INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled Certain Furniture Products Finished with Decorative Wood Grain Paper and Components Thereof, DN 3499; the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant’s filing pursuant to the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.


General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at United States International Trade Commission (USITC) at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to § 210.8(b) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of Toppan Interamérica, Inc. on October 2, 2020. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain furniture products finished with decorative wood grain paper and components thereof. The complaint names as a respondent: Walker Edison Furniture Company, LLC of Salt Lake City, UT. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order, a cease and desist order, and impose a bond to upon respondent alleged infringing articles during the 60-day Presidential review period pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j).

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or § 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;

(ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;

(iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant’s licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions on the public interest must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation. Any written submissions on other issues must also be filed by no later than the close of business, eight calendar days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Complainant may file replies to any written submissions no later than three calendar days after the date on which any initial submissions were due. Any submissions and replies filed in response to this Notice are limited to five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above. Submissions should refer to the docket number (“Docket No. 3499”) in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, Electronic Filing Procedures 1). Please note the Secretary’s Office will accept only electronic filings during this time. Filings must be made through the Commission’s Electronic Document Information System (EDIS, https://edis.usitc.gov.) No in-person paper-based filings or paper copies of any electronic filings will be accepted until further notice. Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary at EDIS3Help@usitc.gov.

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this Investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.3

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of §§ 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission.

2 All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements.
Lisa Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2020–22321 Filed 10–7–20; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1188]

Certain Pick-Up Truck Folding Bed Cover Systems and Components Thereof; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based Upon Withdrawal of the Complaint; Termination of the Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (the “Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 18) granting Complainants’ motion to terminate the present investigation in its entirety based on withdrawal of the complaint. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl P. Bretscher, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2382. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 30, 2019, the Commission instituted the present investigation on a complaint, as supplemented, filed by Extang Corporation and Laurmark Enterprises, Inc. (d/b/a Bak Industries) (collectively, “Complainants”), both of Ann Arbor, Michigan. 84 FR 71975–76 (Dec. 30, 2019). The complaint alleges a violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“Section 337”), in the importation, sale for importation, and sale in the United States after importation of certain pick-up truck folding bed cover systems and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,484,788 and 8,061,758 (“the 758 patent”). Id. The complaint further alleges that an industry exists in the United States. Id.

The notice of investigation names the following parties as respondents: Tyger Auto Inc. of Rialto, California; Cixi City Liyuan Auto Parts Co. of Zhejiang Province, China; and Hong Kong Car Start Industries Co., of Zhejiang Province, China (collectively, “Respondents”). Id. The notice of investigation also names the Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUI”) as a party. Id.

On March 18, 2020, the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) issued an ID (Order No. 6), granting Complainants’ unopposed motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation in order to supplement and clarify the allegations of the original complaint and notice of investigation regarding the 758 patent. The Commission determined not to review the ID. Comm’n Notice (April 17, 2020).

On September 22, 2020, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 18) granting Complainants’ unopposed motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon the withdrawal of the complaint. The ALJ finds no extraordinary circumstances that would prevent termination of this investigation, and no agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the parties concerning the subject matter of the investigation. The ALJ also granted Complainants’ request to stay the procedural schedule pending final resolution of this ID.

No party filed a petition for review of Order No. 18.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

The Commission voted to approve this determination on October 2, 2020.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.
Lisa Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2020–22330 Filed 10–7–20; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure; Meeting of the Judicial Conference

AGENCY: Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure, Judicial Conference of the United States.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure will hold a virtual meeting on January 5, 2021. The meeting is open to the public. When a meeting is held virtually, members of the public may join by telephone conference to listen but not participate. An agenda and supporting materials will be posted at least 7 days in advance of the meeting at: http://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/records-and-archives-rules-committees/agenda-books.

DATES: January 5, 2021, TIME: 10 a.m.–5 p.m. (Eastern).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca A. Womeldorf, Secretary, Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Judicial Conference of the United States, Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building, One Columbus Circle, NE, Suite 7–300, Washington, DC 20544, Telephone (202) 502–1820, RulesCommittee_Secretary@ao.uscourts.gov.


Shelly L. Cox,
Management Analyst, Rules Committee Staff.
[FR Doc. 2020–22326 Filed 10–7–20; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 2210–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Wayne Pharmacy; Decision and Order

On March 30, 2018, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, issued an Order to Show Cause (hereinafter, OSC) to Wayne Pharmacy (hereinafter, Registrant), which proposed the revocation of its DEA Certificate of Registration BW8625785. Government’s Request for Final Agency Action Exhibit (hereinafter, RFAAX) 2 (OSC). The OSC alleged that Registrant’s “continued registration is inconsistent with the public interest.” OSC, at 1 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(4) and 823(f)). The OSC also proposed to deny any pending application by Registrant for renewal as