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Environmental Assessments and Findings of No Significant Impacts of Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facilities Decommissioning Funding Plans

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Environmental assessment and finding of no significant impacts; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is publishing this notice regarding the issuance of a Final Environmental Assessment (EA) and a Finding of No Significant Impacts (FONSI) for its review and approval of the initial and updated decommissioning funding plans submitted by independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) licensees for the ISFSIs listed in the “Discussion” section of this document.


ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2020–0132 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

• Federal Rulemaking website: Go to https://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC–2020–0132. Address questions about Docket IDs in Regulations.gov to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301–287–9127; email: Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individuals listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.

• NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. To begin the search, select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in this document.

• Attention: The PDR, where you may examine and order copies of public documents is currently closed. You may submit your request to the PDR via email at PDR.Resource@nrc.gov or call 1–800–397–4209 between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. (EST), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The NRC is considering the approval of the initial and updated decommissioning funding plans (DFPs) submitted by ISFSI licensees. The NRC staff has prepared a Final EA and FONSI determination for each of the initial and updated ISFSI DFPs in accordance with the NRC regulations in part 51 of title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), “Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions,” which implement the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

The NRC requires its licensees to plan for the eventual decommissioning of their licensed facilities prior to license termination. On June 17, 2011, the NRC published a final rule in the Federal Register amending its decommissioning planning regulations (76 FR 35511). The final rule amended the NRC regulation, 10 CFR 72.30, which concerns financial assurance and decommissioning for ISFSIs. This regulation requires each holder of, or applicant for, a license under 10 CFR part 72 to submit a DFP for the NRC’s review and approval. The DFP is to demonstrate the licensee’s financial assurance, i.e., that funds will be available to decommission the ISFSI.

II. Discussion

The table in this notice includes the plant name, docket number, licensee, and ADAMS Accession Number for the Final EA and FONSI determination for each of the individual ISFSIs. The table also includes the ADAMS Accession Number for other relevant documents, including the initial and updated DFP submittals, as well as the financial analyses documenting the findings of reasonable assurance that funds will be available to decommission the ISFSIs. For further details with respect to these actions, see the NRC staff’s Final EA and FONSI determinations and financial analyses approving the DFP, which are available for public inspection in ADAMS and at https://www.regulations.gov under Docket ID NRC–2020–0132. For additional direction on accessing information related to this document, see the ADDRESSES section of this document.

Finding of No Significant Impacts

Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2

Licensee ...................................... Entergy Operations, Inc., currently Entergy Nuclear Company, LLC (Entergy).
Proposed Action .......................... The NRC’s review and approval of Entergy’s initial and updated DFPs submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c).
Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action ...... The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of Entergy’s initial and updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.
FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS—Continued

Finding of No Significant Impacts

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI’s licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC’s review and approval of Entergy’s initial and updated DFPs submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c). The proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Grand Gulf ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

Available Documents


U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impacts for Entergy Nuclear Company, LLC’s Initial and Updated Decommissioning Funding Plans Submitted in Accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c) for Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation. November 2020. ADAMS Accession Package No. ML20149K448.


U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Analysis of Entergy Services, Inc.’s Initial and Updated Decommissioning Funding Plans for Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2; Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1; River Bend Station, Unit 1; and Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations. November 2020. ADAMS Accession No. ML20267A285.
FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS—Continued

Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action

The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of Entergy's initial and updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.

Finding of No Significant Impacts

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Entergy's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of River Bend Station, Unit 1 site. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the River Bend ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

Available Documents


U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impacts for Entergy Nuclear Company, LLC's Initial and Updated Decommissioning Funding Plans Submitted in Accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c) for River Bend Station, Unit 1 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation. November 2020. ADAMS Accession Package No. ML20150A266.


U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impacts for Entergy Nuclear Company, LLC's Initial and Updated Decommissioning Funding Plans Submitted in Accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c) for Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation. November 2020. ADAMS Accession Package No. ML20150A271.


Available Documents

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Analysis of Entergy Services, Inc.'s Initial and Updated Decommissioning Funding Plans for Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2; Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1; River Bend Station, Unit 1; and Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations. November 2020. ADAMS Accession No. ML20267A285.

Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3

Docket No. ................................. 72–75.
Proposed Action ........................... The NRC's review and approval of Entergy's initial and updated DFPs submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c).
Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action ......... The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of Entergy's initial and updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.
Finding of No Significant Impacts .......... The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Entergy's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Waterford Steam Electric Station Unit 3. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Waterford Steam Electric Station ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.


