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Appendices to Margin Requirements for Uncleared Swaps for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants—CFTC Voting Summary and Commissioner’s Statement

Appendix 1—CFTC Voting Summary

On this matter, Chairman Tarbert and Commissioners Quintenz, Behnam, Stump, and Berkovitz voted in the affirmative. No Commissioner voted in the negative.

Appendix 2—Supporting Statement of CFTC Commissioner Brian Quintenz

I am proud to support today’s request for comment, which marks the beginning of the agencies’ consideration of ways to implement a portfolio margining regime for uncleared swaps and non-cleared security-based swaps. Portfolio margining can lead to efficiencies in margin calculation by appropriately accounting for the impact of offsetting positions have on a portfolio’s actual risk profile. This, in turn, gives firms and customers additional capital that can be deployed elsewhere.

However, given the differences between the regulatory regimes for swaps and security-based swaps, it also implicates incredibly important legal and policy considerations. This request for comment solicits critical feedback from market participants on how portfolio margining could impact the safety and soundness of firms, result in competitive advantages for certain types of registrants, and raise questions about how collateral would be treated in the event of bankruptcy.

In order to make an informed decision about if, and how, portfolio margining should be implemented for uncleared swaps and non-cleared security-based swaps, we need thoughtful feedback on these complex questions. I encourage all interested parties to provide written comments, including data wherever possible, in order to further the agencies’ understanding of the various options presented in the request for comment.
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ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (“SNPRM”) updates the Copyright Office’s July 17, 2020 proposed rule concerning the Music Modernization Act transition period transfer and reporting of royalties to the mechanical licensing collective. Specifically, this SNPRM provides an alternate approach to requirements concerning the content of cumulative statements of account to be submitted by digital music providers to the mechanical licensing collective at the conclusion of the statutory transition period and proposes estimate and adjustment provisions with respect to payment of accrued royalties to the mechanical licensing collective in connection with this reporting.

DATES: Written comments must be received no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on November 25, 2020.

ADDRESSES: For reasons of government efficiency, the Copyright Office is using the regulations.gov system for the submission and posting of public comments in this proceeding. All comments are therefore to be submitted electronically through regulations.gov. Specific instructions for submitting comments are available on the Copyright Office’s website at https://www.copyright.gov/collectiveaccounting.html. If electronic submission of comments is not feasible, due to lack of access to a computer and/or the internet, please contact the Office using the contact information below for special instructions.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Rogan A. Smith, General Counsel and Associate Register of Copyrights, by email at regans@copyright.gov; John R. Riley, Assistant General Counsel, by email at jri@copyright.gov; or Jason E. Sloan, Assistant General Counsel, by email at jslo@copyright.gov. Each can be contacted by telephone by calling (202) 707–8350.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

This SNPRM is issued subsequent to a notification of inquiry published in the Federal Register on September 24, 2019 and a notice of proposed rulemaking (“NPRM”) published on July 17, 2020 relating to implementation of the Music Modernization Act (“MMA”). In its NPRM, the Office proposed regulations pertaining to cumulative statements of account, which digital music providers (“DMPs”) are required to provide to the mechanical licensing collective (“MLC”) for such DMPs to be eligible for the statutory limitation on liability for unlicensed uses of musical works prior to the license availability date. This SNPRM generally assumes familiarity with the prior NPRM and notification of inquiry, as well as the public comments and summaries of ex parte meetings received in response to those documents, all of which are publicly accessible from the Copyright Office’s website.

As relevant here, the NPRM considered whether to propose regulations with respect to the ability of DMPs to rely upon estimates and subsequently adjust their cumulative statements of account. The SNPRM tentatively declined to propose broad language given the “one-time nature” of cumulative statements of account, but did propose that DMPs could estimate applicable performance royalties, and that “any overpayment (whether resulting from an estimate or otherwise) should be credited to the DMP’s account, or refunded upon request.”

---

*85 FR 43517 (July 17, 2020); 84 FR 49966 (Sept. 24, 2019). All rulemaking activity, including public comments, as well as legislative history and educational material regarding the Music Modernization Act, can currently be accessed via navigation from https://www.copyright.gov/music-modernization/. Comments received in response to the September 2019 notification of inquiry are available at https://www.regulations.gov; comments received in response to the July 2020 notice of proposed rulemaking are available at https://beta.regulations.gov/document/COLC-2020-0011-0001/comment. Related ex parte letters are available at https://www.copyright.gov/rulemaking/. References to these comments and letters are by party name (abbreviated where appropriate), followed by “Initial Comment,” “Reply Comment,” “NPRM Comment,” or “Ex Parte Letter” as appropriate.


