Proposed changes will impair the ability of member or competing order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

In terms of intra-market competition, the Exchange does not believe that its proposal will place any category of market participant at a competitive disadvantage. The proposed changes to the regular taker fee structure are ultimately designed to incentivize Members to bring additional order flow to the Exchange and create a more active and liquid market on MRX. The proposed discounted taker fees will continue to reward Market Makers for executing increasingly larger Priority Customer volume entered by Affiliated Members or Affiliated Entities on MRX to obtain the proposed incentives. As discussed above, permitting Members to aggregate volume for purposes of qualifying the Market Maker under an Affiliated Member relationship or an Appointed Market Maker under an Affiliated Entity relationship will also encourage the counterparty order flow to MRX. All Members will benefit from any increase in market activity that the proposal effectuates through increased trading opportunities and price discovery.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,27 and Rule 19b–4(f)(2)28 thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–MRX–2020–19 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities Exchange and Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–MRX–2020–19. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.29

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–25617 Filed 11–19–20; 8:45 am]
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Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on November 2, 2020, Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGX”) is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposed rule change to amend the fee schedule. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange’s website (http://markets.cboe.com/us/options/regulation/rule_filings/edgx/), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The

Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

**A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

1. **Purpose**

The Exchange proposes to amend its fee schedule applicable to its equities trading platform (“EDGX Equities”) by: (1) Eliminating certain volume tiers; (2) updating the Non-Displayed Add Volume Tiers; and (3) updating the Retail Volume Tiers, effective November 2, 2020.

The Exchange first notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. More specifically, the Exchange is only one of 16 registered equities exchanges, as well as a number of alternative trading systems and other off-exchange venues that do not have similar self-regulatory responsibilities under the Exchange Act, to which market participants may direct their order flow. Based on publicly available information, no single registered equities exchange has more than 18% of the market share. Thus, in such a low-concentrated and highly competitive market, no single equities exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of order flow. The Exchange in particular operates a “Maker-Taker” model whereby it pays credits to members that provide liquidity and assesses fees to those that remove liquidity. The Exchange’s fee schedule includes the standard rebates and rates applied per share for orders that provide and remove liquidity, respectively. Currently, for orders priced at or above $1.00, the Exchange provides a standard rebate of $0.00160 per share for orders that add liquidity and assesses a fee of $0.00270 per share for orders that remove liquidity. For orders priced below $1.00, the Exchange charges a standard rebate of $0.00099 per share for orders that add liquidity and assesses a fee of 0.30% of Dollar Value for orders that remove liquidity.

Additionally, in response to the competitive environment, the Exchange also offers tiered pricing which provides Members opportunities to qualify for higher rebates or reduced fees where certain volume criteria are met. Tiered pricing provides an incremental incentive for Members to strive for higher tier levels, which provides increasingly higher benefits or discounts for satisfying increasingly more stringent criteria.

**Elimination of Volume Tiers**

Pursuant to footnote 1 of the Fees Schedule, the Exchange currently offers Add Volume Tiers (tiers 1 through 4, plus six various additional tiers) that provide Members an opportunity to receive an enhanced rebate from the standard fee assessment for liquidity adding orders that yield fee codes “B”, “V”, “Y”, “3”, “4,” and “5.” The Add Volume Tiers currently offer ten different tiers that vary in levels of criteria difficulty and incentive opportunities in which Members may qualify for enhanced rebates for such orders. The Exchange proposes to eliminate three of those tiers. First, the Exchange proposes to eliminate Growth Tier 1 (and renumber Growth Tiers 2 and 3 accordingly), which provides a $0.0020 per share rebate for Members that (1) add an ADV of greater than or equal to 0.10% of the TCV or (2) have a Step-Up Add TCV from March 2019 greater than or equal to 0.05%. The Exchange also proposes to eliminate its Cross-Arset Volume Tiers. Particularly, Cross-Arset Volume Tier 1 provides a $0.00072 per share rebate for Members that (1) add an ADV greater than or equal to 0.20% of the TCV and (2) have an ADV in Customer orders on EDGX Options greater than or equal to 0.08% of average OCV. Cross-Arset Volume Tier 2 similarly provides a $0.0027 per share rebate for Members that (1) add an ADV greater than or equal to 0.05% of the TCV and (2) have an ADV in AIM orders on EDGX Options greater than or equal to 25,000 contracts. The Exchange also proposes to eliminate Tape B Volume Tier, which is currently described under footnote 2 of the fees schedule (the Exchange also proposes to remove footnote 2 from the applicable Fees Code Table). Particularly, Tape B Volume Tier consists of one tier which applies to orders yielding fee code B and provides a $0.00027 per share rebate to Members that add an ADV greater than or equal to 0.10% of the TCV in Tape B securities.

