For the reasons stated in the preamble, the EPA amends 40 CFR part 52 as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart II—North Carolina

2. In § 52.1770 is amended by adding paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 52.1770 Identification of plan.

(d) EPA-Approved North Carolina Source-Specific Requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of source</th>
<th>Permit No.</th>
<th>State effective date</th>
<th>EPA approval date</th>
<th>Federal Register Citation</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Ridge Paper Products, LLC.</td>
<td>Title V Operating Permit No. 08961T29.</td>
<td>6/2/2020</td>
<td>11/24/2020</td>
<td>[Insert citation of publication in the Federal Register].</td>
<td>Only the following provisions: (1) Condition 2.2 J.1.b. (2) The lb/hr SO₂ emission limitations in Table 2.2 J.1 for the No. 10 and No. 11 Recovery Furnaces (G08020 and G08021). No. 4 and No. 5 Lime Kilns (G09028 and G09029) and Riley Bark, Riley Coal, and No. 4 Power Boilers (G11042, G11039 and G11040). (3) No. 10 and No. 11 Recovery Furnaces (G08020 and G08021)—Condition 2.2 J.1.c.i. (4) No. 4 and No. 5 Lime Kilns (G09028 and G09029)—Condition 2.2 J.1.c.iii; Condition 2.2 D.1.f.ii; Table 2.2 D–2; Conditions 2.2 D.1.h, 2.2 D.1.i.ii; 2.2 D.1.i.ii, 2.2 D.1.i.iii; 2.2 D.1.i.iv; 2.2 D.1.v, 2.2 D.1.v, 2.2 D.1.vii, 2.2 D.1.vii; 2.2 D.1.v; 2.2 D.1.m, 2.2 D.1.m, 2.2 D.1.o, and 2.2 D.1.p.iii. (5) Riley Bark, Riley Coal, and No. 4 Power Boilers (G11042, G11039 and G11040)—Condition 2.2 J.1.c.vi and Table 2.2 J.2. (6) Testing—Condition 2.2 J.1.d, Table 2.2 J.3, and Condition 2.2 J.1.e. (7) Recordkeeping—Conditions 2.2 J.1.g.i, 2.2 J.1.g.ii, and 2.2 J.1.g.iii; (8) Reporting—Conditions 2.2 J.1.h and 2.2 J.1.i.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the District of Columbia. In the NPRM, EPA proposed approval of negative declarations certifying that there are no existing municipal solid waste landfills in the City of Philadelphia or the District of Columbia that are subject to the requirements of 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cf. The negative declarations were submitted by The City of Philadelphia Air Management Services (AMS) and the District of Columbia Department of Energy and Environment (DOEE) on March 15, 2018 and November 15, 2019, respectively.

Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) establishes standards of performance for certain existing sources. Air pollutants included under this section are those which have not already been established as air quality criteria pollutants via 42 U.S.C. 7408(a) or hazardous air pollutants via 42 U.S.C. 7412. Section 111(d)(1) requires states to submit to EPA for approval a plan that establishes standards of performance. The plan must provide that the state will implement and enforce the standards of performance. A Federal plan is prescribed if a state does not submit a state-specific plan or the submitted plan is disapproved. If a state has no designated facilities for a standards of performance source category, it may submit a negative declaration in lieu of a state plan for that source category according to 40 CFR 60.23a(b) and 62.06.

II. Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Regulations

A MSW landfill is defined in 40 CFR 60.41f as, “an entire disposal facility in a contiguous geographical space where household waste is placed in or on land.” Other substances may be placed in the landfill which are regulated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) subtitle D, 40 CFR 257.2. MSW landfills emit gases generated by the decomposition of organic compounds or evolution of new organic compounds from the deposited waste. EPA regulations specifically delineate measures to control methane and nonmethane organic compound (NMOC) emissions, which can adversely impact public health.

The Emission Guidelines and Compliance Times for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills, as codified at 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cf (subpart Cf, or Emission Guidelines), apply to states with MSW landfills that accepted waste after November 8, 1987 and commenced construction, reconstruction, or modification before July 17, 2014. Such landfills are considered to be “existing” landfills. In states with facilities meeting the applicability criteria of an existing MSW landfill, the Administrator of an air quality program must submit a state plan to EPA that implements the Emission Guidelines.

III. Summary of State Submittal and EPA Analysis

AMS and DOEE have determined that there are no MSW landfills in their respective jurisdictions subject to Federal CAA landfill regulations pursuant to 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cf. The aforementioned negative declarations were made pursuant to the requirements at 40 CFR 60.23a(b) and 62.06, certifying that there are no existing source MSW landfills in their respective jurisdictions subject to the requirements of 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cf. A typographical error in the letter from AMS was noted and clarified by Philadelphia AMS in an email on May 1, 2020. A notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the Federal Register on July 27, 2020 (85 FR 45154). Due to a clerical error, the email clarification sent by AMS was not included in the docket for this action at the time of NPRM publication; however, this email has now been included in the docket. This error was not substantive, did not affect the public’s ability to provide comments and has no impact on the final disposition. One comment was received in support of this action.