* Guidelines for ex parte communications, along with records of such communications, are available at https://www.copyright.gov/rulemaking/. As stated in the guidelines, ex parte meetings with the Office are intended to provide an opportunity for participants to clarify evidence and/or arguments made in prior written submissions, and to respond to questions from the Office on those matters.

* 83 FR at 43320.
The NPRM also considered comments from the Digital Licensee Coordinator ("DLC") asking for regulatory language to clarify the relationship between this reporting obligation and pre-existing private agreements between a large number of music publishers and certain digital services that the DLC characterized as providing for the liquidation of accrued royalties for unmatched works through payments based on market share to publishers signing releases. At the time, the Office tentatively declined to propose regulatory language. Instead, the Office provided initial guidance regarding the statutory obligation to transfer and report information related to accrued royalties for unlicensed uses under the MMA and noted that it remained available to dialogue further.

In response to a request from the MLC, the NPRM also proposed expanding the present cumulative statement of account regulations, which require providing “all of the information that would have been provided to the copyright owner had the digital music provider been serving Monthly Statements of Account,” to requirements for reporting information that would “largely mirror the requirements proposed for reports of usage.” While the DLC initially contended that such a proposal was “impractical,” it now describes such a requirement as “impossible” given the business practicalities of how this information was or was not compiled and stored over time. Similarly, the Digital Media Association ("DiMA") stated that the NPRM’s expanded reporting requirements would create “massive operational hurdles” and would “jeopardize [every DMP’s]

5 Id. at 43522–23; see also DLC Ex Parte Letter at 1 (Aug. 11, 2020); NMPA Ex Parte Letter at 5 (Aug. 25, 2020).

6 85 FR at 43523 (noting that because “voluntary licenses” “remain in effect by law,” “by implication, DMPs would not retain accrued royalties (as defined in the MMA) for works licensed under private agreements”).


8 85 FR at 43519.

9 DLC Reply Comment at 24.

10 DLC NPRM Comment at 1, 2; DLC Initial Comment at 1–2 (Aug. 25, 2020) ("[W]e submit that the history of the MRA and the National Music Publishers’ Association ("NMPA") (and subsequent agreements with participating publishers), and both the DLC and individual DMPs have provided additional views regarding those agreements.

11 DiMA NPRM Comment at 6–7 (“digital music providers maintain usage information...with the existing statement of account regulations in mind”).

12 See 85 FR at 43523 (“The Office...remains available to dialogue further, in accordance with the public process for written comments and/or ex parte meetings.”) 84 FR at 49968 (noting that the Office is willing to “utilize informal messages to gather additional information...[and would establish] guidelines for ex parte communications” to be issued on its website).


14 Johnson v. Copyright Royalty Bd., 969 F.3d 363, 381 (D.C. Cir. 2020).

15 Letter from Senator Lindsey O. Graham, Chairman, Senate Committee on the Judiciary, to U.S. Copyright Office (Sept. 30, 2020).


the MLC held such royalties].” 18 The MLC also offered its view that the proper statutory read would require DMPs to transfer payment for all unmatched uses, regardless of whether a valid agreement previously resulted in the liquidation of a portion of associated royalties or whether there have been related voluntary releases. 19 The Office has also heard from the NMPA as well as individual publishers on this issue, with the NMPA urging the Office to avoid a regulation that might interfere with private agreements. 20 While publisher perspectives varied, significantly, some noted that they consider claims settled pursuant to these agreements to be closed, and to date, all publishers the Office has heard from confirmed that their associated songwriters have already participated in unclaimed royalties received by those publishers pursuant to the agreements at issue. 21 Overall, the comments, in particular as between the MLC on the one hand, and the DLC or individual services, on the other, reveal competing statutory interpretations regarding the provision requiring DMPs to transfer over accrued royalties that have been maintained in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. 22

For its part, the Office is carefully analyzing the statutory text and will give appropriate weight to the legislative history and consideration of these public comments when promulgating a final rule. At this point, however, the Office has determined that the public process would benefit from providing supplemental, alternative regulatory language, to ensure that further stakeholder views can be duly considered as the Office evaluates these important issues. Although the Office has not made any final conclusions on these matters, this SNPRM is being issued so that interested parties have adequate notice and an opportunity to comment specifically on these potential alternatives sufficiently in advance of the February 2021 deadline to submit cumulative statements of account to the MLC.