In particular, the Exchange proposes to eliminate Cross-Asset Tier 1 as no Member has reached this tier in several months and the Exchange therefore no longer wishes to, nor is it required to, maintain such tier. The Exchange proposes to eliminate Growth Tier 1, Cross-Asset Tier 2 and Tape B Volume Tier as it no longer wishes to, nor is it required to, maintain such tiers. More specifically, the proposed rule change removes these tiers as the Exchange would rather redirect resources and funding into other programs and tiers intended to incentivize increased order flow.

**Proposed Updates to the Non-Displayed Add Volume Tiers**

Currently, the Exchange provides for three Non-Displayed Add Volume Tiers under footnote 1 of the Fee Schedule. These tiers offer enhanced rebates on Members’ orders yielding fee codes “DM”, “HA”, “MM” and “RP” where a Member reaches certain required volume-based criteria offered in each tier. Specifically, the Non-Displayed Add Volume Tiers are as follows:

- **Tier 1** provides an enhanced rebate of $0.00015 for a Member’s qualifying orders (i.e., yielding fee codes DM, HA, MM and RP) where a Member adds an ADV greater than or equal to 0.01% of TCV for Non-Displayed orders that yield fee codes DM, HA, HL, MM or RP.
- **Tier 2** provides an enhanced rebate of $0.00122 for a Member’s qualifying order.

---

orders where a Member adds an ADAV greater than or equal to 0.02% of TCV for Non-Displayed orders that yield fee codes DM, HA, HI, MM or RP.

- Tier 3 provides an enhanced rebate of $0.0025 for a Member’s qualifying orders where a Member has an ADAV greater than or equal to 0.05% of TCV for Non-Displayed orders that yield fee codes DM, HA, HI, MM or RP.

The Exchange proposes to update the criteria in Non-Displayed Add Volume Tiers 2 and 3 as follows below. The Exchange notes that the enhanced rebates currently provided in each tier remain the same.

To meet the proposed criteria in Tier 1, a Member must have an ADAV greater than or equal to 0.05% (instead of 0.02%) of TCV for Non-Displayed orders that yield fee codes DM, HA, HI, MM or RP.

- To meet the proposed criteria in Tier 3, a Member must have an ADAV greater than or equal to 0.10% (instead of 0.05%) of TCV for Non-Displayed orders that yield fee codes DM, HA, HI, MM or RP.

The Exchange notes Non-Displayed Add Volumes Tiers 2 and 3, as modified, continue to be available to all Members and provide Members an opportunity to receive an enhanced rebate, albeit using more stringent criteria. Moreover, the proposed changes are designed to encourage Members to increase non-displayed liquidity on the Exchange, which further contributes to a deeper, more liquid market and provides even more execution opportunities for active market participants at improved prices.

Retail Volume Tiers

Pursuant to footnote 3 of the fee schedule, the Exchange currently offers Retail Volume Tiers which provide Retail Member Organizations ("RMOs”) an opportunity to receive an enhanced rebate from the standard rebate for Retail Orders that add liquidity (i.e., yielding fee code "ZA") and not unfairly discriminatory because it applies to all Members (i.e., the tier won’t be available for any Member). The Exchange notes that recently one Member was satisfying the criteria of Growth Tier 1, one Member was satisfying the criteria of Tape B Volume Tier and two members were satisfying the criteria of Cross-Asset Tier 2. The Exchange also notes that the proposed changes do not preclude any Member, including the Members that were receiving the rebates under these tiers, from achieving the remaining add volume tiers and growth volume tiers to qualify for the remaining enhanced rebates or other available enhances [sic] rebates under other incentive tiers. Additionally, those Members are still entitled to a rebate for its displayed

which RMOs may qualify for enhanced rebates for Retail Orders. The tier structures are designed to encourage RMOs to increase their order flow in order to receive an enhanced rebate on their liquidity adding orders, and the Exchange now proposes to amend existing Retail Volume Tiers 1, 2 and 3. Specifically, the current Retail Volume Tiers are as follows:

- Tier 1 provides an enhanced rebate of $0.0034 for a Member’s qualifying orders (i.e., yielding fee code ZA) where a Member has a Retail Step-Up Add TCV (i.e. yielding fee code ZA) from February 2020 greater than or equal to 0.05% and (2) adds a Retail Order ADV (i.e., yielding fee code ZA) greater than or equal to 0.20% of the TCV.

