, Commerce determines that a weighted-average dumping margin of 3.53 percent exists for Electrolux for the period February 1, 2018 through January 31, 2019.

## Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Electrolux reported the entered value of its U.S. sales such that we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. Where the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2018–2019 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Large Residential Washers from Mexico," (IDM), dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 41 days after publication of the final results of this review.

## Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Electrolux will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin that is established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated companies not participating in this review, the cash deposit will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the cash deposit rate established for the most recently completed segment for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 36.52 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.<sup>6</sup> These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

## Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

## Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the

<sup>6</sup> See *Large Residential Washers from Mexico and the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 78 FR 11148 (February 15, 2013).

disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

## Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 10, 2020.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

## Appendix

### List of Topics Discussed in the IDM

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Margin Calculations
- IV. Discussion of Issues
  - Comment 1: Differential Pricing—the Ratio Test
  - Comment 2: Differential Pricing—Calculating the Denominator of the Cohen's *d* Test
  - Comment 3: CEP Offset for Electrolux's Canadian Sales
  - Comment 4: Capping Freight Revenue in the Comparison Market
- V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020–27638 Filed 12–15–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904; Binational Panel Review: Notice of NAFTA Panel Decision

**AGENCY:** United States Section, NAFTA Secretariat, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of NAFTA Panel Decision in the matter of Ammonium Sulphate from the United States of America. (Secretariat File Number: MEX–USA–2015–1904–01.)

**SUMMARY:** On December 7, 2020, a NAFTA Binational Panel issued its Final Decision in the matter of Ammonium Sulphate from the United States of America (Determination on Remand). The Binational Panel remanded the Secretaria de Economía's (Economía) Determination on Remand