While the NPRM outlined in detail several considerations with respect to these and other issues, and while the Office continues to seriously consider the insightful comments it has received to date, in light of those comments, Chairman Graham’s letter, and the Phonorecords III remand, the Office now provides regulatory language regarding the following topics. 23 This regulatory language is largely additive to the language proposed in the NPRM, and also includes potential substitutes for certain provisions included in the NPRM. Interested commenters may wish to review that earlier NPRM and the public comments received to date offering varying perspectives on factual and legal issues underlying this proposal.

Estimates and adjustments, including previously released claims. The Office is providing proposed provisions that would allow DMPs to rely upon certain estimates and subsequently submit adjustments to cumulative statements of account where the computation of accrued royalties depends upon an input that is unable to be finally determined at the time the cumulative statement of account is due.

One set of estimate and adjustment provisions would address situations where a DMP cannot calculate a necessary input in a royalty calculation (e.g., performance royalties, sound recording-related consideration) or needs to make a future adjustment under other specified circumstances (e.g., in response to a change in the statutory royalty rates or terms). Statements of adjustment adjusting cumulative statements of account would be required to detail the changes to facilitate accurate reporting. The Office understands that both the DLC and MLC now generally support this type of rule. 24

Related provisions would address situations where a DMP has accrued and maintained royalties in reasonable good-faith belief as to the impact of negotiated agreements upon the computation of accrued royalties required to be transferred to the MLC and it is necessary to estimate such amount at the time the cumulative statement of account is delivered to the MLC because of the unmatched status of the relevant musical works. They would clarify that the statutory obligation to maintain accrued royalties in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles includes maintenance in accordance with such principles concerning derecognition of liabilities. 25 They would accordingly accommodate situations where a DMP has made good-faith estimates where the DMP has used unmatched works in covered activities prior to the license availability date and the DMP has determined that accrued liability for an amount of otherwise attributable royalties has been extinguished due to negotiated agreements (whether considered a voluntary agreement, liquidation agreement, settlement, or release, etc.) executed on a catalog or participating party basis, rather than a matched-work basis. 26 In such a circumstance, the DMP could report based upon its good-faith estimate of accrued royalties for unmatched uses when reporting to the MLC, and would be required to make an adjustment to retain the limitation on liability if that estimate ends up being incorrect. Under no circumstances could this provision be used to shortchange payment of accrued royalties for musical work copyright owners who did not

---

19 MLC NPRM Comment at 8; MLC Ex Parte Letter at 5 (Oct. 5, 2020); MLC Ex Parte Letter at 2–4 (Oct. 16, 2020).
21 Sony/ATV Music Pub. Ex Parte Letter at 1–2 (Oct. 28, 2020) (noting that “distribution of unmatched funds, whether title bound or not, are always paid through to [Sony/ATV’s] songwriters”); Warnex Ex Parte Letter at 1 (Oct. 21, 2020) (noting that songwriters were paid portions of royalties received by publishers pursuant to pre-enactment agreements with certain DMPs that liquidated unclaimed royalties).
23 See 17 U.S.C. 115(d)(12)(A), 705. The Copyright Office considers this additional proposed regulatory language to be a logical outgrowth of the NPRM, including comments received from a wide variety of ex parte meeting participants. Nevertheless, to ensure that all interested parties have fair notice and an opportunity to participate in the rulemaking with respect to these issues in a meaningful and informed manner, the Office is inviting further written comments on these issues. See 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3); Long Island Care at Home, Ltd. v. Coke, 551 U.S. 158, 174 (2007).
24 DLC NPRM Comment at 5–6; MLC Ex Parte Letter at 2 (Oct. 5, 2020).
25 See Accounting Standards Codification 405–20–40–1 (stating a debtor “shall derecognize a liability if and only if it has been extinguished. A liability has been extinguished if either of the following conditions is met: a. The debtor pays the creditor and is relieved of its obligation for the liability; or b. The debtor is legally released from the primary obligor under the liability, either judicially or by the creditor.”); see also Black’s Law Dictionary (11th ed. 2019) (defining “accrued liability” as “[a] debt or obligation that is properly chargeable in a given accounting period but that is not yet paid”).
26 Again, it has been represented to the Office that for certain DMPs, for certain periods of time, the overwhelming majority of the music publishing industry participated in such agreements and has settled relevant claims for those periods. This proposed mechanism is intended to allow DMPs who believe that these agreements impact the calculation of their accrued royalties to transfer over their reasonable estimation of accrued royalties remaining, including royalties accrued for non-participating publishers during the relevant periods, subject to a later true-up to maintain eligibility for the limitation on liability. In this regard and without opinion of the substance of these private agreements, the proposal is intended to further congressional intent to “protect[ ] copyright owner interests” without burdening services with “double payments and incentivizing inefficient litigation. Letter from Senator Lindsey O. Graham, Chairman, Senate Committee on the Judiciary, to U.S. Copyright Office 1 (Sept. 30, 2020).
participate in such agreements. A DMP relying upon such an estimate would be required to provide a list of such agreements to the MLC to use in connection with matching against musical work information provided by copyright owners and to provide an avenue for copyright owners to dispute the fact or effect of such agreements. As the DLC has requested, the proposal includes a requirement for such a DMP to cover any deficit through prompt payment of an invoice issued by the MLC.\(^{27}\) Under the proposed rule, unreasonable or bad-faith withholding of accrued royalties by a DMP may result in loss of the limitation on liability.