- Tier 2 provides an enhanced rebate of $0.0037 for a Member’s qualifying orders (i.e., yielding fee code ZA) where a Member has a Retail Step-Up Add TCV (i.e. yielding fee code ZA) from May 2020 greater than or equal to 0.10%.

- Tier 3 provides an enhanced rebate of $0.0038 for a Member’s qualifying orders (i.e., yielding fee code ZA) where a Member adds a Retail Order ADV (i.e. yielding fee code ZA) greater than or equal to 0.50%.

The Exchange proposes to update the criteria in Retail Volume Tiers 1, 2 and 3 as follows below.

- To meet the proposed criteria in Tier 1, a Member must add a Retail Order ADV (i.e., yielding fee code ZA) greater than or equal to 0.15% (instead of 0.20%) of the TCV.

- To meet the proposed criteria in Tier 2, a Member must continue to meet the current prong of Retail Volume Tier 2 but also meet a new additional prong requiring that a Member remove a Retail Order ADV (i.e., yielding fee code ZR) greater than or equal to 0.15% of the TCV.

- To meet the proposed criteria in Tier 3, a Member must add a Retail Order ADV (i.e., yielding fee code ZA) greater than or equal to 0.60% (instead of 0.50%). The Exchange also proposes to reduce the rebate from $0.0038 to $0.0036 per share.

The Exchange notes Retail Volume Tiers 1, 2 and 3, as modified, continue to be available to all RMOs and provide RMOs an opportunity to receive an enhanced rebate, albeit using a more stringent criteria. Moreover, the proposed changes were designed to encourage RMOs to increase retail order flow on the Exchange encourage Members to increase non-displayed liquidity [sic] on the Exchange, which further contributes to a deeper, more liquid market and provides even more execution opportunities for active market participants at improved prices.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the objectives of Section 6 of the Act, in general, and further the objectives of Section 6(b)(4), in particular, as it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its Members, issuers and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. The proposed rule changes reflect a competitive pricing structure designed to incentivize market participants to direct their order flow to the Exchange, which the Exchange believes would enhance market quality to the benefit of all Members.

In particular, the Exchange believes the proposal to remove the Growth Tier 1, Cross-Asset Volume Tier 2 and Tape B Volume Tier is reasonable because the Exchange is not required to maintain these tiers and Members still have a number of other opportunities and a variety of ways to receive enhanced rebates for displayed liquidity adding orders, including via the existing add volume tiers and growth tiers. The Exchange believes the proposal to eliminate these tiers is also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it applies to all Members (i.e., the tier won’t be available for any Member). The Exchange notes that recently one Member was satisfying the criteria of Growth Tier 1, one Member was satisfying the criteria of the Tape B Volume Tier and two members were satisfying the criteria of Cross-Asset Tier 2. The Exchange also notes that the proposed change does not preclude any Member, including the Members that were receiving the rebates under these tiers, from achieving the remaining add volume tiers and growth volume tiers to qualify for the remaining enhanced rebates or other available enhances [sic] rebates under other incentive tiers. Additionally, those Members are still entitled to a rebate for its
orders adding liquidity (i.e., the standard rebate), albeit a rebate that is lower than the amount under Growth Tier 1, Tape B Volume Tier and Cross-Asset Volume Tier 2. The proposed rule change merely results in Members not receiving particular enhanced rebates, which as noted above, the Exchange is not required to offer or maintain. Additionally, as noted above, those Members, along with all other Members, are eligible to qualify for the remaining add volume tier rebates should they satisfy the respective criteria.

The Exchange also believes the proposed amendment to remove the Cross-Asset Tier 1 is reasonable because no Member has achieved this tier in several months. Furthermore, the Exchange is not required to maintain this tier and as discussed, Members still have a number of other opportunities and a variety of ways to receive enhanced rebates, including the proposed enhanced standard rebates for displayed orders adding liquidity. The Exchange believes the proposal to eliminate these tiers is also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it applies to all Members.

The Exchange believes the proposed changes to the Non-Displayed Add Volume Tiers 2 and 3 and Retail Volume Tiers 1, 2 and 3 are reasonable because each tier, as modified, continues to be available to all Members and RMOs, respectively, and provide Members and RMOs, respectively, an opportunity to receive an enhanced rebate, albeit using more stringent criteria. The Exchange notes that relative volume-based incentives and discounts have been widely adopted by exchanges, including the Exchange, and are reasonable, equitable and non-discriminatory because they are open to all Members (and RMOs as applicable) on an equal basis and provide additional benefits or discounts that are reasonably related to (i) the value to an exchange’s market quality and (ii) associated higher levels of market activity, such as higher levels of liquidity provision and/or growth patterns. Additionally, as noted above, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. The Exchange is only one of several equity venues to which market participants may direct their order flow, and it represents a small percentage of the overall market. It is also only one of several maker-taker exchanges. Competing equity exchanges offer similar tiered pricing structures to that of the Exchange, including schedules of rebates and fees that apply based upon members achieving certain volume thresholds. These competing pricing schedules, moreover, are presently comparable to those that the Exchange provides, including the pricing of comparable tiers.