U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impacts for Entergy Nuclear Company, LLC's Initial and Updated Decommissioning Funding Plans Submitted in Accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c) for River Bend Station, Unit 1 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation. November 2020. ADAMS Accession Package No. ML20150A266.


U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Analysis of Entergy Services, Inc.'s Initial and Updated Decommissioning Funding Plans for Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2; Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1; River Bend Station, Unit 1; and Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations. November 2020. ADAMS Accession No. ML20267A285.
FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS—Continued

Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2

Docket No. 72–73.
Licensee Exelon Generation Co., LLC (EGC or Exelon).
Proposed Action The NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c).

Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.

Finding of No Significant Impacts The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI’s licensed operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC’s review and approval of Exelon’s initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Braidwood ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.


Byron Station, Units 1 and 2

Docket No. 72–68.
Licensee Exelon Generation Co., LLC (EGC or Exelon).
Proposed Action The NRC’s review and approval of Exelon’s initial and updated DFPs submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c).

Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of Exelon’s initial and updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.

Finding of No Significant Impacts The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI’s licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC’s review and approval of Exelon’s initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Byron Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Docket No.</th>
<th>Licensee</th>
<th>Proposed Action</th>
<th>Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action</th>
<th>Finding of No Significant Impacts</th>
<th>Available Documents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72–08</td>
<td>Exelon Generation Co., LLC (EGC or Exelon) and Constellation Energy Nuclear Group, LLC (CENG)</td>
<td>The NRC's review and approval of CENG's initial and Exelon's updated DFPs submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c). The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of CENG's initial and Exelon's updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.</td>
<td>The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of CENG's initial and Exelon's updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of CENG's initial and Exelon's updated DFPs for the Calvert Cliffs ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.</td>
<td>Exelon Generation Company, LLC (EGC), 2012. Proposed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) Decommissioning Funding Plans for Braidwood. Byron, Dresden, LaSalle, Limerick, Oyster Creek, Peach Bottom, Quad Cities, and Salem. December 17, 2012. ADAMS Accession No. ML12353A488. Exelon Generation Company, LLC (EGC), 2015. Report on Status of Decommissioning Funding for Reactors and Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation. March 31, 2015. ADAMS Accession No. ML15090A357. Exelon Generation Company, LLC (EGC), 2018. Response to Request for Additional Information Regarding Decommissioning Funding Plans for Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations (ISFSIs). May 2, 2018. ADAMS Accession No. ML18124A197. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Letter to T. Levering, Maryland Department of Environment, re: Review of the Draft EA and FONSI for the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2 ISFSI Decommissioning Funding Plan (72–08) L24809. July 8, 2016. ADAMS Accession No. ML16190A351. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impacts for Constellation Energy Nuclear Group, LLC’s Initial and Exelon Generation Company, LLC’s Updated Decommissioning Funding Plans Submitted in Accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c) for Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plants, Units 1 and 2 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation. November 2020. ADAMS Accession Package No. ML20150A274. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Environmental Assessment for Final Rule-Decommissioning Planning. February 1, 2009. ADAMS Accession No. ML090500648. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Note to File, Re: ESA Section 7 No Effect Determination for ISFSI Decommissioning Plans and Elkton Generation Company, LLC’s Decommissioning Fund Updates for the Calvert Cliffs, Nine Mile Point, and R.E. Ginna Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations. October 2020. ADAMS Accession No. ML20279A868.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Clinton Power Station, Unit 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Docket No.</th>
<th>Licensee</th>
<th>Proposed Action</th>
<th>Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action</th>
<th>Finding of No Significant Impacts</th>
<th>Available Documents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72–1046</td>
<td>Exelon Generation Co., LLC (EGC or Exelon)</td>
<td>The NRC's review and approval of Exelon initial DFP submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b). The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of Exelon's initial DFP, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial DFP will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.</td>
<td>The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI’s licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC’s review and approval of Exelon’s initial DFP. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for Clinton Power Station, Unit 1. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial DFP for the Clinton ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.</td>
<td>Exelon Generation Company, LLC (EGC), 2015. Report on Status of Decommissioning Funding for Reactors and Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation. March 31, 2015. ADAMS Accession No. ML15090A357. Exelon Generation Company, LLC (EGC), 2016. Proposed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) Decommissioning Funding Plans for Clinton Power Station. September 6, 2016. ADAMS Accession No. ML16251A032. Exelon Generation Company, LLC (EGC), 2018. Response to Request for Additional Information Regarding Decommissioning Funding Plans for Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations (ISFSIs). May 2, 2018. ADAMS Accession No. ML18124A197.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS—Continued


U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impacts for Exelon Generation Company, LLC’s Initial Decommissioning Funding Plan Submitted in Accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) for Clinton Power Station, Unit 1 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation. October 2020. ADAMS Accession Package No. ML20212L744.