**Sound Recording and Musical Work Information and Format.** In addition to continuing to consider the requirements proposed in the NPRM, the Copyright Office is now considering whether to instead potentially adopt language closer to existing regulations for reporting sound recording and musical work information,\(^{28}\) to reflect the DLC’s closer to existing regulations for instead potentially adopt language

...
adding paragraphs (c) through (m) to read as follows:

§ 210.10 Statements required for limitation on liability for digital music providers for the transition period prior to the license availability date.

* * * * *

(b) If the copyright owner is not identified or located by the end of the calendar month in which the digital music provider first makes use of the work, the digital music provider shall accrue and hold royalties calculated under the applicable statutory rate in accordance with usage of the work, from initial use of the work until the accrued royalties can be paid to the copyright owner or are required to be transferred to the mechanical licensing collective, as follows:

(1) Accrued royalties shall be maintained by the digital music provider in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, including those concerning derecognition of liabilities. Accrued royalties can cease being accrued royalties within the meaning of 17 U.S.C. 115(e)(2) if the digital music provider’s payment obligation is extinguished, such as pursuant to a voluntary license or other agreement whereby the digital music provider is legally released from the liability by the relevant creditor copyright owner.

(2) If a copyright owner of an unmatched musical work (or share thereof) is not identified or located by or to the digital music provider before the license availability date, the digital music provider shall, unless a voluntary license or other relevant agreement entered into prior to the time period specified in paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section applies to such musical work (or share thereof)—

* * * * *

(3) * * *

(i) Not later than 45 calendar days after the license availability date, transfer accrued royalties to the mechanical licensing collective (as required by paragraph (j)(2) of this section), such payment to be accompanied by a cumulative statement of account that:

(A) Includes all of the information required by paragraphs (c) through (e) of this section covering the period starting from initial use of the work;

(B) Is delivered to the mechanical licensing collective as required by paragraph (j)(1) of this section; and

(C) Is certified as required by paragraph (j) of this section; and

* * * * *

(c) Each cumulative statement of account delivered to the mechanical licensing collective under paragraph (b)(3)(i) of this section shall be clearly and prominently identified as a “Cumulative Statement of Account for Making and Distributing Phonorecords,” and shall include a clear statement of the following information:

(1) The period (months and years) covered by the cumulative statement of account.

(2) The full legal name of the digital music provider and, if different, the trade or consumer-facing brand name(s) of the service(s), including any specific offering(s), through which the digital music provider engages, or has engaged at any time during the period identified in paragraph (c)(1) of this section, in covered activities. If the digital music provider has a unique DDEX identifier number, it must also be provided.

(3) The full address, including a specific number and street name or rural route, of the place of business of the digital music provider. A post office box or similar designation will not be sufficient except where it is the only address that can be used in that geographic location.

(4) For each sound recording embodying a musical work that is used by the digital music provider in covered activities during the period identified in paragraph (c)(1) of this section and for which a copyright owner of such musical work (or share thereof) is not identified and located by the license availability date, a detailed cumulative statement, from which the mechanical licensing collective may separate reported information for each month and year for each applicable activity or offering including as may be defined in part 385 of this title, of all of:

(i) The royalty payment and accounting information required by paragraph (d) of this section; and

(ii) The sound recording and musical work information required by paragraph (e) of this section.

(5) The total accrued royalty payable by the digital music provider for the period identified in paragraph (c)(1) of this section, computed in accordance with the requirements of this section and part 385 of this title, and including detailed information regarding how the royalty was computed, with such total accrued royalty payable broken down by month and year by each applicable activity or offering including as may be defined in part 385 of this title.