The Exchange also believes that the current enhanced rebates under Non-Displayed Tiers 2 and 3 and Retail Volume Tiers 1 and 2, along with the proposed reduced rebate under Retail Volume Tier 3, continue to be commensurate with the proposed criteria. That is, the additional rebates reasonably reflect the difficulty in achieving the corresponding criteria as amended. Also, the Exchange’s affiliated equity exchange, BZX Equities, currently has Non-Displayed Volume Tiers in place, which offer substantially similar enhanced rebates and corresponding criteria.

Overall, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes to the Non-Displayed Add Volume Tiers, each based on a Member’s liquidity adding orders, will benefit participants by incentivizing continuous liquidity and, thus, deeper, more liquid markets as well as increased execution opportunities. Particularly, the proposed changes to the Non-Displayed Add Volume Tiers are designed to incentivize non-displayed liquidity, which further contributes to a deeper, more liquid market and provide even more execution opportunities for active market participants at improved prices. This overall increase in activity deepens the Exchange’s liquidity pool, offers additional cost savings, supports the quality of price discovery, promotes market transparency and improves market quality, for all investors.

The Exchange also believes that the proposal represents an equitable allocation of fees and rebates and is not unfairly discriminatory because all Members are eligible for Non-Displayed Add Volume Tiers and would have the opportunity to meet the tiers’ criteria and would receive the proposed fee if such criteria is met. Without having a view of activity on other markets and off-exchange venues, the Exchange has no way of knowing whether this proposed rule change would definitely result in any Members qualifying for the proposed tiers. The Exchange notes that most recently, three Members satisfied Non-Displayed Tier 2 and five Members satisfied Non-Displayed Tier 3. While the Exchange has no way of predicting with certainty how the proposed tier will impact Member activity, the Exchange anticipates that approximately four Members will be able to satisfy Non-Displayed Tier 2 (as amended) and one Member will be able to satisfy Non-Displayed Tier 3 (as amended). The Exchange also notes that proposed tiers will not adversely impact any Member’s ability to qualify for other reduced fee or enhanced rebate tiers. Should a Member not meet the proposed criteria under any of the proposed tiers, the Member will merely not receive that corresponding reduced fee.

The Exchange believes that the proposal relating to the Retail Volume Tiers also represents an equitable allocation of rebates and is not unfairly discriminatory because all RMOs will continue to be eligible for each Retail Volume Tier. The proposed changes are designed as an incentive to any and all RMOs interested in meeting the tier criteria, as amended to submit additional adding and/or removing, or Retail, order flow to the Exchange. The Exchange notes that greater add volume order flow provides for deeper, more liquid markets and execution opportunities, and greater remove volume order flow increases transactions on the Exchange, which incentivizes liquidity providers to submit additional liquidity and execution opportunities, thus, providing an overall increase in price discovery and transparency on the Exchange. Also, an increase in Retail Order flow, which orders are generally submitted in smaller sizes, tends to attract Market-Makers, as smaller size orders are easier to hedge. Increased Market-Maker activity facilitates tighter spreads, signaling an additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants, which contributes towards a robust, well-balanced market ecosystem. Increased overall order flow benefits all investors by deepening the Exchange’s liquidity pool, potentially providing even greater execution incentives and opportunities, offering
additional flexibility for all investors to enjoy cost savings, supporting the quality of price discovery, promoting market transparency and improving investor protection. The Exchange also notes all RMOS will continue to have the opportunity to submit the requisite order flow and will receive the applicable enhanced rebate if the tier criteria is met. The Exchange additionally notes that while the Retail Volume Tiers are applicable only to RMOS, the Exchange does not believe this application is discriminatory as the Exchange offers similar rebates to non-RMO order flow.\footnote{Such as the other Add/Remove Volume Tiers under Footnote 1 of the EDGX Fees Schedule which provide opportunities to all Members to submit the requisite order flow to receive an enhanced rebate.}

