(3) The amount of the bid has been determined to be adequate by the authorized officer.

Any bid submitted that does not conform to the requirements of the Final NOS and Final NOS package, OCSLA, or other applicable statute or regulation will be rejected and returned to the bidder. The United States Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission will review the results of the lease sale for antitrust issues prior to the acceptance of bids and issuance of leases.

**Bid Adequacy Review Procedures for GOM Region-Wide Sale 256**

To ensure that the U.S. Government receives fair market value for the conveyance of leases from this sale, BOEM will evaluate high bids in accordance with its bid adequacy procedures, which are available on BOEM’s website at [http://www.boem.gov/Oil-and-Gas-Energy-Program/Leasing/Regional-Leasing/Gulf-of-Mexico-Region/Bid-Adequacy-Procedures.aspx](http://www.boem.gov/Oil-and-Gas-Energy-Program/Leasing/Regional-Leasing/Gulf-of-Mexico-Region/Bid-Adequacy-Procedures.aspx).

**Lease Award**

BOEM requires each bidder awarded a lease to complete the following:

1. Execute all copies of the lease (Form BOEM—005 [February 2017], as amended);
2. Pay by EFT the balance of the bonus bid amount and the first year’s rental for each lease issued in accordance with the requirements of 30 CFR 218.155 and 556.520(a); and
3. Satisfy the bonding requirements of 30 CFR part 556, subpart I, as amended.

ONRR requests that only one transaction be used for payment of the balance of the bonus bid amount and the first year’s rental. Once ONRR receives such payment, the bidder awarded the lease may not request a refund of the balance of the bonus bid amount or first year’s rental payment.

**XI. Delay of Sale**

The BOEM GOM RD has the discretion to change any date, time, and/or location specified in the Final NOS package in the case of an event that the BOEM GOM RD deems could interfere with a fair and orderly lease sale process. Such events could include, but are not limited to, natural disasters (e.g., earthquakes, hurricanes, floods), wars, riots, acts of terrorism, fires, strikes, civil disorder, or other events of a similar nature. In case of such events, bidders should call (504) 736–0557, or access the BOEM website at [http://www.boem.gov](http://www.boem.gov), for information regarding any changes.

**Walter D. Cruickshank,**

*Acting Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.*

[FR Doc. 2020–23077 Filed 10–16–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**[Investigation No. 337–TA–1222]**

**Certain Video Processing Devices, Components Thereof, and Digital Smart Televisions Containing the Same; Institution of Investigation**

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on September 10, 2020, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of DivX, LLC of San Diego, California. The complaint was supplemented on September 15 and 22, 2020. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain video processing devices, components thereof, and digital smart televisions containing the same by reason of infringement of one or more claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,832,297 (“the ’297 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 10,212,486 (“the ’486 Patent”); U.S. Patent No. 10,412,141 (“the ’141 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 10,484,749 (“the ’749 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2020).

**Scope of Investigation:** Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on October 13, 2020, 2020, ordered that—

1. Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–11, 14–29, and 32–39 of the ’297 patent; claims 1–5, 7–10, 13–19, and 21–25 of the ’486 patent; claims 1–3, 5–11, 20–22, and 26–30 of the ’141 patent; claims 1–18 of the ’749 patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;
2. Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is: “video processing devices, consisting of printed circuit board assemblies for use in video processing in digital smart televisions and associated software and/or firmware, components thereof, consisting of integrated circuits containing video processors and associated software and/or firmware, and digital smart televisions containing the same, consisting of digital smart televisions containing such video processing devices and/or components”;
3. For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

   (a) The complainant is: DivX, LLC, 4350 La Jolla Village Drive, Suite 950, San Diego, CA 92122.
(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

MediaTek Inc., No. 1, Dusing 1st Road, Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660.

TCL Corporation, HCMC CE Complex, Co., Ltd., Lot I–11, D2 Road, Saigon Hi-Tech Park, Tang Nhon Phu B Ward, District 9, Ho Chi Minh City, 700000, Vietnam.


LG Electronics USA, Inc., 1000 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632.

TCL Corporation, TCL Technology Building, No. 17 Hufeng 3rd Road, Zhongkai High-Tech Development District, Huizhou, Guangdong, 516001, China.

TCL Technology Group Corporation, TCL Technology Building, 22/F, No. 17 Hufeng 3rd Road, Zhongkai High-Tech Development District, Huizhou, Guangdong, 516001, China.

TCL Electronics Holdings Limited, 9 Floor, TCL Electronics Holdings Limited Building, TCL International E City, #1001 Zhongshan Park Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518067, China.

TCL King Electrical Appliances (Huizhou) Co. Ltd., No. 78, 4th Hufeng Rd., Zhongkai New & High-Tech Industries Development Zone, Huizhou, Guangdong, 516006, China.

TCL MOKA International Limited, 7/F Hong Kong Science Park, Building 22 E, 22 Science Park East Avenue, Sha Tin, New Territories, Hong Kong SAR.


MediTek Inc., No. 1, Dusing 1st Road, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu City, 30076, Taiwan.

MediaTek USA Inc., 2840 Junction Avenue, San Jose, California 95134.

Star Semiconductor, Inc., 4F–1, No. 26, Tai-Yuan St., Chupei City, Hsinchu Hsien 302, Taiwan.

Realtek Semiconductor Corp., No. 2, Innovation Road II, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan.

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party in this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.


Lisa Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.


General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at United States International Trade Commission (USITC) at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to § 210.8(b) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of Skull Shaver, LLC on October 13, 2020. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electric shavers and components and accessories thereof. The complaint names as respondents: Rayenbarny Inc. d.b.a. AsaVea of New York, NY; Bald Shaver Inc. of Canada; Suzhou Kaidiya Garments Trading Co., Ltd. d.b.a. Digimator of China; Shenzhen Aiweilai Trading Co., Ltd. d.b.a. Teamyo of China; Wenzhou Wending Electric Appliance Co., Ltd. d.b.a. Paitree of China; Shenzhen Nukan Technology Co., Ltd. d.b.a. OriHea of China; Yiwu Xingye Network Technology Co. Ltd. d.b.a. DiSheng of China; Shenzhen Nukan Technology Co., Ltd. d.b.a. Roziapro of China; Magicfly LLC of Hong Kong; Yiwu City Qiaoyu Trading Co., Ltd. d.b.a. Surker; Shenzhen Wantong Information Technology Co., Ltd. d.b.a. WTONG of China; and Shenzhen Junmao International Technology Co., Ltd. d.b.a. Homeasy of China. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a general exclusion order or in the alternative issue a limited exclusion order.