(i) Where a digital music provider has a reasonable good-faith belief that the total accrued royalties payable are less than the total of the amounts reported under paragraph (c)(4)(ii) of this section and the precise amount of such accrued royalties cannot be calculated at the time the cumulative statement of account is delivered to the mechanical licensing collective because of the unmatched status of relevant musical works embodied in sound recordings reported under paragraph (c)(4)(ii) of this section, a reasonable estimate of the total accrued royalties may be reported and transferred, determined in accordance with GAAP and broken down by month and year and by each applicable activity or offering including as may be defined in part 385 of this title. Any such estimate shall be made in good faith and on the basis of the best knowledge, information, and belief of the digital music provider at the time the cumulative statement of account is delivered to the mechanical licensing collective, and subject to any additional accounting and certification requirements under 17 U.S.C. 115 and this section. In no case shall the failure to match a musical work by the license availability date be construed as prohibiting or limiting a digital music provider’s entitlement to use such an estimate if the digital music provider has satisfied its obligations under 17 U.S.C. 115(d)(10)(B) to engage in required matching efforts.

(ii) A digital music provider reporting and transferring estimated accrued royalties must provide a description of any voluntary license or other agreement containing an appropriate release of royalty claims relied upon by the digital music provider in making its estimate that is sufficient for the mechanical licensing collective to engage in efforts to confirm uses of musical works subject to any such agreement. Such description shall be sufficient if it includes at least the following information:

(A) An identification of each of the digital music provider’s services, including by reference to any applicable types of activities or offerings that may be defined in part 385 of this title, relevant to any such agreement. If such an agreement pertains to all of the digital music provider’s applicable services, it may state so without identifying each service.

(B) The start and end dates of each covered period of time.

(C) Each applicable musical work copyright owner, identified by name and any known and appropriate unique identifiers, and appropriate contact information for each such musical work copyright owner or for an administrator or other representative who has entered into an applicable agreement on behalf of the relevant copyright owner.

(D) A satisfactory identification of any applicable catalog exclusions.
(E) At the digital music provider's option, and in lieu of providing the information listed in paragraph (c)(5)(ii)(D) of this section, a list of all covered musical works, identified by appropriate unique identifiers.

(F) A unique identifier for each such agreement.

(iii) After receiving the information required by paragraph (c)(5)(ii) of this section, the mechanical licensing collective shall, among any other actions required of it, engage in efforts to confirm use of musical works embodied in sound recordings reported under paragraph (c)(4)(ii) of this section that are subject to any identified agreement, and may notify relevant copyright owners of the digital music provider's reliance on such identified agreement(s). Where the mechanical licensing collective confirms a reported use of a musical work to be subject to an identified agreement, the mechanical licensing collective shall presume that the digital music provider has appropriately relied upon the agreement, including during the pendency of a dispute between a digital music provider and copyright owner over the digital music provider's reliance on an identified agreement. During the pendency of such a dispute, the mechanical licensing collective shall not make a corresponding distribution to the relevant copyright owner(s) or treat the amount at issue as an overpayment unless it is directed to do so pursuant to the mutual agreement of the relevant parties or by order of an adjudicative body with appropriate authority.

(iv) Subject to paragraph (c)(5)(iii) of this section, if the amount transferred to the mechanical licensing collective is insufficient to cover any required distributions to copyright owners, the mechanical licensing collective shall deliver an invoice and/or response file to the digital music provider consistent with paragraph (h) of this section that includes the amount outstanding (which includes the amount outstanding (which shall include the interest that would have accrued on such amount had it been held by the mechanical licensing collective pursuant to 17 U.S.C. 115(d)(3)(F)(ii) from the original date of transfer) and the basis for the mechanical licensing collective's conclusion that such amount is due. No later than 14 business days after receipt of such notice, the digital music provider must either pay the invoiced amount or notify the mechanical licensing collective that it is disputing that additional amounts are owed (whether in part or in full). If disputed, the mechanical licensing collective shall notify the relevant copyright owner(s) and shall act in accordance with paragraph (c)(5)(iii) of this section. In the event a digital music provider is found by an adjudicative body with appropriate authority to have erroneously, but not unreasonably or in bad faith, withheld accrued royalties, the digital music provider may remain in compliance with this section for purposes of retaining its limitation on liability if the digital music provider has otherwise satisfied the requirements for the limitation on liability described in 17 U.S.C. 115(d)(10) and if the additional amount due is paid in accordance with a relevant order.

