

merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.²² Where either the individually-selected respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For the companies which were not selected for individual review, we intend to assign an assessment rate based on the methodology described in the "Rates for Non-Examined Companies" section.

The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by this review where applicable.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the companies under review will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the *ad valorem* rate is *de minimis*, then the cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not covered in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding in which the company was reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 7.26 percent, the all-others rate established in the investigation.²³

Final Results of Review

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final

²² See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

²³ See *Order*, 82 FR at 32533.

results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of issues raised by the parties in the written comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

The preliminary results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: November 17, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination
- V. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
- VI. Discussion of the Methodology
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-25952 Filed 11-23-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-810]

Stainless Steel Bar From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018-2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain producers/exporters subject to this review made sales of stainless steel bar (SS Bar) from India at less than fair value (LTFV) during the period of review (POR) February 1, 2018 through

January 31, 2019. Commerce determines that mandatory respondent Venus Wire Industries Pvt. Ltd., and its affiliates Hindustan Inox, Precision Metals and Sieves Manufacturers (India) Pvt. Ltd. (collectively, the Venus Group), made sales at LTFV, while mandatory respondent Ambica Steels Limited (Ambica) did not make sales at LTFV.

DATES: Applicable November 24, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hermes Pinilla or Allison Hollander, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3477 or (202) 482-2805, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 3, 2020, Commerce published the preliminary results of this administrative review.¹ This review covers two producers/exporters of the subject merchandise: Venus Group² and Ambica. We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.

On April 2, 2020, the petitioners submitted a request for a hearing.³ On June 16, 2020, we received case briefs from the Venus Group,⁴ and Carpenter Technology Corporation, Crucible Industries LLC, Electralloy, a Division of G.O. Carlson, Inc., North American Stainless, Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc., and Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc. (collectively, the

¹ See *Stainless Steel Bar from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; and Rescission of Review in Part; 2018-2019*, 85 FR 12520 (March 3, 2020) (*Preliminary Results*).

² Commerce has previously determined that Venus Wire Industries Pvt. Ltd., and its affiliates Hindustan Inox, Precision Metals and Sieves Manufacturers (India) Pvt. Ltd. constitute a single entity. See *Stainless Steel Bar from India: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Intent to Reinstate Certain Companies in the Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 FR 48483 (October 18, 2017), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 3-5, unchanged in *Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Reinstatement of Certain Companies in the Antidumping Duty Order*, 83 FR 17529 (April 20, 2018). We have received no information on the record of this review to dispute that finding.

³ See the petitioners' Letter "Stainless Steel Bar from India—Petitioners' Request for a Hearing," dated April 2, 2020.

⁴ Commerce rejected the Venus Group's June 16, 2020, case brief because it contained untimely filed new factual information. See Commerce's Letter, "Rejection of Untimely New Factual Information," dated June 24, 2020. On June 26, 2020, the Venus Group refiled its case brief. See Venus Group's Letter, "Antidumping Duty Investigation of Stainless Steel Bar from India: Re-Submission of Venus Group Case Brief," dated June 26, 2020.

petitioners).⁵ On June 30, 2020, we received rebuttal briefs from the petitioners, and from the Venus Group.⁶ On August 13, 2020, the petitioners withdrew their request for a hearing.⁷ On September 21, 2020, we extended the deadline for the final results.⁸

Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) and (2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is SS bar. SS bar means articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot-rolled, forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled or otherwise cold-finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of

circles, segments of circles, ovals, rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons, or other convex polygons. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁹

Analysis of Comments Received

The issues raised by interested parties in their case and rebuttal briefs have been addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and

Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Enforcement and Compliance website at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. A list of the topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached as an Appendix to this notice.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made certain changes to the margin calculation with regard to the Venus Group. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.¹⁰

Final Results of Review

Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period February 1, 2018, through January 31, 2019:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Venus Wire Industries Pvt. Ltd., and its affiliates Hindustan Inox, Precision Metals and Sieves Manufacturers (India) Pvt. Ltd	17.24
Ambica Steels Limited	0.00

Disclosure

With respect to the Venus Group, we intend to disclose the calculations performed for these final results to the parties within five days after public announcement of the final results in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Upon publication of the final results in this administrative review, Commerce shall determine and CBP shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this POR. We will instruct CBP to apply the *ad valorem* assessment rates listed above to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were exported by the companies named above.

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by the Venus Group and Ambica for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of these final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of this review for all shipments of SS Bar from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for companies subject to this review will be the rates established in the final results of the review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4)

the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 12.45 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.¹¹ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information

⁵ See Petitioners’ Letter, “Petitioners’ Case Brief Regarding the Venus Group,” dated June 16, 2020 (Petitioners’ Case Brief).

