The Commission believes that adding the MLA Charge and Bid-Ask Spread Charge to its margin methodologies should enable FICC to more effectively identify, measure, monitor, and manage its credit exposures in connection with liquidating a defaulted member’s portfolio that may give rise to (1) decreased marketability due to large positions of securities sharing similar risk profiles, and (2) bid-ask spread transaction costs. Accordingly, the Commission believes that adding the MLA Charge and Bid-Ask Spread Charge to FICC’s margin methodologies would be consistent with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(4)(i) because these new margin charges should better enable FICC to maintain sufficient financial resources to cover FICC’s credit exposure to its members fully with a high degree of confidence.

C. Consistency With Rules 17Ad-22(e)(6)(i) and (v)

Rule 17Ad-22(e)(6)(i) requires that FICC establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to cover its credit exposures to its participants by establishing a risk-based margin system that, at a minimum, considers, and produces margin levels commensurate with, the risks and particular attributes of each relevant product, portfolio, and market.

Rule 17Ad-22(e)(6)(v) requires that FICC establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to cover its credit exposures to its participants by establishing a risk-based margin system that, at a minimum, uses an appropriate method for measuring credit exposure that accounts for relevant product risk factors and portfolio effects across products.

As described above in Section I.B, FICC’s current margin methodologies do not account for the potential increase in market impact costs when liquidating a defaulted member’s portfolio where the portfolio contains a large position in securities sharing similar risk profiles. FICC proposes to address this risk by adding the MLA Charge and Bid-Ask Spread Charge to its margin methodologies. To avoid excessive MLA Charges and ensure margin requirements are commensurate with the relevant risks, FICC also contemplates reducing a member’s MLA Charge when FICC could otherwise partially mitigate the relevant risks by extending the time period for liquidating a defaulted member’s portfolio beyond the three day period.

Additionally, as described above in Section I.A and C, FICC’s current margin methodologies do not account for the risk of incurring bid-ask spread transaction costs when liquidating the securities in a defaulted member’s portfolio. FICC proposes to address this risk by adding the Bid-Ask Spread Charge to its margin methodologies. Adding the MLA Charge and Bid-Ask Spread Charge to FICC’s margin methodologies should better enable FICC to collect margin amounts commensurate with the risk attributes of its members’ portfolios than FICC’s current margin methodologies.

Specifically, the MLA Charge should better enable FICC to manage the risk of increased costs to FICC associated with the decreased marketability of a defaulted member’s portfolio where the portfolio contains a large position in securities sharing similar risk profiles. Moreover, the proposal to reduce the MLA Charge when FICC could otherwise partially mitigate the relevant risks demonstrates how the proposal provides an appropriate method for measuring credit exposure, in that it seeks to take into account the particular circumstances related to a particular portfolio when determining the MLA Charge. Additionally, since FICC’s current margin methodologies do not account for bid-ask spread transaction costs associated with liquidating a defaulted member’s portfolio, the Bid-Ask Spread Charge should enable FICC to manage such risks.

Accordingly, the Commission believes that adding the MLA Charge and Bid-Ask Spread Charge to FICC’s margin methodologies would be consistent with Rules 17Ad-22(e)(6)(i) and (v) because these new margin charges should better enable FICC to establish a risk-based margin system that (1) considers and produces relevant margin levels commensurate with the risks associated with liquidating member portfolios in a default scenario, including decreased marketability of a portfolio’s securities due to large positions in securities sharing similar risk profiles and bid-ask spread transaction costs, and (2) uses an appropriate method for measuring credit exposure that accounts for such risk factors and portfolio effects.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore noticed, pursuant to Section 806(e)(1)(I) of the Clearing Supervision Act, that the Commission does not object to Advance Notice (SR-FICC-2020-009) and that FICC is authorized to implement the proposed change as of the date of this notice or the date of an order by the Commission approving Proposed Rule Change SR-FICC-2020–009, whichever is later.

By the Commission.