(v) Any overpayment of royalties based upon an estimate permitted by paragraph (c)(5)(i) of this section shall be handled in accordance with paragraph (k)(5) of this section.

(vi) Any underpayment of royalties shall be remedied by a digital music provider without regard for the adjusted statute of limitations described in 17 U.S.C. 115(d)(10)(C). By using an estimate permitted by paragraph (c)(5)(i) or (d)(2) of this section, a digital music provider agrees to waive any statute-of-limitations-based defenses with respect to any asserted underpayment of royalties connected to the use of such an estimate.

(6) If the total accrued royalty reported under paragraph (c)(5) of this section does not reconcile with the royalties actually transferred to the mechanical licensing collective, or if the royalties reported include use of an estimate as permitted under paragraph (c)(5)(i) of this section, a clear and detailed explanation of the difference and the basis for it.

(d) The royalty payment and accounting information called for by paragraph (c)(4)(i) of this section shall consist of the following:

(1) A detailed and step-by-step accounting of the calculation of attributable royalties under applicable provisions of this section and part 385 of this title, sufficient to allow the mechanical licensing collective to assess the manner in which the digital music provider determined the royalty and the accuracy of the royalty calculations, including but not limited to the number of payable units, including, as applicable, permanent downloads, plays, and constructive plays, for each reported sound recording.

(2) Where computation of the attributable royalties depends on an input that is unable to be finally determined at the time the cumulative statement of account is delivered to the mechanical licensing collective and where the reason the input cannot be finally determined is outside of the digital music provider's control (e.g., the amount of applicable public performance royalties and the amount of applicable consideration for sound recording copyright rights), a reasonable estimation of such input, determined in accordance with GAAP, may be used or provided by the digital music provider. Royalty payments based on such estimates shall be adjusted pursuant to paragraph (k) of this section after being finally determined. A cumulative statement of account containing an estimate permitted by this paragraph (d)(2) should identify each input that has been estimated, and provide the reasons why such input(s) needed to be estimated and an explanation as to the basis for the estimate(s).

(3) All information and calculations provided pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section shall be made in good faith and on the basis of the best knowledge, information, and belief of the digital music provider at the time the cumulative statement of account is delivered to the mechanical licensing collective, and subject to any additional accounting and certification requirements under 17 U.S.C. 115 and this section.

(e)(1) The following information must be provided for each sound recording embodying a musical work required to be reported under paragraph (c)(4)(ii) of this section:

(i) The information referenced in § 210.6(c)(3) that would have been provided to the copyright owner had the digital music provider been serving Monthly Statements of Account as a compulsory licensee in accordance with this subpart on the copyright owner from initial use of the work.

(ii) Any additional information requested in writing by the mechanical licensing collective that either is referenced in 17 U.S.C. 115(d)(10)(B)(i)(I)(aa) or (bb) and that was acquired by the digital music provider in connection with its efforts to obtain such information under 17 U.S.C. 115(d)(10)(B)(i)(I), or, if available, is a unique identifier assigned by the digital music provider to a reported sound recording. The digital music provider must respond to such a request within a reasonable period of time and may deliver any such requested supplemental information to the mechanical licensing collective outside of its cumulative statement of account in a commercially reasonable manner of the digital music provider's choosing. Providing such supplemental information shall not be construed as an adjustment to a cumulative statement of account under paragraph (k) of this section.
(2) For each track for which a share of a musical work has been matched and for which accrued royalties for such share have been paid, but for which one or more shares of the musical work remains unmatched and unpaid, the digital music provider must provide a clear identification of the total aggregate percentage share that has been matched and paid and the owner(s) of the aggregate matched and paid share (including any unique party identifiers for such owner(s) that are known by the digital music provider), provided that, in the event such information is maintained by a third-party vendor, that information is made available to the digital music provider on commercially reasonable terms.

(f) The information required by paragraphs (c), (d), (e), and (k) of this section requires intelligible, legible, and unambiguous statements in the cumulative statements of account, without incorporation of facts or information contained in other documents or records.

(g) References to part 385 of this title, as used in paragraphs (c), (d), and (k) of this section, refer to the rates and terms of royalty payments as in effect as to each particular reported use based on when the use occurred.

(h) If requested by a digital music provider, the mechanical licensing collective shall deliver an invoice and/or a response file to the digital music provider within a reasonable period of time after the cumulative statement of account and related royalties are received. The response file shall contain such information as is common in the industry to be reported in response files, backup files, and any other similar such files provided to digital music providers by applicable third-party administrators.

