standard also covers any products for which OSHA does not require such testing and certification, a NRTL's scope of recognition does not include these products.

The American National Standards Institute (ANSI) may approve the test standards listed above as American National Standards. However, for convenience, the designation of the standards-developing organization for the standard as opposed to the ANSI designation may be used. Under the NRTL Program's policy (see OSHA Instruction CPL 1–0.3, Appendix C, paragraph XIV), any NRTL recognized for a particular test standard may use either the proprietary version of the test standard or the ANSI version of that standard. Contact ANSI to determine whether a test standard is currently ANSI-approved.

#### A. Conditions

In addition to those conditions already required by 29 CFR 1910.7, QAI must abide by the following conditions of the recognition:

1. QAI must inform OSHA as soon as possible, in writing, of any change of ownership, facilities, or key personnel, and of any major change in the operations as a NRTL, and provide details of the change(s);

2. QAI must meet all the terms of the recognition and comply with all OSHA policies pertaining to this recognition; and

3. QAI must continue to meet the requirements for recognition, including all previously published conditions on QAI's scope of recognition, in all areas for which it has recognition.

Pursuant to the authority in 29 CFR 1910.7, OSHA hereby expands the scope of recognition of QAI, subject to the conditions specified above.

## III. Authority and Signature

Loren Sweatt, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, authorized the preparation of this notice. Accordingly, the agency is issuing this notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 657(g)(2), Secretary of Labor's Order No. 1–2012 (77 FR 3912, Jan. 25, 2012), and 29 CFR 1910.7.

Signed at Washington, DC, on September 17, 2020.

## Loren Sweatt,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health. [FR Doc. 2020–20953 Filed 9–22–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

## NATIONAL SECURITY COMMISSION ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

[Docket No.: 09-2020-01]

### Solicitation of Written Comments by the National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence

**AGENCY:** National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence.

ACTION: Request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence ("the Commission) is publishing this notice to request comments from smalland medium-sized AI firms to help the Commission understand different views on working with the federal government. Responses will assist in identifying critical areas for improvement and recommended changes in the government's approach to technology procurement and support for commercial innovation.

**DATES:** *Comment Date:* The Commission requests comments from qualified parties on or before October 23, 2020. **ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. 09–2020–01, by one of the following methods:

• *Email: inquiry@nscai.gov.* Please include the docket number in the subject line of the message.

• *Mail:* National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence, Attn: RFI COMMENT—Docket No. 09– 2020–01, 2530 Crystal Drive, Box 45, Arlington, VA 22202.

• *Fax:* +1–571–778–5049. Please include the docket number on the fax cover page.

Due to the ongoing COVID-19 coronavirus pandemic, email is the Commission's primary method of receiving public comment. All submissions received must include the docket number. If the Commission cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, the Commission may not be able to consider your comment. Late comments will be considered as time permits. Please note, any comments received by the Commission may be treated as public documents, be published on the Commission's website, or be included with its reports and/or recommendations. Based on the inputs from responders, the Commission may select particular responders for follow up conversations with the Commission's special project on public private partnerships. Submitters should be aware that the Commission is subject to the Freedom of Information Act and will transfer official records, including

comments received, to the National Archives and Records Administration upon termination of the Commission.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general inquiries, submission process questions, or any additional information about this request for comments, please contact Tara Rigler by email at *inquiry@ nscai.gov.* 

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

In the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019, Sec. 1051, Public Law 115-232, 132 Stat. 1636, 1962-65 (2018), Congress directed the Commission to consider public-private partnerships relative to the competitiveness of the United States in AI, machine learning, and other associated technologies. In accordance with this direction, the Commission established a special project on public-private partnerships. The Commission has engaged stakeholders from across industry, academia, government, and civil society with the following objectives: (1) Assess the relationship between the National Security Innovation Base (NSIB) and the United States Government; and (2) Identify options for improving cooperation between the NSIB and the United States Government to increase the well-being of our citizens, strengthen the nation's entrepreneurial ecosystems, and protect the nation's security.

This research has informed the Commission's approach from the outset and is reflected in one of the seven consensus principles outlined in the Interim Report, which states: "Private sector leaders and government officials must build a shared sense of responsibility for the welfare and security of the American people." In addition, these engagements have also influenced recommendations in the Commission's First and Second Quarter memorandums. The Commission's Interim Report, as well as the First and Second Quarter recommendations, can be found on the Commission's website at https://www.nscai.gov/reports. Moving towards its final report, due in March 2021, the Commission now seeks input from small- and medium-sized AI firms on methods and means by which the Government should engage with the private sector and bolster commercial AI innovation.

# Instructions

Respondents may choose to comment on one or all of the topic areas listed below. Please note that only comments received from firms that meet the small business size standard for NAICS codes 541715 and 611420 will be considered under this request for comments. Firms that do not fit the NAICS code or size standard but wish to comment may do so via the Commission's general request for public comment, 85 FR 32055, https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2020-11453, which solicits feedback on the various other efforts associated with our mandate.