Without having a view of activity on other markets and off-exchange venues, the Exchange has no way of knowing whether this proposed rule change would definitely result in any RMOS qualifying for the proposed amended tier. The Exchange notes that most recently, two Members satisfied Retail Volume Tier 1, one Member satisfied Retail Volume Tier 2 and two Members satisfied Retail Volume Tier 3. While the Exchange has no way of predicting with certainty how the proposed tier will impact Member activity, the Exchange anticipates that approximately one Member will be able to satisfy Retail Volume Tier 1 (as amended), one Member will be able to satisfy Retail Volume Tier 2 (as amended) and one Member will be able to satisfy Retail Volume Tier 3 (as amended). The Exchange also notes that the proposed amended tiers will not adversely impact any RMO’s ability to qualify for other rebate tiers. Rather, should a RMO not meet the criteria for Retail Volume Tier 1, 2 or 3 as amended, the RMO will merely not receive the corresponding proposed enhanced rebate. Furthermore, the proposed rebate would uniformly apply to all RMOS that meet the required criteria.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. As discussed above, the Exchange believes that the proposed change would encourage the submission of additional order flow to a public exchange, thereby promoting market depth, execution incentives and enhanced execution opportunities, as well as price discovery and transparency for all Members. As a result, the Exchange believes that the proposed change furthers the Commission’s goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering competition among orders, which promotes “more efficient pricing of individual stocks for all types of orders, large and small.” The Exchange believes the proposed rule change does not impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Particularly, the proposed changes to the Non-Displayed Add Volume Tiers apply to all Members equally in that all Members are eligible for these tiers, have a reasonable opportunity to meet the tiers’ criteria and will receive the enhanced rebates if such criteria is met. Similarly, the proposed changes to the Retail Volume Tiers apply to all RMOS equally in that all RMOS are eligible for those tiers, have a reasonable opportunity to meet the tiers’ criteria and will receive the enhanced rebates if such criteria are met. Additionally, the proposed tiers are designed to attract additional order flow to the Exchange. The Exchange believes that the updated tier criteria would incentivize market participants to direct liquidity adding and/or removing order flow to the Exchange, bringing with it additional execution opportunities for market participants and improved price transparency. Greater overall order flow, trading opportunities, and pricing transparency benefits all market participants on the Exchange by enhancing market quality and continuing to encourage Members to send orders, thereby contributing towards a robust and well-balanced market ecosystem.

Next, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change does not impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. As previously discussed, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. Members have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution; i.e., the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution; and “no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers...”. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe its proposed fee change imposes any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule...
change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–CboeEDGX–2020–053 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–CboeEDGX–2020–053. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal offices of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–CboeEDGX–2020–053, and should be submitted on or before December 11, 2020.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.29
J. Matthew DeLesDernier,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–25615 Filed 11–19–20; 8:45 am]
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Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that, on November 10, 2020, NYSE American LLC (“NYSE American” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the NYSE American Options Fee Schedule (“Fee Schedule”) regarding an incentive program for Floor Brokers. The Exchange proposes to implement the fee change effective November 10, 2020.4 The proposed change is available on the Exchange’s website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this filing is to modify the Fee Schedule to eliminate an incentive program that was designed to encourage Floor Brokers to increase their billable volume (the “Rebate”). The Exchange proposes to implement the rule changes on November 10, 2020. Currently, the Exchange provides a $35,000 Rebate each month that a Floor Broker organization achieves the requisite minimum average daily volume (“ADV”) of billable contracts.5 To qualify for the monthly Rebate, a Floor Broker must execute the greater of:
(i) 75,000 contract sides in billable ADV or
(ii) 150% of the Floor Broker’s total billable ADV in contract sides during the first half of 2019 (i.e., January–June 2019).6

The Exchange adopted the Rebate—a voluntary program—in June 2020 to encourage Floor Broker organizations to execute billable volume on the Exchange.7 However, because the Rebate program is underutilized (and therefore did not achieve its intended effect), the Exchange proposes to eliminate the Rebate program from the Fee Schedule.8

The Exchange believes that the elimination of the Rebate would impact some firms that would no longer receive this benefit; however, given that the Rebate was underutilized, the Exchange believes that most Floor Brokers firms would not be impacted by its removal.

See Fee Schedule, Section III.E.2., Floor Broker Billable Volume Rebate (the “FB Billable Volume Rebate”).

See id. The calculation for billable ADV applies to manual executions and QCCs, but excludes any Customer volume and non-billable Professional Customer QCC volume, Firm Facilitation trades, and any volume calculated to achieve the Firm Monthly Fee Cap and the Strategy Execution Fee Cap, regardless of whether either of these caps is achieved. See id.


See proposed Fee Schedule, Section III.E.2. (held as “Reserved”).