

the proposed Final Judgment. For these reasons, the United States believes that nothing in the comment warrants a change to the proposed Final Judgment or supports a conclusion that the proposed Final Judgment is not in the public interest

**V. Conclusion**

After reviewing the public comment, the United States continues to believe that the proposed Final Judgment, as drafted, provides an effective and appropriate remedy for the antitrust violations alleged in the Complaint, and is therefore in the public interest. The United States will move this Court to enter the proposed Final Judgment after the comment and this response are published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: September 14, 2020  
Respectfully submitted,

Karl D. Knutsen  
Karl D. Knutsen,  
Justin Heipp,  
Nathaniel J. Harris,  
Christopher A. Wetzel,  
U.S. Department of Justice,  
Antitrust Division,  
Healthcare and Consumer Products Section,  
450 Fifth Street NW, Suite 4100,  
Washington, DC 20530,  
202-514-0976,  
karl.knutsen@usdoj.gov

Martin T. Petroski  
May 20, 2020  
Eric Welsh Acting Chief

A comment on the Dean Food—DFA Merger—It Should not *happen*

DFA is coming into control of the milk market—what has all the expansion did for the farmer? The farmer has got no major return but more cost. Dean food should be restricted and DFA broken up like Ma Bell became Baby Bells. The system needs to compete not be control(l)ed. DFA is the “milk mob”—there is legal actions in courts at present. No one should have more than 49% of a market—at places they are the only market. Interesting in the East less milk but yet one has dumping—what did they buy Deans for?

Food for Thought  
Martin Petroski

[FR Doc. 2020-20642 Filed 9-17-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Antitrust Division**

**Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Dynamic Spectrum Alliance, Inc.**

Notice is hereby given that, on September 1, 2020, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993,

15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Dynamic Spectrum Alliance, Inc. (“DSA”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: Broadcom Corporation, San Jose, CA; Federated Wireless, Inc., Arlington, VA; Gigabit Libraries Network, Sausalito, CA; Aruba, a Hewlett Packard Enterprise company, Santa Clara, CA; Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA; and New America, Palo Alto, CA.

The general area of DSA’s planned activity is to (a) promote the adoption of laws and regulations that increase dynamic access to unused radio spectrum (“Spectrum”); (b) support efforts to gain a better understanding of Spectrum use around the world; (c) be technology-neutral and support regulations allowing for the coexistence of a variety of technology platforms; (d) support making unused Spectrum available for dynamic Spectrum access in licensed, license-exempt (unlicensed), and lightly licensed Spectrum bands; (e) support dynamic Spectrum access across a variety of complementary Spectrum bands; (f) support the use of geolocation databases and other interference protection mechanisms; (g) support globally harmonized dynamic access to unused Spectrum; (h) support long-term efforts to develop regulations making dynamic Spectrum access the default mode of access to radio spectrum, with technical rules that address legitimate interference concerns; and (i) undertake such other activities as may from time to time be appropriate to further the purposes and achieve the goals set forth above.

**Suzanne Morris,**  
*Chief, Premerger and Division Statistics,*  
*Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2020-20623 Filed 9-17-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Drug Enforcement Administration**

[Docket No. DEA-719]

**Bulk Manufacturer of Controlled Substances Application: Rhodes Technologies**

**AGENCY:** Drug Enforcement Administration, Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of application.

**SUMMARY:** Rhodes Technologies has applied to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of basic class(es) of controlled substance(s). Refer to Supplemental Information listed below for further drug information.

**DATES:** Registered bulk manufacturers of the affected basic class(es), and applicants therefore, may file written comments on or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration on or before November 17, 2020. Such persons may also file a written request for a hearing on the application on or before November 17, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/DPW, 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33(a), this is notice that on February 13, 2020, Rhodes Technologies, 498 Washington Street, Coventry, Rhode Island 02816, applied to be registered as an bulk manufacturer of the following basic class(es) of controlled substance(s):

Controlled substance	Drug code	Schedule
Marihuana .....	7360	I
Tetrahydrocannabinols .....	7370	I
Dihydromorphine	9145	I
Methylphenidate	1724	II
Codeine .....	9050	II
Dihydrocodeine	9120	II
Oxycodone .....	9143	II
Hydromorphone	9150	II
Hydrocodone ....	9193	II
Levorphanol .....	9220	II
Morphine .....	9300	II
Oripavine .....	9330	II
Thebaine .....	9333	II
Oxymorphone ...	9652	II
Noroxymorphone .....	9668	II
Tapentadol .....	9780	II
Fentanyl .....	9801	II

The company plans to manufacture the above-listed controlled substance(s) in bulk for conversion and sale to finished dosage form manufacturers. In