the availability of information regarding this action. You may obtain publicly available information related to this action, by any of the following methods:

- NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. To begin the search, select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

- Attention: The PDR, where you may examine and order copies of public documents is currently closed. You may submit your request to the PDR via email at PDR.Resource@nrc.gov or call 1–800–397–4209 between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. (EST), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

B. Submitting Comments

The NRC encourages electronic comment submission through the Federal Rulemaking website: https://www.regulations.gov. Please include Docket ID NRC–2020–0256 in your comment submission. The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC posts all comment submissions at https://www.regulations.gov as well as enters the comment submissions into ADAMS. The NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your comments should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment submissions into ADAMS.

II. Additional Information

The NRC is issuing for public comment a DG in the NRC’s “Regulatory Guide” series. This series was developed to describe methods that are acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the agency’s regulations, to explain techniques that the staff uses in evaluating specific issues or postulated events, and to describe information that the staff needs in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

This DG, identified by its task number, DG–1288, titled, “Plant-Specific, Risk-Informed Decisionmaking for Inservice Inspections of Piping.” (ADAMS Accession No. ML20210M047) is a proposed Revision 2 of RG 1.178 This revision of RG 1.178 (Revision 2) describes an approach that is acceptable to the staff of the NRC for developing risk-informed in-service inspections of piping (RI–ISI) programs and supplements the guidance provided in RG 1.174. “An Approach for Using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions on Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis.” It updates the defense-in-depth philosophy to be consistent with the philosophy described in RG 1.174. RG 1.174 was revised in 2018 to expand the meaning of, and the process for, assessing defense-in-depth considerations. Specifically, this revision of RG 1.178 references the defense-in-depth guidance in RG 1.174 in several staff regulatory positions.

Additionally, the NRC staff revised this guide to (1) update Section C.2.2, “Evaluation of Risk Impact,” of this RG to be consistent with Section C.2.3 in RG 1.174, which provides specific considerations with respect to determining the acceptability of the probabilistic risk assessment used in risk-informed decisionmaking, and (2) add the reference to ASME Code Case N–716–1, “Alternative Classification and Examination Requirements, Section XI, Division 1,” dated January 27, 2013, which describes an RI-ISI process as approved in RG 1.147.

A previous version of DG–1288 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12017A076) was issued for public comment on June 29, 2012 (77 FR 38856) under Docket ID NRC–2012–0110. The staff did not fully consider the public comments received at that time due to the extent of the changes for RG 1.174. However, the staff has reviewed and addressed some comments on this DG. Commenters on the previous version are encouraged to review and comment on this version.

The staff is also issuing for public comment a draft regulatory analysis (ADAMS Accession No. ML20210M044). The staff develops a regulatory analysis to assess the value of issuing or revising a regulatory guide as well as alternative courses of action.

III. Backfitting, Forward Fitting, and Issue Finality

DG–1288, if finalized, would revise RG 1.178, Revision 2, which describes methods acceptable to the NRC staff for complying with the NRC’s regulations for developing RI–ISI programs and supplements the guidance provided in RG 1.174.

Issuance of DG–1288, if finalized, would not constitute backfitting as defined in section 50.109 of the Code of Federal Regulation (10 CFR). “Backfitting,” and as described in NRC Management Directive (MD) 8.4, “Management of Backfitting, Forward Fitting, Issue Finality, and Information Requests”; constitute forward fitting as that term is defined and described in MD 8.4; or affect the issue finality of any approval issued under 10 CFR part 52. As explained in DG–1288, applicants and licensees would not be required to comply with the positions set forth in DG–1288.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Meraj Rahimi,
Chief, Regulatory Guidance and Generic Issues Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 2020–27382 Filed 12–11–20; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


In the Matter of Tennessee Valley Authority; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission, see 37 FR 28710 (Dec. 29, 1972), and the Commission’s regulations, see, e.g., 10 CFR 2.104, 2.105, 2.300, 2.309, 2.313, 2.318, 2.321, notice is hereby given that an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board) is being established to preside over the following proceeding:

Tennessee Valley Authority (Enforcement Action)

This Board is being established pursuant to a referral from the NRC Office of the Secretary of two hearing requests, one from the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), and another from Erin Henderson, challenging an order imposing a civil penalty on TVA. The challenged order, issued on October 29, 2020, by the NRC Office of Enforcement, was published in the Federal Register on November 4, 2020. See 85 FR 70203 (Nov 4, 2020).
The Board is comprised of the following Administrative Judges:

Washington, DC 20555–0001


Dr. Sue H. Abreu, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001

All correspondence, documents, and other materials shall be filed in accordance with the NRC E-Filing rule. See 10 CFR 2.302.


Edward R. Hawkens,
Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, Rockville, Maryland.

[FR Doc. 2020–27362 Filed 12–11–20; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee; Cancellation of Upcoming Meeting

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee is issuing this notice to cancel the December 17, 2020, public meeting scheduled to be held in Room 5A06A, Office of Personnel Management Building, 1900 E Street NW, Washington, DC. The original Federal Register notice announcing this meeting was published Monday, December 23, 2019, at 84 FR 70580.


Alexys Stanley,
Regulatory Affairs Analyst.
[FR Doc. 2020–27362 Filed 12–11–20; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5940–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; MIAX PEARL, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Equities Fee Schedule

December 8, 2020.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on December 2, 2020, MIAX PEARL, LLC (“MIAX PEARL” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing a proposal to amend the fee schedule applicable for MIAX PEARL Equities, an equities trading facility of the Exchange (the “Fee Schedule”). The proposed changes are scheduled to become operative on December 2, 2020.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at http://www.miaxoptions.com/rule-filings/pearl at MIAX PEARL’s principal office, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend the Fee Schedule applicable to MIAX PEARL Equities to provide pricing for securities priced below $1.00 that are executed on MIAX PEARL Equities.

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or rebates/incentives to be insufficient. More specifically, the Exchange is only one of several equities venues (including both registered exchanges and various alternative trading systems) to which market participants may direct their order flow and execute their trades. Indeed, equity trading is currently dispersed across 16 exchanges, 31 alternative trading systems, and numerous broker-dealer internalizers and wholesalers, all competing for order flow. Based on publicly available information, no single registered equities exchange currently has more than approximately 20% of total market share. Thus, in such a low-concentrated and highly competitive market, no single equities trading venue possesses significant pricing power in the execution of trades, and, the Exchange currently represents a very small percentage of the overall market.

The purpose of this proposed fee change is for business and competitive reasons. As a new entrant into the equities market, the Exchange initially adopted a fee structure that provided that orders in securities priced below $1.00 would be free that executed at MIAX PEARL Equities, regardless of whether they add or remove liquidity to encourage market participants to submit orders to the Exchange. The Exchange now proposes to charge a standard fee of 0.30% of the total dollar value of any transaction in securities priced below $1.00 that removes liquidity from MIAX PEARL Equities. The Exchange also proposes to provide a standard rebate of 0.30% of the total dollar value of any transaction in securities priced below


9 See Exchange Rule 1901.