J. Matthew DeLosDernier,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–21784 Filed 10–1–20; 8:45 am]
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Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on September 15, 2020, New York Stock Exchange LLC (“NYSE” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to harmonize Rules 9261 and 9830 with recent changes by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (“FINRA”) that temporarily grants the Chief or Deputy Chief Hearing Officer the authority to order that hearings be conducted by video conference if warranted by public health risks posed by in-person hearings during the ongoing novel coronavirus (“COVID–19”) pandemic. As proposed, these temporary amendments would be in effect through December 31, 2020. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to harmonize Rules 9261 (Evidence and Procedure in Hearing) and 9830 (Hearing) with recent changes by FINRA to its Rules 9261 and 9830 that temporarily grants to the Chief or Deputy Chief Hearing Officer the authority to order that hearings be conducted by video conference if warranted by public health risks posed by in-person hearings during the ongoing COVID–19 pandemic. As proposed, these temporary amendments would be in effect through December 31, 2020.4

Background

In 2013, the NYSE adopted disciplinary rules that are, with certain exceptions, substantially the same as the FINRA Rule 8000 Series and Rule 9000 Series, and which set forth rules for conducting investigations and enforcement actions.5 The NYSE disciplinary rules were implemented on July 1, 2013.6

In adopting disciplinary rules modeled on FINRA’s rules, the NYSE adopted the hearing and evidentiary processes set forth in Rule 9261 and in Rule 9830 for hearings in matters involving temporary and permanent cease and desist orders under the Rule 9800 Series. As adopted, the text of Rule 9261 is identical to the counterpart FINRA rule. Rule 9830 is substantially the same as FINRA’s rule, except for conforming and technical amendments.7 In view of the ongoing spread of COVID–19 and its effect on FINRA’s adjudicatory functions nationwide, FINRA recently filed a temporary rule change to grant FINRA’s Office of Hearing Officers (“OHO”) and the National Adjudicatory Council (“NAC”) the authority to conduct certain hearings by video conference, if warranted by the current COVID–19-related public health risks posed by in-person hearings. Among the rules FINRA amended were Rules 9261 and 9830.8

FINRA represented in its filing that its protocol for conducting hearings by video conference would ensure that such hearings maintain fair process for the parties by, among other things, FINRA’s use of a high quality, secure and user-friendly conferencing service and provide thorough instructions, training and technical support to all hearing participants.9 According to FINRA, the proposed changes were a reasonable interim solution to allow FINRA’s critical adjudicatory processes to continue to function while protecting the health and safety of hearing participants as FINRA works towards resuming in-person hearings in a manner that is compliant with the current guidance of public health authorities.10

Pursuant to a regulatory services agreement (“RSA”), FINRA’s OHO will administer all aspects of adjudications, including assigning hearing officers to serve as NYSE hearing officers. A

4 The Exchange may submit a separate rule filing to extend the expiration date of the proposed temporary amendments if the Exchange requires temporary relief from the rule requirements identified in this proposal beyond December 31, 2020. The amended NYSE rules will revert back to their current state at the conclusion of the temporary relief period and any extension thereof.


6 See NYSE Information Memorandum 13–8 (May 24, 2013).

7 See 2013 Approval Order, 78 FR at 15394, n.7 & 15400; 2013 Notice, 78 FR at 5228 & 5345.

8 See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 83289 (September 2, 2020), 85 FR 55712 (September 9, 2020) (SR–FINRA–2020–027) (“FINRA Filing”). FINRA also proposed to temporarily amend FINRA Rules 1015 and 9524. FINRA Rule 1015 governs the process by which an applicant for new or continuing membership can appeal a decision rendered by FINRA’s Department of Member Supervision under Rule 1014 or 1017 and request a hearing which would be conducted by a subcommittee of the NAC. See id. The Exchange has not adopted FINRA Rule 1015. FINRA Rule 9524 governs the process by which a statutorily disqualified member firm or associated person can appeal the Department’s recommendation to deny a firm or sponsoring firm’s application to the NAC. See id. Under the Exchange’s version of Rule 9261, if the Exchange’s Chief Regulatory Officer rejects the application, the member organization or applicant may request a review by the Exchange Board of Directors. This differs from FINRA’s process, which provides for a hearing before the NAC and further consideration by the FINRA Board of Directors.