(i)(1) To the extent practicable, each cumulative statement of account delivered to the mechanical licensing collective under paragraph (b)(3)(i) of this section shall be delivered in a machine-readable format that is compatible with the information technology systems of the mechanical licensing collective as reasonably determined by the mechanical licensing collective and set forth on its website, taking into consideration relevant industry standards and the potential for different degrees of sophistication among digital music providers. The mechanical licensing collective must offer at least two options, where one is dedicated to smaller digital music providers that may not be reasonably capable of complying with the requirements of a reporting or data standard or format that the mechanical licensing collective may see fit to adopt for larger digital music providers with more sophisticated operations. Nothing in this section shall be construed as prohibiting the mechanical licensing collective from adopting more than two reporting or data standards or formats. If it is not practicable for a digital music provider to deliver its cumulative statement of account in a flat-file or other machine-readable format (e.g., Excel, comma-separated values (CSV)) to the extent such digital music provider’s applicable data exists in such a format.

(2) To the extent practicable, royalty payments shall be delivered to the mechanical licensing collective in such manner and form as the mechanical licensing collective may reasonably determine and set forth on its website. A cumulative statement of account and its related royalty payment may be delivered together or separately, but if delivered separately, the payment must include information reasonably sufficient to allow the mechanical licensing collective to match the cumulative statement of account to the payment.

(j) Each cumulative statement of account delivered to the mechanical licensing collective under paragraph (b)(3)(i) of this section shall be accompanied by:

(1) The name of the person who is signing and certifying the cumulative statement of account.

(2) A signature, which in the case of a digital music provider that is a corporation or partnership, shall be the signature of a duly authorized officer of the corporation or of a partner.

(3) The date of signature and certification.

(4) If the digital music provider is a corporation or partnership, the title or official position held in the corporation or partnership by the person who is signing and certifying the cumulative statement of account.

(5) One of the following statements:

(i) Statement one:

I certify that (1) I am duly authorized to sign this cumulative statement of account on behalf of the digital music provider, (2) I have examined this cumulative statement of account, and (3) all statements of fact contained herein are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, and are made in good faith.

(ii) Statement two:

I certify that (1) I am duly authorized to sign this cumulative statement of account on behalf of the digital music provider, (2) I have prepared or supervised the preparation of the data used by the digital music provider and/or its agent to generate this cumulative statement of account. (3) such data is true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, and was prepared in good faith, and (4) this cumulative statement of account was prepared by the digital music provider and/or its agent using processes and internal controls that were subject to an examination, during the past year, by a licensed certified public accountant in accordance with the attest standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the opinion of whom was that the processes and internal controls were designed to generate monthly statements that accurately reflect, in all material respects, the digital music provider’s usage of musical works, the statutory royalties applicable thereto, and any other data that is necessary for the proper calculation of the statutory royalties in accordance with 17 U.S.C. 115 and applicable regulations.

(k)(1) A digital music provider may adjust its previously delivered cumulative statement of account, including related royalty payments, by delivering to the mechanical licensing collective a statement of adjustment.

(2) A statement of adjustment shall be clearly and prominently identified as a “Statement of Adjustment of a Cumulative Statement of Account.”

(3) A statement of adjustment shall include a clear statement of the following information:

(i) The previously delivered cumulative statement of account, including related royalty payments, to which the adjustment applies.

(ii) The specific change(s) to the previously delivered cumulative statement of account, including a detailed description of any changes to any of the inputs upon which computation of the royalties payable by the digital music provider depends. Such description shall include the adjusted royalties payable and all information used to compute the adjusted royalties payable, in accordance with the requirements of this section and part 385 of this title, such that the mechanical licensing collective can provide a detailed and step-by-step accounting of the calculation of the adjustment under
applicable provisions of this section and part 385 of this title, sufficient to allow each applicable copyright owner to assess the manner in which the digital music provider determined the adjustment and the accuracy of the adjustment. As appropriate, an adjustment may be calculated using estimates permitted under paragraph (d)(2) of this section.

(iii) Where applicable, the particular sound recordings and uses to which the adjustment applies.

(iv) A description of the reason(s) for the adjustment.

(4) In the case of an underpayment of royalties, the digital music provider shall pay the difference to the mechanical licensing collective contemporaneously with delivery of the statement of adjustment or promptly after being notified by the mechanical licensing collective of the amount due. A statement of adjustment and its related royalty payment may be delivered separately, but if delivered separately, the payment must include information reasonably sufficient to allow the mechanical licensing collective to match the statement of adjustment to the payment.