IV. Final Action

In this final action, EPA is approving the City of Philadelphia and the District of Columbia’s negative declarations submitted to EPA on March 15, 2018 and November 15, 2019 respectively. The negative declarations satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR 60.23a(b) and 62.06, serving in lieu of a CAA 111(d) state plan for existing MSW landfills.

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

A. General Requirements

EPA’s role with regard to negative declarations for designated facilities received by EPA from states is to notify the public of the receipt of such negative declarations and revise 40 CFR part 62 accordingly. This action merely proposes to approve the state’s negative declaration as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

• Is not an Executive Order 13771 regulatory action because this action is not significant under Executive Order 12866;

• Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);

• Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);

• Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

• Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

• Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

• Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and

• Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

B. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).
C. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 25, 2021. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action.

This action, approving the negative declarations submitted by the City of Philadelphia and the District of Columbia, certifying that there are no existing municipal solid waste landfills in the City of Philadelphia or the District of Columbia that are subject to the requirements of 40 CFR part 60 subpart Cl, may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 62

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: November 2, 2020.

Cosmo Servidio,
Regional Administrator, Region III.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the EPA amends 40 CFR part 62 as follows:

PART 62—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF STATE PLANS FOR DESIGNATED FACILITIES AND POLLUTANTS

1. The authority citation for part 62 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart J—District of Columbia

2. Revise §62.2140 to read as follows:

§62.2140 Identification of plan—negative declaration.

Letter from the District of Columbia, Department of Energy and Environment, submitted November 15, 2019, certifying that there are no existing municipal solid waste landfills in the District of Columbia that are subject to 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cl.

Subpart NN—Pennsylvania

3. Revise §62.9633 to read as follows:

§62.9633 Identification of plan—negative declaration.

Letter from the City of Philadelphia, Department of Public Health, submitted March 15, 2018 and amended by email on May 1, 2020, certifying that there are no existing municipal solid waste landfills in the City of Philadelphia that are subject to 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cl.

[FR Doc. 2020–24690 Filed 11–23–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 51 and 52


RIN 2060–AT89

Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and Nonattainment New Source Review (NNSR): Project Emissions Accounting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is promulgating revisions to its major New Source Review (NSR) applicability regulations to clarify when the requirement to obtain a major NSR permit applies to a source proposing to undertake a physical change or a change in the method of operation (i.e., a project) under the major NSR preconstruction permitting programs. Under these programs, an existing major stationary source proposing to undertake a project must determine whether that project will constitute a major modification subject to the major NSR preconstruction permitting requirements by following a two-step applicability test. The first step is to determine if the proposed project would result in a “significant emissions increase” of a regulated NSR pollutant (Step 1). If the proposed project is determined to result in such an increase, the second step is to determine if the project would also result in a “significant net emissions increase” of that pollutant from the source (Step 2). In this action, we are promulgating revisions to our major NSR applicability regulations to clarify that both increases and decreases in emissions resulting from a proposed project can be considered in Step 1 of the major NSR major modification applicability test. We refer to the consideration of emissions increases and decreases in Step 1 as project emissions accounting.

DATES: This final rule is effective on December 24, 2020.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2018–0048. All documents in the docket are listed in the https://www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information may not be publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically through https://www.regulations.gov.

In addition, the EPA has a website for NSR rulemakings at: https://www.epa.gov/nsr. The website includes the EPA’s proposed and final NSR regulations, as well as guidance documents and technical information related to preconstruction permitting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information concerning this action, please contact Jessica Montañez, U.S. EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, AirQuality Policy Division, Mail Code C504–03, 109 T.W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709; by telephone at (919) 541–3407 or by email at montanez.jessica@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, wherever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean the EPA and wherever “reviewing authorities,” or “air agencies” is used, we mean air pollution control agencies.

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

Entities potentially affected directly by this action include sources in all industry categories. Entities potentially affected directly by this action also include state, local and tribal air pollution control agencies responsible for permitting sources pursuant to the major NSR programs requirements.

B. Where can I get a copy of this document and other related information?

In addition to being available in the docket, an electronic copy of this Federal Register document will be posted at https://www.epa.gov/NSR.

C. How is this document organized?

The information presented in this document is organized as follows:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

B. Where can I get a copy of this document and other related information?

C. How is this document organized?

II. Background

A. The New Source Review Program