U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission’s Analysis of Exelon Generation Company, LLC’s Initial Decommissioning Funding Plan for Clinton Power Station, Unit 1 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation. October 2020. ADAMS Accession No. ML20260H376.

---

**Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 1, 2, and 3**

**Docket No.** 72–37.

**Licensee** Exelon Generation Co., LLC (EGC or Exelon).

**Proposed Action** The NRC’s review and approval of Exelon’s initial and updated DFPs submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c).

**Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action** The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of Exelon’s initial and updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.

**Finding of No Significant Impacts** The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI’s licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC’s review and approval of Exelon’s initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Dresden ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.


U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impacts for Exelon Generation Company, LLC’s Initial and Updated Decommissioning Funding Plans Submitted in Accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c) for Dresden Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation. November 2020. ADAMS Accession Package No. ML20150A237.


---

**R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant**

**Docket No.** 72–67.

**Licensee** Exelon Generation Co., LLC (EGC or Exelon) and Constellation Energy Nuclear Group, LLC (CENG).

**Proposed Action** The NRC’s review and approval of CENG’s initial and Exelon’s updated DFPs submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c).

**Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action** The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of CENG’s initial and Exelon’s updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.

**Finding of No Significant Impacts** The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI’s licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC’s review and approval of CENG’s initial and Exelon’s updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Ginna ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.
## LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2

**Docket No.** 72–70.

**Licensee** Exelon Generation Co., LLC (EGC or Exelon).

**Proposed Action** The NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c).

**Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action**

The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.

**Finding of No Significant Impacts**

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of LaSalle (County) Station Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the LaSalle ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

**Available Documents**

- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impacts for LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2. December 17, 2012. ADAMS Accession No. ML12353A488.

## Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2

**Docket No.** 72–65.

**Licensee** Exelon Generation Co., LLC (EGC or Exelon).

**Proposed Action** The NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c).

**Available Documents**

- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impacts for LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2. December 17, 2012. ADAMS Accession No. ML12353A488.
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impacts for LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2. December 17, 2012. ADAMS Accession No. ML12353A488.
The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of CENG's initial and EGC's updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action also does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Limerick Generating Station Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Nine Mile Point ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Nine Mile Point ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Limerick Generating Station Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Nine Mile Point ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Nine Mile Point ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Nine Mile Point ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Nine Mile Point ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Nine Mile Point ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Nine Mile Point ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Nine Mile Point ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Nine Mile Point ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Nine Mile Point ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Nine Mile Point ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Nine Mile Point ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Nine Mile Point ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.
FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS—Continued

Oyster Creek

Licensee ................................. Exelon Generation Co., LLC (EGC or Exelon).
Proposed Action ......................... The NRC’s review and approval of Exelon’s initial and updated DFPs submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c).
Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action ...... The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of Exelon’s initial and updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.
Finding of No Significant Impacts .......................... The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI’s licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC’s review and approval of Exelon’s initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Oyster Creek ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3

Licensee ................................. Exelon Generation Co., LLC (EGC or Exelon) and Public Service Enterprise Group Nuclear, LLC (PSEG).
Proposed Action ......................... The NRC’s review and approval of PSEG’s initial and Exelon’s updated DFPs submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c).
Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action ...... The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of PSEG’s initial and Exelon’s updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.
Finding of No Significant Impacts .......................... The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI’s licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC’s review and approval of PSEG’s initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Units 1, 2 and 3. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Peach Bottom ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.
FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS—Continued

Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2

Docket No. .......................................................... 72–53.
Licensee ............................................................. Exelon Generation Company, LLC (EGC or Exelon).
Proposed Action ................................................. The NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c).
Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action ....... The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.
Finding of No Significant Impacts ...................... The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Quad Cities ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2/Hope Creek Generating Station, Unit 1