## **Topic Areas for Comment and** Recommendations

The following list of topics represents various areas about which the Commission seeks comments. It is not intended to limit topics that may be addressed by respondents, but rather focus attention on key areas the Commission has identified as relevant to catalyzing AI innovation, expanding the national security innovation base, and making it easier for firms to do business with the federal government. While the Commission welcomes comments on obstacles and barriers in the current system, it will prioritize inputs relative to these topics that make specific recommendations in any or all of the following areas: Statute, regulation, policy, budget, organization, and culture.

#### **Specific Questions To Address**

• What are the challenges or obstacles you face in seeking to do business with the Federal Government, to include scaling successful solutions? What changes could be made to reduce or remove those challenges or obstacles?

• How do you weigh the tradeoffs between accepting financing from U.S. firms versus foreign firms? What role could the U.S. Government play in connecting U.S. firms with trusted investors in the United States and allied countries?

• When is the Federal Government a compelling customer? When is it not? What steps could the Federal Government take to become a more compelling customer?

• How could the government better communicate (1) national security challenges to industry and (2) opportunities for industry to demonstrate and iterate potential solutions? How could the government structure engagements with industry to foster innovative and unexpected solutions?

• If your firm were to initiate or expand its national security or national interest work, what large capital investments over the next 24 months would your firm consider making in the United States? How much financial support and in what form (e.g., non-

dilutive capital, loan guarantees, equity stakes, or other financial instruments) would be required from the U.S. government to undertake those investments?

• What would you hope to gain from temporary talent exchanges between the Federal Government and industry? What are the challenges or obstacles in conducting such exchanges and how would you recommend they be overcome?

• How can industry and the Federal Government better collaborate through all stages of product development to

safeguard against bias in AI systems? • How can the Federal Government

incentivize responsible AI development through acquisition?1

Dated: September 17, 2020.

Michael Gable.

Chief of Staff.

[FR Doc. 2020-20922 Filed 9-22-20; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3610-Y8-P

#### NATIONAL SECURITY COMMISSION **ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE**

[Docket No.: 09-2020-02]

### National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence; Notice of Federal **Advisory Committee Meeting**

**AGENCY:** National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence. **ACTION:** Notice of Federal Advisory Committee virtual public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence (the "Commission") is publishing this notice to announce that the following Federal Advisory Committee virtual public meeting will take place.

DATES: Thursday, October 8, 2020, 1:00 p.m. to 3:30 p.m. Eastern Standard Time (EST).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Angela Ponmakha, 703–614–6379 (Voice), nscai-dfo@nscai.gov. Mailing address: Designated Federal Officer, National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence, 2530 Crystal Drive, Box 45, Arlington, VA 22202. website: https://www.nscai.gov. The most up-to-date information about the

meeting and the Commission can be found on the website.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) (5 U.S.C., Appendix), the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b), and 41 CFR 102-3.140 and 102-3.150.

Purpose of the Meeting: The John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (FY19 NDAA), Sec. 1051, Public Law 115-232, 132 Stat. 1636, 1962-65 (2018), created the Commission to "consider the methods and means necessary to advance the development of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and associated technologies by the United States to comprehensively address the national security and defense needs of the United States." The Commission will consider potential recommendations to Congress and the Executive Branch.

Agenda: The meeting will begin on October 8, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. EST with opening remarks by the Designated Federal Officer, Ms. Angela Ponmakha; the Executive Director, Mr. Yll Bajraktari; the Commission Chair, Dr. Eric Schmidt; and the Commission Vice Chair, Robert Work. Chairs of the working groups studying each of the Commission's lines of effort (LOEs) will present the recommendations from their respective LOEs for consideration by the entire Commission. The Commission's LOEs: LOE 1-Invest in AI Research & Development and Software; LOE 2-Apply AI to National Security Missions; LOE 3—Train and Recruit AI Talent; LOE 4—Protect and Build Upon U.S. Technological Advantages & Hardware; LOE 5—Marshal Global AI Cooperation; LOE 6-Ethics and Responsible AI; and LOE 7—Threat Analysis and Response Actions.

The Commission will deliberate on the presented recommendations and vote on their inclusion in the Commission's interim report to Congress and the Administration. The meeting will adjourn at 3:30 p.m. EST.

*Meeting Accessibility:* Pursuant to Federal statutes and regulations (the FACA, the Sunshine Act, and 41 CFR 102-3.140 through 102-3.165) and the availability of space, the virtual meeting is open to the public from 1:00 p.m. to 3:30 p.m. EST. Members of the public wishing to receive a link to the live stream webcast for viewing and audio access to the virtual meeting should register on the Commission's website, https://www.nscai.gov. Registration will be available from September 25, 2020 through October 5, 2020. Members of the media should RSVP to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Second Quarter Recommendations Memo, the Commission proposed "Key Considerations for Responsible Development & Fielding of AI" and recommended standards and practices that would apply both to systems developed by departments and agencies, as well as those that are acquired (including Commercial off-the-shelf systems or those developed by contractors). See Key Considerations for Responsible Development & Fielding of Artificial Intelligence, National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence, pg. 6 (July 22, 2020), https://www.nscai.gov/reports.