SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

[Docket No. FD 36448]

Canadian Pacific Railway Company—Control Exemption—Detroit River Tunnel Company

On October 16, 2020, Canadian Pacific Railway Company (CPRC), a Class I carrier, filed a petition under 49 U.S.C. 10502 for exemption from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 11323–24 to allow CPRC and its wholly owned noncarrier subsidiary, DRTC Holdings ULC, “to acquire certain partnership interests” from Borealis Transportation Infrastructure Trust (BTIT) in the Detroit River Tunnel Partnership (the Partnership), which indirectly owns the Detroit River Tunnel a/k/a the Michigan Central Railway Tunnel (Tunnel), and “to continue in control of the Tunnel.” (Pet. 1.) The Board will grant CPRC’s petition for exemption, subject to standard labor protective conditions.

Background

Detroit River Tunnel Company (DRTC), which is indirectly owned by the Partnership, owns the Tunnel, a two-bore rail tunnel that connects Windsor, Ont., and Detroit, Mich. DRTC’s rail line extends 3.24 miles, between milepost 228.08 in Detroit and milepost 224.84 in Windsor, of which approximately 1.79 miles are located within the United States. (Pet. 1–2.) Pursuant to a 2001 Operating, Management, and Maintenance Agreement (OMM Agreement) between CPRC and BTIT, CPRC exercises operational control over the Tunnel. (Id. at 3.) Under the OMM Agreement, CPRC maintains the Tunnel and dispatches and controls Tunnel rail operations.1

1 CPRC is the Canadian rail operating subsidiary of Canadian Pacific Railway Ltd. CPRC and its U.S. rail operating subsidiaries do business as Canadian Pacific (CP).

2 BTIT is an indirect subsidiary of OMERS Administration Corporation. (Pet. 2.)

3 CPRC filed its petition as a continuance-in-control exemption. It appears, however, that the transaction involves CPRC acquiring BTIT’s 83.5% ownership interest in the Partnership; BTIT owns the remaining 16.5%. (Pet. 2.) CPRC thus states that the transaction would not have an adverse effect on service levels and that no significant operational changes are planned. (Id. at 3–4.)

Discussion and Conclusions

Under 49 U.S.C. 11323(a)(3), the acquisition of control of a rail carrier by any number of rail carriers requires prior Board approval. Under section 10502(a), however, the Board may not exempt a transaction or service from regulation if it finds that: (1) Regulation is not necessary to carry out the rail transportation policy (RTP); (2) either the transaction or service is limited in scope, or regulation is not needed to protect shippers from the abuse of market power.

In this case, an exemption from the prior approval requirements of sections 11323–24 is consistent with the standards of section 10502. Detailed scrutiny of the proposed transaction through an application for review and approval under sections 11323–24 is not necessary here to carry out the RTP. Approval of the transaction would result in CPRC increasing its ownership share of the Partnership with no lessening of competition or change in dispatch and operations of the Tunnel. An exemption would promote the RTP by: Minimizing the need for federal regulatory control over the transaction, section 10101(2); ensuring the development and continuation of a sound rail transportation system that would continue to meet the needs of the public, section 10101(4); fostering sound economic conditions in transportation, section 10101(5); encouraging efficient management, section 10101(9); and providing for the expeditious resolution of this proceeding, section 10101(15). Other aspects of the RTP would not be adversely affected.

Regulation of the control transaction is not needed to protect shippers from an abuse of market power.7 Nothing in the record indicates that any shipper would lose an existing rail service option as a result of the proposed transaction. According to CPRC, it would continue to dispatch, operate, and maintain the Tunnel, as it has since 2001. CPRC also states that, pursuant to the terms of the OMM Agreement, other railroads would continue to use the Tunnel pursuant to Tunnel User Agreements. Currently, CP traffic accounts for approximately 98% of all Tunnel traffic and CSXT is the only carrier with an active Tunnel User Agreement. The transaction thus would not result in any shipper losing access to rail service or foreclose any transportation options currently available to shippers. Moreover, no shipper (or any other entity) has objected to the control transaction.

Under 49 U.S.C. 10502(g), the Board may not use its exemption authority to relieve a carrier of its statutory obligation to protect the interests of employees. Accordingly, as a condition to granting this exemption, the Board will impose the standard employee protective conditions in New York Dock Company—Control—Brooklyn Eastern District Terminal, 360 I.C.C. 60, aff’d New York Dock Railway v. United States, 609 F.2d 83 (2d Cir. 1979).