9 See FINRA Filing, 85 FR at 55713. See id.

10 Given that FINRA and its OHO administers disciplinary hearings on the Exchange’s behalf, and given that the public health concerns addressed by FINRA’s amendments apply equally to the Exchange’s disciplinary hearings, the Exchange proposes to temporarily amend its disciplinary rules to allow FINRA to conduct virtual hearings on its behalf.

Proposed Rule Change

Rule 9261(b) states that if a disciplinary hearing is held, a party shall be entitled to be heard in-person, by counsel, or by the party’s representative. Absent an agreement by all parties to proceed in another manner, Exchange disciplinary hearings are in-person. As noted, the Chief and Deputy Hearing Officers for all Exchange and cross-market matters are supplied by OHO and are FINRA employees. Accordingly, absent an agreement by all parties to proceed in another manner, under Rule 9261(b) the Chief or Deputy Hearing Officer conducts disciplinary hearings in-person.

Similarly, Rule 9830 outlines the requirements for hearings for temporary and permanent cease and desist orders. Rule 9830(a), however, does not specify that a party shall be entitled to be heard in-person, by counsel, or by the party’s representative.

Consistent with FINRA’s temporary amendment to FINRA Rules 9261 and 9830, the Exchange proposes to temporarily grant the Chief or Deputy Chief Hearing Officer temporary authority to order, upon consideration of the current COVID–19-related public health concerns addressed by an in-person hearing, that a hearing under those rules be conducted by video conference. The proposed rule change will permit OHO to make an assessment, based on critical COVID–19 data and criteria and the guidance of health and security consultants, whether an in-person hearing would compromise the health and safety of the hearing participants such that the hearing should proceed by video conference. As noted, FINRA has adopted a detailed and thorough
The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change supports the objectives of the Act by providing greater harmonization between Exchange rules and FINRA rules of similar purpose, resulting in less burdensome and more efficient regulatory compliance. As previously noted, the text of Rule 9261 is identical to the counterpart FINRA rule and Rule 9830 is substantially the same as FINRA’s rule, except for conforming and technical amendments. As such, the proposed rule change will foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities and will remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system.

The Exchange believes that the proposed temporary rule change will permit the Exchange to effectively conduct hearings during the COVID-19 pandemic in situations where in-person hearings present likely public health risks. The ability to conduct hearings by video conference will thereby permit the adjudicatory functions of the Exchange’s disciplinary rules to continue unabated, thereby avoiding protracted delays. The Exchange believes that this is especially important in matters where temporary and permanent cease and desist orders are sought because the proposed rule change would enable those hearings to proceed without delay, thereby enabling the Exchange to take immediate action to stop significant, ongoing customer harm, to the benefit of the investing public.

Conducting hearings via video conference will give the parties and adjudicators simultaneous visual and oral communication without the risks inherent in physical proximity during a pandemic. Temporarily permitting hearings for disciplinary matters to proceed by video conference maintains fair process by providing respondents a timely opportunity to address and potentially resolve any allegations of misconduct.

As noted, FINRA will use a high quality, secure video conferencing technology with features that will allow the parties to reasonably approximate those tasks that are typically performed at an in-person hearing, such as sharing documents, marking documents, and utilizing breakout rooms. FINRA will also provide training for participants on how to use the video conferencing platform and detailed guidance on the procedures that will govern such hearings. Moreover, the Chief or Deputy Chief Hearing Officer may take into consideration, among other things, a hearing participant’s access to connectivity and technology in scheduling a video conference hearing and can also, at their discretion, allow a party or witness to participate by telephone, if necessary, to address such access issues.

