

assigned to the respondent in the final results of this review.¹⁵

For entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by the company individually examined during this review, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the China-wide rate.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of xanthan gum from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the notice of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the companies listed above that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then a cash deposit rate of zero will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed China and non-China exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all China exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the China-wide entity, which is 154.07 percent; and (4) for all non-China exporters of subject merchandise that have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to China exporter(s) that supplied that non-China exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred

¹⁵ See *Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments: 2014–2015*, 81 FR 29528 (May 12, 2016), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 10–11, unchanged in *Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Final Determination of No Shipments: 2014–2015*, 81 FR 54042 (August 15, 2016).

and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213.

Dated: November 16, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Period of Review
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. Selection of Respondents
- VI. Single Entity Treatment
- VII. Discussion of Methodology
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020–25854 Filed 11–20–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–875]

Fine Denier Polyester Staple Fiber From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily assigned Reliance Industries Limited (RIL), the sole respondent subject to this antidumping duty (AD) administrative review, an AD margin based upon the application of total adverse facts available (AFA). We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable November 23, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paola Aleman Ordaz, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4031.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 9, 2019, Commerce published a notice initiating an AD administrative review of fine denier polyester staple fiber (fine denier PSF) from India covering Reliance Industries Limited (RIL) for the period of review

January 5, 2018 through June 30, 2019.¹ During the course of this administrative review, Commerce issued, and RIL submitted responses to, a questionnaire and multiple supplemental questionnaires. The petitioners² filed multiple comments on RIL's responses. For further details, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.³

On March 18, 2020, Commerce extended the deadline for issuing the preliminary results of this review from to April 1, 2020 to July 30, 2020.⁴ On April 24, 2020, and July 21, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by 50 days and 60 days, respectively, thereby extending the deadline for these preliminary results until November 17, 2020.⁵

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this review is fine denier polyester staple fiber from India. For a complete description of the scope, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, Commerce has preliminarily assigned RIL an AD margin of 21.43 percent, as total AFA, because it withheld information requested for reconciliation purposes, did not provide accurate control numbers as requested by Commerce and in conformity with Commerce's instructions, and did not provide information requested regarding companies owned by family members. The total AFA rate of 21.43 percent is

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 47242 (September 9, 2019).

² The petitioners are DAK Americas LLC, Nan Ya Plastics Corporation, America, and Auriga Polymers Inc. (the petitioners).

³ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results in the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Fine Denier Polyester Staple Fiber from India: 2018–2019," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁴ See Memorandum, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Fine Denier Polyester Staple Fiber from India: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated March 18, 2020.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews in Response to Operational Adjustments Due to COVID–19," dated April 24, 2020; see also Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews," dated July 21, 2020.

the AFA rate applied in the final determination of the investigation of fine denier PSF. For details regarding this determination, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a

public document and is available via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly

at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of Review

Commerce preliminarily determines that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margin exists:

Exporter/producer	Estimated weighted-average dumping margin (percent)	Cash deposit rate adjusted for subsidy offset (percent)
Reliance Industries Limited	21.43	14.48

Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), interested parties may submit case briefs to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice, unless the Secretary alters the time limit. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than seven days after the time limit for filing case briefs.⁶ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are requested to submit with each brief: (1) A statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities.⁷ Executive summaries should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes.⁸ Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.⁹ Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information.¹⁰

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), any interested party who wants to request a hearing, must submit a written request for a hearing to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Requests for a hearing should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of hearing participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed in the hearing. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a hearing is requested,

Commerce will notify interested parties of the hearing date and time.

We intend to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of issues raised by the parties in the written comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**, unless otherwise extended.¹¹

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results of review, Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.¹² The final results of this administrative review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise under review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.¹³ We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of fine denier PSF from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for RIL will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review (except, if the weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), no cash deposit will be required); (2) for merchandise

exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the most recently completed segment of the proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 14.67 percent *ad valorem*, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation, adjusted for subsidy offsets.¹⁴ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

These preliminary results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1).

⁷ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.303.

¹⁰ See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

¹¹ See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act; and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

¹² See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

¹³ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

¹⁴ See *Fine Denier Polyester Staple Fiber from India: Final Affirmative Antidumping Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 83 FR 24737 (May 30, 2018).