Licensee ............................................................. Exelon Generation Co., LLC (EGC or Exelon) and Public Service Enterprise Group Nuclear, LLC (PSEG).
Proposed Action ................................................. The NRC's review and approval of Exelon's and PSEG's initial and updated DFPs submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c).
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impacts for Public Service Enterprise Group Nuclear, LLC's Initial and Exelon Generation Company, LLC's Updated Decommissioning Funding Plan Submitted in Accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c) for Peach Bottom Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation. November 2020. ADAMS Accession No. ML20150A244.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impacts for Exelon Generation Company, LLC’s Initial and Updated Decommissioning Funding Plans Submitted in Accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c) for Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation. November 2020. ADAMS Accession Package No. ML20150A246.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impacts for Public Service Enterprise Group Nuclear, LLC’s Initial and Exelon Generation Company, LLC’s Updated Decommissioning Funding Plan Submitted in Accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c) for Peach Bottom Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation. November 2020. ADAMS Accession No. ML20150A244.
Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action

The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of Exelon's and PSEG's initial and updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial and updated DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural or administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.

Finding of No Significant Impacts

The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of Exelon's and PSEG's initial and updated DFPs. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the shared Salem-Hope Creek ISFSI or for other parts of Salem Generating Station, Units 1 and 2 and Hope Creek, Unit 1. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial and updated DFPs for the Salem-Hope Creek ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

Available Documents


U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impacts for the PSEG Nuclear, LLC's and Exelon Generation Company, LLC's Initial and Updated Decommissioning Funding Plans Submitted in Accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and (c) for the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Shared by Salem Generating Station, Units 1 and 2 and Hope Creek Generating Station, Unit 1. November 2020. ADAMS Accession Package No. ML20150A247.


For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John B. McKirgan,
Chief, Storage and Transportation Licensing Branch, Division of Fuel Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Jennifer Borges; Gary Purdy, Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response; Mekonen Bayssie, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research; telephone: 301–287–3629, email: Gary.Purdy@nrc.gov or Mekonen.Bayssie@nrc.gov; or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

Attention: The PDR, where you may examine and order copies of public documents is currently closed. You may submit your request to the PDR via email at PDR.Resource@nrc.gov or call 1–800–397–4209 between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. (EST), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

I. Discussion

The NRC is issuing a revision to an existing guide in the NRC’s “Regulatory Guide” series. This series was developed to describe and make available to the public information regarding methods that are acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the agency’s regulations, techniques that the NRC staff uses in evaluating specific issues or postulated events, and data that the NRC staff needs in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Regulatory Guide 5.76 provides approaches determined to be acceptable to the NRC for meeting the requirements described in section 73.55, “Requirements for physical protection of licensed activities in nuclear power reactors against radiological sabotage,” of title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR). Specifically, this revision explains that a licensee may meet the general performance objective in 10 CFR 73.55(b)(1) to provide reasonable assurance that “activities involving special nuclear material are not inimical to the common defense and safety and do not constitute an unreasonable risk to the public health and safety” by having a physical protection program that is capable of independently defending against the design basis threat (DBT) of radiological sabotage for a timeframe of at least 8 hours. This timeframe, referred to as “Reasonable Assurance of Protection Time” (RAPT), recognizes the existing layers of protection available to sites along with how the safety and security of the site would evolve over time following initiation of an attack. RG 5.76, Rev. 1 has specific information for both operating power reactors and new reactors licensed under 10 CFR part 50 and 10 CFR part 52.

This revision of RG 5.76 (Revision 1) incorporates lessons learned from operating experience. Specifically, this revision clarifies issues that have been identified through interactions with stakeholders and inspection activities since the original publication of the guide.

II. Additional Information

Regulatory Guide 5.76 contains SGI. Accordingly, this RG is being withheld from public disclosure. It will be made available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

• Federal Rulemaking website: Go to https://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC–2020–0246. Address questions about Docket IDs in Regulations.gov to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301–287–9127; email: Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individuals listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.

• NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. To begin the search, select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

• Attention: The PDR, where you may examine and order copies of public documents is currently closed. You may submit your request to the PDR via email at PDR.Resource@nrc.gov or call 1–800–397–4209 between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. (EST), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

GARY PURDY, Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response, telephone: 301–287–3629, email: GARY.PURDY@NRC.GOV; or MEKONEN BAYSIE, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, telephone: 301–415–1699, email: MEKONEN.BAYSIE@NRC.GOV. Both are staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Discussion

The NRC is issuing a revision to an existing guide in the NRC’s “Regulatory Guide” series. This series was developed to describe and make available to the public information regarding methods that are acceptable to