The control transaction is exempt from environmental reporting requirements under 49 CFR 1105.6(c)(1)(i) because it will not result in any significant change in carrier operations. Similarly, the transaction is exempt from the historic reporting 7

11323–24 to allow CPRC and its wholly owned noncarrier subsidiary, DRTC Holdings ULC, “to acquire certain partnership interests” from Borealis Transportation Infrastructure Trust (BTIT) in the Detroit River Tunnel Partnership (the Partnership), which indirectly owns the Detroit River Tunnel a/k/a the Michigan Central Railway Tunnel (Tunnel), and “to continue in control of the Tunnel.” (Pet. 1.) The Board will grant CPRC’s petition for exemption, subject to standard labor protective conditions.

The control transaction is exempt from environmental reporting requirements under 49 CFR 1105.6(c)(1)(i) because it will not result in any significant change in carrier operations. Similarly, the transaction is exempt from the historic reporting 7 Given this finding, the Board need not determine whether the transaction is limited in scope. See 49 U.S.C. 10502(a).
requirements under 49 CFR 1105.8(b)(3), because it will not substantially change the level of maintenance of railroad properties.

CRPC requests authority to control the Tunnel by December 15, 2020, so that the parties can close the transaction before the end of the year. The exemption will be effective December 15, 2020, and petitions to stay will be due by December 10, 2020. Petitions to reopen will be due by December 22, 2020.

It is ordered:
2. Notice of the exemption will be published in the Federal Register.

Decided: December 1, 2020.

By the Board, Board Members Begeman, Fuchs, and Oberman.

Tammy Lowery,
Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2020–26811 Filed 12–4–20; 8:45 am]
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ACTION: Notice; Request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP–21) established the Surface Transportation Project Delivery Program that allows a State to assume FHWA’s environmental responsibilities for environmental review, consultation, and compliance under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) for Federal highway projects. When a State assumes these Federal responsibilities, the State becomes solely responsible and liable for carrying out the responsibilities it has assumed, in lieu of FHWA. This program mandates annual audits during each of the first 4 years of State participation to ensure compliance with program requirements. This notice announces and solicits comments on the third audit report for the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities (DOT&PF).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before January 6, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Mail or hand deliver comments to Docket Management Facility: U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590. You may also submit comments electronically at www.regulations.gov. All comments received will be available for examination and copying at the above address from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Those desiring notification of receipt of comments must include a self-addressed, stamped postcard or you may print the acknowledgment page that appears after submitting comments electronically. Anyone can search the electronic form of all comments in any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, or labor union). The DOT posts these comments, without edits, including any personal information the commenter provides, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL–14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at www.dot.gov/privacy.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David T. Williams, Office of Project Development and Environmental Review, (202) 366–5074, David.Williams@dot.gov, or Mr. Jay Payne, Office of the Chief Counsel, (202) 366–4241, James.O.Payne@dot.gov; Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Access

An electronic copy of this notice may be downloaded from the specific docket page at www.regulations.gov.

Background

The Surface Transportation Project Delivery Program, codified at 23 U.S.C. 327, commonly known as the NEPA Assignment Program, allows a State to assume FHWA’s environmental responsibilities for review, consultation, and compliance for Federal highway projects. When a State assumes these Federal responsibilities, the State becomes solely liable for carrying out the responsibilities it has assumed, in lieu of FHWA. The DOT&PF published its application for NEPA assumption on May 1, 2016, and made it available for public comment for 30 days. After considering public comments, DOT&PF submitted its application to FHWA on July 12, 2016. The application served as the basis for developing a memorandum of understanding (MOU) that identified the responsibilities and obligations that the DOT&PF would assume. The FHWA published a notice of the draft MOU in the Federal Register on August 25, 2017, with a 30-day comment period to solicit the views of the public and Federal agencies. After the close of the comment period, FHWA and DOT&PF considered comments and proceeded to execute the MOU. Effective November 13, 2017, DOT&PF assumed FHWA’s responsibilities under NEPA, and the responsibilities for NEPA-related Federal environmental laws described in the MOU.

Section 327(g) of title 23, U.S.C., requires the Secretary to conduct annual audits to ensure compliance with the MOU during each of the first 4 years of State participation and, after the fourth year, monitor compliance. The FHWA must make the results of each audit available for public comment. The second audit report of DOT&PF compliance was finalized on February 25, 2020. This notice announces the availability of the third audit report for DOT&PF and solicits public comment on same.

Authority: Section 1313 of Public Law 112–141; Section 6005 of Public Law 109–59; 23 U.S.C. 327; 23 CFR 773.

Nicole R. Nason,
Administrator, Federal Highway Administration.

Surface Transportation Project Delivery Program, FHWA’s Audit of the Alaska Department of Transportation

April 6–10, 2020

Executive Summary

This report summarizes the results of the Federal Highway Administration’s (FHWA) third audit of the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities’ (DOT&PF) assumption of FHWA’s project-level National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) responsibilities and obligations pursuant to a 23 U.S.C. 327.