IV. Conclusion

For the reasons cited in this document, the NRC will consider the issue raised in the PRM in an ongoing rulemaking process.

The NRC tracks the status of PRMs on its website at https://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/regulatory/rulemaking/rules-petitions.html. In addition, the Federal rulemaking website (https://www.regulations.gov) allows you to receive alerts when changes or additions occur in a docket folder. To subscribe: (1) Navigate to the docket folder (NRC–2009–0196); (2) click the “Email Alert” link; and (3) enter your email address and select how frequently you would like to receive emails (daily, weekly, or monthly). As in all rulemakings, the NRC will solicit and consider public comments during the proposed rule phase of the rulemaking, before determining the approach that will become the basis for the final rule.

Publication of this document in the Federal Register becomes the basis for the final rule.

The PRM is withdrawn.

The FAA is withdrawing the proposed rule published August 8, 2019 (84 FR 38887), as of October 20, 2020.

ADDRESSES:

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the internet at https://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2019–0576; or in person at Docket Operations, 800 Independence Avenue SW, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD action, any comments received, and other information. The street address for Docket Operations is U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nelson Sanchez, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Section, FAA, Seattle ACO Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; telephone and fax: 206–231–3543; email: nelson.sanchez@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

The FAA issued an NPRM that proposed to amend 14 CFR part 39 by adding an AD that would apply to the specified products. The NPRM was published in the Federal Register on August 8, 2019 (84 FR 38887). The NPRM was prompted by reports of dual FMC cold starts during a critical flight phase such as takeoff and approach. The NPRM would have required an inspection to determine if certain software is installed, installation of FMC operational program software (OPS) and a software configuration check, and applicable concurrent requirements. The proposed actions were intended to address dual FMC cold starts, which can result in a loss of flight critical data from flight deck displays during a high workload phase of flight. This condition, if not addressed, could reduce the flight crew’s situational awareness, resulting in a loss of continued safe flight and landing.

Actions Since the NPRM Was Issued

Since issuance of the NPRM, the manufacturer discovered that the installation of new NG FMC BP 4.0 software, as proposed in the NPRM, does not resolve the unsafe condition identified in the NPRM, and the manufacturer is developing new software to resolve the unsafe condition. In light of these changes, the FAA is considering further rulemaking.

Withdrawal of the NPRM constitutes only such action and does not preclude the FAA from further rulemaking on this issue, nor does it commit the FAA to any course of action in the future.

FAA’s Conclusions

Upon further consideration, the FAA has determined that the NPRM does not adequately address the identified unsafe condition. Accordingly, the NPRM is withdrawn.

Regulatory Findings

Since this action only withdraws an NPRM, it is neither a proposed nor a final rule. This action therefore is not covered under Executive Order 12866, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, or DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979).

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.
I. Table of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>Department of Homeland Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Federal Register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMB</td>
<td>Office of Management and Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>Notice of Proposed Rulemaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§</td>
<td>Section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Background, Purpose and Legal Basis

CSX Transportation requested the Coast Guard consider allowing the Seaboard Systems Railroad Bridge 33 CFR 117.297, across the Little Manatee River to be remotely operated. The name of the bridge would be updated to reflect the current bridge owner and will be referred to as the CSX Railroad Bridge. The Seaboard System Railroad Bridge across the Little Manatee River, mile 2.4, at Ruskin, Hillsborough County, FL is a swing bridge. The bridge is currently maintained in the closed position with a three hour advance notice for an opening. It has a vertical clearance of 5 feet at mean high water in the closed position and a horizontal clearance of 35 feet.

III. Discussion of Proposed Rule

The Coast Guard proposes to modify the operating schedule of the Seaboard System Railroad Bridge across Little Manatee River, mile 2.4, in Ruskin, Hillsborough County, FL. This proposed rule would allow the swing bridge to be remotely operated and provide an opening when a three hour notice is given. The proposed rule would also change the name of the bridge to reflect current ownership.

DATES: Comments and relate material must reach the Coast Guard on or before December 21, 2020.


See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below for instructions on submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this proposed rule, call or email LT Clark W. Sanford with the Coast Guard Sector St Petersburg Florida, Waterways Office; telephone 813--228--2191 x8105, email Clark.W.Sanford@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

This regulatory action determination is based on the ability that vessels can still transit the bridge given advanced notice. Vessels that can transit the bridge without an opening may do so at any time.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the bridge may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section IV.A above this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520.):

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Government