⁶ See Petitioner’s Letter, “Petitioners’ Rebuttal Brief Regarding Venus,” dated June 30, 2020 (Petitioners’ Venus Rebuttal Brief).

⁷ See Petitioners’ Letter, “Stainless Steel Bar from India—Withdrawal of Hearing Request,” dated August 13, 2020.

⁸ See Memorandum, “Stainless Steel Bar from India: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019,” dated September 21, 2020.

⁹ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Stainless Steel Bar from India,” dated concurrently

with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

¹⁰ See also the Venus Group final calculation memorandum dated concurrently with this notice.

¹¹ See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Bar from India, 59 FR 66915, 66921 (December 28, 1994).

disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing these results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: November 18, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
- V. Application of Facts Available and Adverse Inferences
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 1. Whether the Venus Group is the Producer of Subject Merchandise
 2. Whether Partial Adverse Facts Available (AFA) is Warranted for the Venus Group
 3. Whether Commerce Erroneously Calculated the AFA Adjustment it Intended to Make in Calculating the Venus Group's Dumping Margin
 4. Whether Commerce Should Apply Total AFA to the Venus Group
 5. Whether Commerce Should Match Sales by Manufacturer
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-25942 Filed 11-23-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-878]

Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that certain corrosion-resistant steel products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) were sold in the United States at less than normal value during the period of review of July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019.

DATES: Applicable November 24, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leo Ayala, Elfi Blum, or Lingjun Wang, AD/

VVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3945, (202) 482-0197, or (202) 482-2316, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 3, 2016, Commerce published the antidumping duty order on CORE from Korea.¹ Commerce initiated this administrative review on September 9, 2019.² This review covers eleven companies,³ of which we collapsed Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd and Dongbu Incheon Steel Co., Ltd. as single entity (*i.e.*, Dongbu) for antidumping purposes, and selected Dongbu, Dongkuk, and Hyundai as mandatory respondents.⁴

On July 31, 2019, Metal One America, Inc., Mitsui & Co. (U.S.A.) Inc., and Stemcor USA Inc. each requested a review of Vietnamese producers and/or exporters: Nippon Steel and Sumikin Sales Vietnam Co. (NSSVC), Hoa Sen Group (HSG), and Ton Dong A Corporation (TDA).⁵ On the same day, HSG and TDA requested a review of themselves.⁶

On October 30, 2020, we issued a questionnaire to NSSVC, HSG, and TDA.⁷ The current due date for NSSVC, HSG, and TDA to respond to the questionnaire is November 23, 2020. We will analyze their responses and make a determination after the preliminary results.

On March 23, 2020, we extended the deadline for the preliminary results of

¹ See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Australia, Brazil, Japan, the Republic of Korea, the Netherlands, the Republic of Turkey, and the United Kingdom: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determinations for Australia, the Republic of Korea, and the Republic of Turkey and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 67962 (October 3, 2016) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 47242 (September 9, 2019).

³ The eleven companies are: Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd, Dongbu Incheon Steel Co., Ltd., Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. (Dongkuk), Hyundai Steel Company (Hyundai), POSCO Ltd., POSCO Daewoo Corporation, POSCO International Corporation, POSCO Coated & Color Steel Co., Hoa Sen Group (HSG), Ton Dong A Corporation (TDA), and Nippon Steel and Sumikin Sales Vietnam Co. (NSSVC).

⁴ See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea, 2018–2019,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁵ Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ *Id.*

this review until July 30, 2020.⁸ On April 24, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by 50 days.⁹ Subsequently, on July 21, 2020, Commerce tolled all preliminary and final results in administrative reviews by an additional 60 days.¹⁰ The deadline for the preliminary results of this review is now November 17, 2020. For a detailed description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.¹¹

Partial Rescission

On December 6, 2019, the petitioners timely withdrew their review request for 19 companies.¹² As no other party requested a review of those companies, we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to those companies, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is CORE from Korea. For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.¹³

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Constructed export price and export price were calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically

⁸ See Memorandum, “Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated March 23, 2020.

⁹ See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews in Response to Operational Adjustments Due to COVID-19,” dated April 24, 2020.

¹⁰ See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews,” dated July 21, 2020.

¹¹ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

¹² *Id.* These companies are: Ajin H and S Co., Ltd., Anjeon Tech Co., Ltd., Benion Corp., Daeho P C Co., Ltd., GS Global Corp., Hanwa (Korea) Co., Ltd., Hyosung Corporation, Korea CNC Co., Ltd., Kima Steel Corporation Ltd., Kyongdo Steel Co., Ltd., Mitsubishi Corp. (Korea) Ltd., Roser Co., Ltd., Samsung Corp., Samsung C&T Corp., SeAH Steel, SeAH Coated Metal Corp., Seun Steel, SK Networks Co., Ltd., Young Steel Co., Ltd.

¹³ *Id.*