751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: November 17, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Application of Facts Available and Use of Adverse Inferences
- V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-25856 Filed 11-20-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-824]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from India. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019. This review covers Jindal Poly Films Ltd. (India), a producer and exporter of PET film from India. Commerce preliminarily determines that sales of subject merchandise have not been made below normal value by Jindal Poly Films Ltd. (India) during the POR. We are also rescinding on seven companies where timely requests for withdrawals were filed by all parties who requested the reviews. The seven companies are as follows: Ester Industries Limited; Garware Polyester Ltd.; MTZ Polyesters Ltd.; Polyplex Corporation Ltd.; SRF Limited of India; Uflex Ltd.; and Vacmet India Limited. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable November 23, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jacqueline Arrowsmith, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue

NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5255.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2019, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PET film from India, for the period July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019.¹ In accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), in July 2019, we received requests for reviews of the following companies: Ester Industries Limited (Ester); Garware Polyester Ltd. (Garware); MTZ Polyesters Ltd. (MTZ); Polyplex Corporation Ltd. (Polyplex); SRF Limited of India (SRF); Jindal Poly Films Ltd. (India) (Jindal); Uflex Ltd. (Uflex); and Vacmet India Limited (Vacmet).² In addition to the petitioners and Polyplex requesting a review of Jindal, Jindal self-requested.³

Subsequently, on September 9, 2019, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.222(c)(1)(i), Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PET film from India.⁴

On September 27, 2019, we released U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) import data to eligible parties under the Administrative Protective Order and invited interested parties to submit comments with respect to the selection of respondents for individual examination.⁵ No parties filed comments.

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 84 FR 31295 (July 1, 2019).

² See Letter DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., and SKC, Inc. (collectively, petitioners), “Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) from India, Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated July 15, 2019 (Petitioners’ Review Request); see also Letter Polyplex USA LLC, “Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) from India: Request for AD Administrative Review,” dated July 18, 2019 (Polyplex’s Review Request); see also Letter SRF Limited, “Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, (PET Film) from India: Request for Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order,” dated July 26, 2019; see also Letter Jindal Poly Films Limited of India, “Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, (PET Film) from India: Request for Administrative Review,” dated July 31, 2019.

³ See Petitioners’ Review Request; see also Polyplex’s Review Request; see also Jindal’s Review Request.

⁴ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 47242, 47243–47244 (September 9, 2019) (*Initiation Notice*).

⁵ See Memorandum, “Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET) Film from India: Release of U.S. Customs Entry Data for Respondent Selection,” dated September 27, 2019 (September 2019 Import Data Memo).

On November 29, 2019, Commerce determined to limit the number of companies subject to individual examination and selected Garware and Jindal as mandatory respondents.⁶ On December 6, 2019, the petitioners submitted a timely letter withdrawing its request to review Ester, Garware, Polyplex, SRF, Jindal, and Vacmet.⁷ Also on December 6, 2019, Polyplex USA LLC submitted a timely filed letter withdrawing its request to review Ester, Garware, Jindal, MTZ, Polyplex, SRF, Uflex, and Vacmet.⁸ SRF also filed a timely filed letter of withdrawal.⁹

We issued our initial questionnaire to Jindal on December 9, 2019. The deadline for withdrawal requests was December 9, 2019.¹⁰ Jindal submitted its request for withdrawal on December 19, 2019.¹¹

On March 23, 2020, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), Commerce extended the due date for the preliminary results by an additional 67 days, from April 1, 2020 to July 7, 2020.¹² On April 24, 2020, Commerce issued a memorandum tolling all deadlines for administrative reviews, including this one, by 50 days.¹³ On July 21, 2020 Commerce issued another memorandum tolling all deadlines for administrative reviews by a total of 60 days.¹⁴ On October 21, 2020 in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of

⁶ See Memorandum, “Administrative Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, (PET Film) from India: Selection of Respondents for Individual Examination (Respondent Selection Memo),” November 29, 2019.

⁷ See Letter Petitioners, “Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from India: Request for Withdrawal of Antidumping Administrative Review,” dated December 6, 2019.

⁸ See Letter Polyplex USA LLC, “Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from India: Request for Withdrawal of Anti-dumping Administrative Review,” dated December 6, 2019.

⁹ See Letter SRF Limited, “Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from India/ Withdrawal of Request for Antidumping Duty Admin Review of SRF Limited (SRF),” dated December 7, 2019.

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

¹¹ See Letter Jindal, “Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from India: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated December 17, 2019. (The deadline for withdrawal of review request was December 9, 2019.)

¹² See Memorandum “Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from India: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review (2018–2019),” dated March 23, 2020.

¹³ See Memorandum “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews in Response to Operational Adjustments Due to COVID-19,” dated April 24, 2020.

¹⁴ Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews,” July 21, 2020.