

entire annual sample and is representative of the sampling frame. The Census Bureau proposes to use two randomly selected methods panel groups for each treatment. Hence, each treatment will have a sample size of approximately 24,000 addresses. In total, approximately 96,000 addresses will be used for the four experimental treatments and 24,000 for the control. The remaining ACS sample will receive production materials.

The Census Bureau proposes to evaluate the experimental treatments by comparing self-response rates overall and by mode. For each comparison, a two-tailed t-test will be used to measure the impact on the evaluation measure in either direction with 80 percent power at the $\alpha = 0.1$ level. The sample size will be able to detect differences of approximately 1.74 percentage points between the self-response return rates between two experimental treatments. To assess the costs of implementing any of the experimental treatments, we will also conduct a cost analysis.

The Regional Office internet Letter Test is designed to test content changes to a letter used to encourage online self-response during CAPI. This letter is sent as a pressure-seal mailer from the Census Bureau's National Processing Center to all mailable sampled addresses in the CAPI universe.

The changes to the pressure seal letter proposed for this test are (1) the message on the outside of the pressure seal mailer (options include either a "Past Due" message or "Required by Law") and (2) whether to include information about TQA as a response option, or only mention the internet. The experimental design isolates each of the content factors being studied. There is one control, which uses production materials, and three experimental treatments.

To field this test, the Census Bureau plans to use the ACS production sample (clearance number: 0607-0810). There is no increase in burden from this test because each treatment will include the same number of mailings and result in the same burden estimate per interview (40 minutes). The Census Bureau proposes that this test be conducted in the summer of 2021 (pending operational constraints) and adhere to the same data collection protocols as production ACS.

The Census Bureau proposes to use six randomly selected methods panel groups for each treatment. Each treatment will have a sample size of approximately 15,600 addresses. In total, approximately 46,800 addresses will be used for the three experimental treatments and 15,600 for the control.

All mailable CAPI cases are included in the experiment.

The Census Bureau proposes to evaluate the experimental treatments by comparing self-response rates during CAPI and overall CAPI response rates, as well as refusal rates and other interview outcomes. The TQA call volume will also be monitored. For each comparison, a two-tailed test will be used so that the Census Bureau can measure the impact on the evaluation measure in either direction with 80 percent power at the $\alpha = 0.1$ level. The sample size will be able to detect differences of approximately 1.74 percentage points between the self-response return rates between two experimental treatments. A cost analysis will also be conducted.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: One-time tests as part of the monthly American Community Survey.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

Legal Authority: Title 13, United States Code, Sections 141, 193, and 221.

This information collection request may be viewed at www.reginfo.gov. Follow the instructions to view the Department of Commerce collections currently under review by OMB.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be submitted within 30 days of the publication of this notice on the following website www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function and entering either the title of the collection or the OMB Control Number 0607-0936.

Sheleen Dumas,

Department PRA Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Commerce Department.

[FR Doc. 2020-26824 Filed 12-4-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-809]

Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018; Correction

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The International Trade Administration published a document in the **Federal Register** of November 6, 2020, concerning the final results of the administrative review of circular welded non-alloy steel pipe (CWP) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) for the period of review of November 1, 2017 through October 31, 2018. The document contained an incorrect spelling.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andre Gziryan, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2201.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of November 6, 2020, in FR Doc 2020-24722, on page 71057, in the second column, correct Appendix II (List of Companies Not Individually Examined), number 22, to read "Ycp Co."¹

This correction to the *Final Results* is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: November 19, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2020-26181 Filed 12-4-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-916]

Laminated Woven Sacks From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order; 2018-2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that the 20 companies subject to the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on laminated woven sacks (LWS) from the

¹ *Id.* This respondent's name was similarly misspelled in the initiation notice and preliminary results. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 2159 (February 6, 2019); see also *Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018*, 85 FR 2719 (January 16, 2020).

People's Republic of China (China) are part of the China-wide entity because none of the companies filed a separate rate application (SRA) or separate rate certification (SRC). The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2018 through July 31, 2019.

DATES: Applicable December 7, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nicolas Mayora, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3053.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 17, 2020, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the administrative review of the AD order on LWS from China, wherein we preliminarily determined that the 20 companies subject to the review are part of the China-wide entity because none of the companies filed an SRA or SRC.¹ We invited parties to submit comments on the *Preliminary Results*. No party submitted comments. Accordingly, the final results remain unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*.

On April 24, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by 50 days.² On July 21, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by an additional 60 days.³ The deadline for the final results of this review is now December 3, 2020.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is laminated woven sacks. Laminated woven sacks are bags or sacks consisting of one or more plies of fabric consisting of woven polypropylene strip and/or woven polyethylene strip, regardless of the width of the strip; with or without an extrusion coating of polypropylene and/or polyethylene on one or both sides of the fabric; laminated by any method either to an exterior ply of plastic film such as biaxially-oriented polypropylene (BOPP) or to an exterior ply of paper that is suitable for high

quality print graphics;⁴ printed with three colors or more in register; with or without lining; whether or not closed on one end; whether or not in roll form (including sheets, lay-flat tubing, and sleeves); with or without handles; with or without special closing features; not exceeding one kilogram in weight. Laminated woven sacks are typically used for retail packaging of consumer goods such as pet foods and bird seed.