(5) In the case of an overpayment of royalties, the mechanical licensing collective shall appropriately credit or offset the excess payment amount and apply it to the digital music provider’s account, or upon request, issue a refund within a reasonable period of time.

(6)(i) A statement of adjustment must be delivered to the mechanical licensing collective no later than 6 months after the occurrence of any of the scenarios specified by paragraph (k)(6)(ii) of this section, where such an event necessitates an adjustment. Where more than one scenario applies to the same cumulative statement of account at different points in time, a separate 6-month period runs for each such triggering event.

(ii) A statement of adjustment may only be made:

(A) Except as otherwise provided for by paragraph (c)(5) of this section, where the digital music provider discovers, or is notified of by the mechanical licensing collective or a copyright owner, licensor, or author (or their respective representatives, including by an administrator or a collective management organization) of a relevant sound recording or musical work that is embodied in such a sound recording, an inaccuracy in the cumulative statement of account, or in the amounts of royalties owed, based on information that was not previously known to the digital music provider despite its good-faith efforts;

(B) When making an adjustment to a previously estimated input under paragraph (d)(2) of this section;

(C) Following an audit of a digital music provider that concludes after the cumulative statement of account is delivered and that has the result of affecting the computation of the royalties payable by the digital music provider (e.g., as applicable, an audit by a sound recording copyright owner concerning the amount of applicable consideration paid for sound recording copyright rights); or

(D) In response to a change in applicable rates or terms under part 385 of this title.

(7) A statement of adjustment must be certified in the same manner as a cumulative statement of account under paragraph (j) of this section.

(l)(1) Subject to the provisions of 17 U.S.C. 115, a digital music provider and the mechanical licensing collective may agree in writing to vary or supplement the procedures described in this section, including but not limited to pursuing an agreement to administer a voluntary license, provided that any such change does not materially prejudice copyright owners owed royalties required to be transferred to the mechanical licensing collective for the digital music provider to be eligible for the limitation on liability described in 17 U.S.C. 115(d)(10). The procedures surrounding the certification requirements of paragraph (l) of this section may not be altered by agreement. This paragraph (l)(1) does not empower the mechanical licensing collective to agree to alter any substantive requirements described in this section, including but not limited to the required royalty payment and accounting information and sound recording and musical work information.

(2) The mechanical licensing collective shall maintain a current, free, and publicly accessible online list of all agreements made pursuant to paragraph (l)(1) of this section that includes the name of the digital music provider (and, if different, the trade or consumer-facing brand name(s) of the service(s), including any specific offering(s), through which the digital music provider engages, or has engaged at any time during the period identified in paragraph (c)(1) of this section, in covered activities) and the start and end dates of the agreement. Any such agreement shall be considered a record that a copyright owner may access in accordance with 17 U.S.C. 115(d)(9)(M)(ii). Where an agreement made pursuant to paragraph (l)(1) of this section is made pursuant to an agreement to administer a voluntary license or any other agreement, only those portions that vary or supplement the procedures described in this section and that pertain to the administration of a requesting copyright owner’s musical works must be made available to that copyright owner.

(m) Each digital music provider shall, for a period of at least seven years from the date of delivery of a cumulative statement of account or statement of adjustment to the mechanical licensing collective, keep and retain in its possession all records and documents necessary and appropriate to support fully the information set forth in such statement (except that such records and documents that relate to an estimated input permitted under paragraph (d)(2) of this section must be kept and retained for a period of at least seven years from the date of delivery of the statement containing the final adjustment of such input).

Regan A. Smith,
General Counsel and Associate Register of Copyrights.
[FR Doc. 2020–24528 Filed 11–4–20; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 1410–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 70
RIN 2900–AP89
Change in Rates VA Pays for Special Modes of Transportation

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.
ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) proposes to amend its regulations concerning beneficiary travel. The revisions would amend the Veterans Health Administration’s (VHA) beneficiary travel regulations to establish a new payment methodology for special modes of transportation. The new payment methodology would apply in the absence of a contract between VA and a vendor of the special mode of transportation. For transport by ambulance, VA proposes to pay the lesser of the actual charge or the amount determined by the Medicare Part B Ambulance Fee Schedule (AFS) established by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). For travel by modes other than ambulance, VA proposes to establish a payment methodology based on states’ posted rates or the actual charge. VA would replace this payment methodology for travel by modes other than ambulance at