DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
A–201–844
Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Mexico: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that sales of steel concrete reinforcing bar (rebar) from Mexico were made at below normal value during the period of review (POR) November 1, 2017 through October 31, 2018.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 16, 2020, Commerce published the Preliminary Results.1 We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results. For events subsequent to the Preliminary Results, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.2 Commerce conducted sales and cost verifications of Grupo Simec S.A.B de CV (Grupo Simec) from February 10, 2020—February 14, 2020 and February 17, 2020—February 21, 2020, respectively.3 On April 8, 2020, Commerce toled all deadlines in administrative reviews by 50 days.4 On July 21, 2020, Commerce toled all deadlines in administrative reviews by an additional 60 days.5 The deadline for the final results of this review is now November 2, 2020.

Scope of the Order

Imports covered by the order are shipments of steel concrete reinforcing bar imported in either straight length or coil form (rebar) regardless of metallurgy, length, diameter, or grade. The merchandise subject to review is currently classifiable under items 7213.10.0000, 7214.20.0000, and 7228.30.8010. The subject merchandise may also enter under other Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) numbers including 7215.90.1000, 7215.90.5000, 7221.00.0018, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, 7222.11.0001, 7222.11.0057, 7222.11.0059, 7227.20.0000, 7227.20.0010, 7227.20.0017, 7227.20.0018, 7228.20.1000, and 7228.60.6000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.6

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues that parties raised and to which we responded is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on-file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/fm/index.html. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received from parties and the results of Grupo Simec’s verification, we have made changes to the margin calculations of Grupo Simec and Deacero S.A.P.I. de C.V. (Deacero). For Grupo Simec, we included the downstream sales from affiliates that did not pass the arm’s-length test, incorporated updated information from our cost and sales verifications of Grupo Simec, and corrected an inadvertent programming error.8 For Deacero, we corrected an inadvertent programming error.9

Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, Commerce calculated a weighted-average dumping margin that is 1.46 percent for Grupo Simec and a 7.12 percent margin for Deacero for the POR. Therefore, consistent with its practice and the investigation methodology set forth in section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce assigned the weighted-average dumping margin calculated for Grupo Simec to the seven non-selected companies in these final results, as referenced below.


7. See Issues and Decision Memorandum for a complete description of the Scope of the Order.

8. See Memorandum, “Final Results Analysis Memorandum for Grupo Simec S.A.B. de C.V. (Grupo Simec); 2017–2018,” dated concurrently with this memorandum (Grupo Simec Final Analysis Memorandum).


In this administrative review, Commerce has collapsed Siderurgicos Noroeste, S.A. de C.V. and
Deacerco S.A.P.I. de C.V. .......................................................... 7.12
Grupo Simec (Simec International 6 S.A. de C.V.; Orge S.A. de C.V.; Aceros Especiales Simec Tlaxcala, S.A. de C.V.; Fundiciones de Acero Estructurales, S.A. de C.V.; Operadora de Perfiles Sigosa, S.A. de C.V.; Simec International, S.A. de C.V.; Simec International 7, S.A. de C.V.; Grupo Chant, S.A.P.I. de C.V.; and Siderurgicos Noroeste, S.A. de C.V.) ................................. 1.46
Aceromex S.A. ........................................................................ 5.54
Arcelor Mittal ........................................................................ 5.54
ArcelorMittal Celaya ................................................................ 5.54
ArcelorMittal Cordoba S.A. de C.V. ........................................... 5.54
ArcelorMittal Lazaro Cardenas S.A. de C.V. ......................... 5.54
Cia Siderurgica De California, S.A. de C.V. ......................... 5.54
Compania Siderurgica de California, S.A. de C.V. ............... 5.54
Grupo Villacerco S.A. de C.V. .................................................. 5.54
Siderurgica Tultitlan S.A. de C.V. ............................................ 5.54
Talleres y Aceros, S.A. de C.V .................................................. 5.54
Ternium Mexico, S.A. de C.V ................................................... 5.54

**Disclosure and Public Comment**

We intend to disclose the calculations performed to parties in this proceeding within five days after publication of these final results in the Federal Register, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(b).

**Assessment Rates**

Commerce shall determine and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For any individual examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above de minimis, we calculated importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales to the totaled entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Upon issuance of the final results of this administrative review, any importer-specific assessment rates calculated in the final results are above de minimis (i.e., at or above 0.5 percent), Commerce will issue instructions directly to CBP to assess antidumping duties on appropriate entries. Where either the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer-specific assessment rate is calculated, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

In accordance with Commerce’s “automatic assessment” practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent for which it did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for respondents noted above will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, de minimis within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) if the exporter is covered in this proceeding or in a prior LTFV review, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

**Administrative Protective Order**

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which

*13 For a full discussion of this clarification, see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).
14 See Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Mexico: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances, 79 FR 54967 (September 15, 2014)"
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[A–580–809]
Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that the producers/exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of circular welded non-alloy steel pipe (CWP) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), November 1, 2017 through October 31, 2018.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This review covers 25 producers and/or exporters of the subject merchandise.1 Commerce selected two mandatory respondent for individual examination: Husteel Co., Ltd. (Husteel) and Nexteel Co., Ltd. (Nexteel). The producers/exporters which were not selected for individual examination are listed in Appendix II of this notice.

On January 16, 2020, Commerce published the Preliminary Results of this administrative review.2 We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results. Between February 28, 2020 and March 12, 2020, Commerce received timely filed case and rebuttal briefs from various interested parties.3

Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should Recalculate Export Price (CEP) Offset Should Be Granted
Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Recalculate Credit Expense
Comment 3: Whether the Highest U.S. Freight Should Be Applied to All U.S. Sales
Comment 4: Whether to Disallow Deaceoro’s Scrap Offset Calculation
Comment 5: Whether Section 232 Duties Should be Deducted from Constructed Export Price

Comments Concerning Grupo Simec
Comment 6: Whether Commerce Should Apply Total AFA to Grupo Simec
Comment 7: Whether Commerce Double-Counted Depreciation Expenses When Applying the Transactions Disregarded Rule to Grupo Simec
Comment 8: Whether Commerce Should Accept Grupo Simec’s Minor Corrections
Comment 9: Whether Commerce Should Alter the Margin Calculation Program to Distinguish Between Prime and Non-Prime Sales

Comment 10: Whether Commerce Should Include Grupo Simec and Sigosa’s Downstream Home Market Sales in the Final Margin Program

Comment 11: Whether Commerce Should Recalculate Grupo Simec’s Home Market Credit Expense

VI. Recommendation
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