Effective July 1, 2007, laminated woven sacks are classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 6305.33.0050 and 6305.33.0080. Laminated woven sacks were previously classifiable under HTSUS subheading 6305.33.0020. Laminated woven sacks are also classifiable under HTSUS 6305.33.0040. If entered with plastic coating on both sides of the fabric consisting of woven polypropylene strip and/or woven polyethylene strip, laminated woven sacks may be classifiable under HTSUS subheadings 3923.21.0080, 3923.21.0095, and 3923.29.0000. If entered not closed on one end or in roll form (including sheets, lay-flat tubing, and sleeves), laminated woven sacks may be classifiable under other HTSUS subheadings including 3917.39.0050, 3921.90.1100, 3921.90.1500, and 5903.90.2500. If the polypropylene strips and/or polyethylene strips making up the fabric measure more than 5 millimeters in width, laminated woven sacks may be classifiable under other HTSUS subheadings including 4601.99.0500, 4601.99.9000, and 4602.90.0000. Although HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213.

Final Results of Review

Commerce preliminarily determined that none of the 20 companies subject to this review demonstrated eligibility for separate rate status. Thus, each company was found to be part of the China-wide entity.⁵ A list of these companies is in the attached appendix.

As noted above, no interested party submitted comments on Commerce's preliminary findings. As such, we made no changes from the *Preliminary Results*. Therefore, as a result of this review, we continue to treat all 20 companies subject to this review as part of the China-wide entity. The weighted average dumping margin for the China-wide entity is 91.73 percent.⁶

Assessment

Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b). These final results of review remain unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*. We will instruct CBP to apply the China-wide entity *ad valorem* assessment rate of 91.73 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR that were exported by the companies identified in the appendix to this notice. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of LWS from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously-investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese companies not under review in this segment that received a separate rate in prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (2) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the China-wide entity, which is 91.73 percent; and (3) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received

¹ See *Laminated Woven Sacks from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018-2019*, 85 FR 21388 (April 17, 2020) (*Preliminary Results*).

² See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews in Response to Operational Adjustments Due to COVID-19," dated April 24, 2020.

³ See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews," dated July 21, 2020.

⁴ "Paper suitable for high quality print graphics," as used herein, means paper having an ISO brightness of 82 or higher and a Sheffield Smoothness of 250 or less. Coated free sheet is an example of a paper suitable for high quality print graphics.

⁵ See *Preliminary Results*, 85 FR at 21389.

⁶ See *Laminated Woven Sacks from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act*, 81 FR 23457 (April 21, 2016); see also *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Laminated Woven Sacks from the People's Republic of China*, 73 FR 45941 (August 7, 2008); *Implementation of Determinations Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act: Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires; Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Pipe; Laminated Woven Sacks; and Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from the People's Republic of China*, 77 FR 52683 (August 30, 2012).

their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter with the subject merchandise. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the term of an APO is a violation subject sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: November 30, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Companies Covered by This Review

1. Cangnan Color Make The Bag
2. Changle Baodu Plastic Co., Ltd.
3. First Way (H.K.) Limited
4. Han Shing Chemical Co., Ltd.
5. Jiangsu Hotson Plastics Co., Ltd.
6. Ningbo Yong Feng Packaging Co., Ltd.
7. Polywell Industrial Co.
8. Polywell Plastic Product Factory
9. Shandong Longxing Plastic Products Company Ltd.
10. Shandong Qikai Plastics Product Co., Ltd.
11. Shandong Qilu Plastic Fabric Group, Ltd.
12. Shandong Shouguang Jianyuan Chun Co., Ltd.
13. Shandong Youlian Co., Ltd.
14. Wenzhou Hotson Plastics Co., Ltd.
15. Zibo Aifudi Plastic Packaging Co., Ltd.
16. Zibo Linzi Luitong Plastic Fabric Co., Ltd.

17. Zibo Linzi Qitianli Plastic Fabric Co., Ltd.
18. Zibo Linzi Shuaiqiang Plastics Co., Ltd.
19. Zibo Linzi Worun Packing Product Co., Ltd.
20. Zibo Qigao Plastic Cement Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2020-26831 Filed 12-4-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-900]

Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Second Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof (diamond sawblades) from the People's Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping as indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable December 7, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Williams or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5166 or (202) 482-1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades from China was published on November 4, 2009.¹ In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i) and (ii), Commerce received a notice of intent to participate in this sunset review from Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition (the petitioner) within 15 days after the date of publication of the *Initiation Notice*.² The petitioner claimed interested party status under sections 771(9)(C) and (F) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Commerce received an adequate substantive response to the *Initiation*

¹ See *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China and the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 74 FR 57145 (November 4, 2009).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 85 FR 47185 (August 4, 2020) (*Initiation Notice*).

Notice from the domestic interested party within the 30-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). Commerce received no substantive response from any respondent interested parties. In accordance with section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited, *i.e.*, 120-day, sunset review of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades from China.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is diamond sawblades. The diamond sawblades subject to the order are currently classifiable under subheadings 8202 to 8206 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) and may also enter under 6804.21.00. While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.³

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation and the magnitude of dumping margins likely to prevail if the order was revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be found at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752(c) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping

³ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).