For the same reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to provide a fair procedure for the disciplining of members and persons associated with members, consistent with Sections 6(b)(7) and 6(d) of the Act. The Exchange believes that the temporary proposed rule change strikes an appropriate balance between providing fair process and enabling the Exchange to fulfill its statutory obligations to protect investors and maintain fair and orderly markets while accounting for the significant health and safety risks of in-person hearings stemming from the outbreak of COVID-19.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change is not intended to address competitive issues but is rather intended solely to provide temporary relief given the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. In its filing, FINRA provides an abbreviated economic impact assessment maintaining that the changes are necessary to temporarily rebalance the attendant benefits and costs of the obligations under FINRA Rules 1015, 9261, 9524 and 9830 in response to the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic that is equally applicable to the changes the Exchange proposes.

The Exchange accordingly incorporates FINRA’s abbreviated economic impact assessment by reference.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act and Rule

---

13 See FINRA Filing, 85 FR at 55713.
14 The Exchange notes, as did FINRA, that SEC’s Rules of Practice pertaining to temporary cease-and-desist orders provide that parties and witnesses may participate by telephone or, in the Commission’s discretion, through the use of alternative technologies that allow remote access, such as a video link. See SEC Rule of Practice 511(d)(i); Comment (d); see FINRA Filing, 85 FR at 55714, n. 21.
15 See FINRA Filing, 85 FR at 55712.
16 Id.
18 See text accompanying notes 9–10, supra.
19 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(7) and 78f(d).
20 FINRA Filing, 85 FR at 55714.
19b–4(f)(6) thereunder. Because the proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative prior to 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSE–2020–76 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSE–2020–76. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, on business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., located at 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSE–2020–76 and should be submitted on or before October 23, 2020.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.24

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,
Assistant Secretary.


AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”).

ACTION: Notice.

Notice of an application for an order pursuant to: (a) Section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (“Act”) granting an exemption from sections 18(f) and 21(b) of the Act; (b) section 12(d)(1)(f) of the Act granting an exemption from section 12(d)(1) of the Act; (c) sections 6(c) and 17(b) of the Act granting an exemption from sections 17(a)(1), 17a(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Act; and (d) section 17(d) of the Act and rule 17d–1 under the Act to permit certain joint arrangements and transactions. Applicants request an order that would permit certain registered management investment companies to participate in a joint lending and borrowing facility.

APPLICANTS: Frost Family of Funds (the “Trust”) a Delaware statutory trust registered under the Act as an open-end management investment company on behalf of all existing series;1 and Frost Investment Advisors, LLC (“Frost”), a Delaware limited liability company that is registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940.

FILING DATES: The application was filed on July 8, 2020.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the requested relief will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by emailing the Commission’s Secretary at Secretaries-Office@sec.gov and serving applicants with a copy of the request by email. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on October 26, 2020, and should be accompanied by proof of service on the applicants, in the form of an affidavit, or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Pursuant to rule 0–5 under the Act, hearing requests should state the nature of the writer’s interest, any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by emailing the Commission’s Secretary at Secretaries-Office@sec.gov.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090; Applicants: Michael Beattie, SEI Investments, One Freedom Valley Drive, Oaks, PA 19456, MBeattie@seic.com.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephan N. Packs, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551–6853, or David J. Marcinkus, Branch Chief, at (202) 551–6825 (Division of Investment Management, Chief Counsel’s Office).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission’s website by searching for the file number, or an applicant using the Company name box, at http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm or by calling (202) 551–8090.

Summary of the Application:

1. Applicants request an order that would permit Applicants to participate

1 Certain of the Funds (defined below) may be money market funds that comply with Rule 2a–7 under the Act (each a “Money Market Fund”). None of the existing Funds is a Money Market Fund, but if Money Market Funds rely on this relief in the future, they typically will not participate as borrowers because such Funds rarely need to borrow cash to meet redemptions.


