domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule’s Competitive Products List.

DATES: Date of required notice: September 17, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sean Robinson, 202–268–8405.


Sean Robinson, Attorney, Corporate and Postal Business Law.

[FR Doc. 2020–20460 Filed 9–16–20; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Parcel Select and Parcel Return Service Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule’s Competitive Products List.

DATES: Date of required notice: September 17, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sean Robinson, 202–268–8405.


Sean Robinson, Attorney, Corporate and Postal Business Law.

[FR Doc. 2020–20461 Filed 9–16–20; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Summary: In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB’s estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Title and purpose of information collection: Representative Payee Parental Custody Monitoring; OMB 3220–0176.

Under Section 12(a) of the Railroad Retirement Act (RRA) (45 U.S.C. 231k), the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) is authorized to select, make payments to, and to conduct transactions with, a beneficiary’s relative or some other person willing to act on behalf of the beneficiary as a representative payee. The RRB is responsible for determining if direct payment to the beneficiary or payment to a representative payee would best serve the beneficiary’s interest. Inherent in the RRB’s authorization to select a representative payee is the responsibility to monitor the payee to assure that the beneficiary’s interests are protected. The RRB utilizes Form G–99D, Parental Custody Report, to obtain information needed to verify that a parent-for-child representative payee still has custody of the child. One response is required from each respondent.

The RRB proposes the following changes to Form G–99D:

• Minor change item 4 layout.
• Add new item 6 to solicit the total amount of railroad retirement benefits received for the child during the reporting period.
• Add new item 7 to solicit the dollar amount of railroad retirement benefits used for the child during the reporting period.
• Add new item 8 to solicit a description of how the railroad retirement benefits were used for the child during the reporting period.
• Add new item 9 to solicit how the surplus railroad retirement benefits, if any, were held for the child, for example, in cash, a checking account, a savings account, or other means and the title of any checking or savings accounts holding surplus benefits.
• Renumbered item 6 Certification to item 10.
• Update to the Paperwork Reduction Act and Privacy Act Notices to change the burden time from 5 to 15 minutes.
Abandon to amend the Fees Schedule. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange’s website (http://markets.cboe.com/us/options/regulation/rule_filings/ctwo/), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fee Schedule to amend certain standard transaction fees for SPY transactions. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to (1) amend the transaction fee for public customer SPY orders that remove liquidity, (2) amend the rebate for C2 market-maker SPY orders that add liquidity, (3) amend the rebate for non-customer, non-market-maker SPY orders that add liquidity and (4) adopt an enhanced rebate for C2 market-maker SPY orders that are NBBO Joiners or NBBO Setters. The proposed changes will be effective September 1, 2020.

The Exchange first notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. More specifically, the Exchange is only one of 16 options venues to which market participants may direct their order flow. Based on publicly available information, no single options exchange has more than 16% of the market share and currently the Exchange represents approximately 3% of the market share. Thus, in such a low-concentrated and highly competitive market, no single options exchange, including the Exchange, possesses significant pricing power in the execution of option order flow. The Exchange believes that the ever-shifting market share among the exchanges from month to month demonstrates that market participants can shift order flow or discontinue to use certain categories of products, in response to fee changes. Accordingly, competitive forces constrain the Exchange’s transaction fees, and market participants can readily trade on competing venues if they deem pricing levels at those other venues to be more favorable.

First, the Exchange proposes to amend the transaction fee for public customer SPY orders that remove liquidity. Currently, public customer orders in all equity, multiply-listed index, ETF and ETN options classes, including SPY, that remove liquidity are assessed a standard transaction fee of $0.43 per contract and yield fee code “PC”. The Exchange proposes to reduce the fee assessed for public customer SPY orders that remove liquidity to $0.39 per contract and adopt new fee code “SC” for such orders (and remove SPY orders from fee code “PC”).

The Exchange next proposes to amend the rebate for C2 market-maker SPY orders that add liquidity. Currently, C2 market-makers orders in all equity, multiply-listed index, ETF and ETN options classes, including SPY, that add liquidity are provided a rebate of $0.41 per contract and yield fee code “PM”. The Exchange proposes to reduce the rebate for C2 market-maker SPY orders that add liquidity to $0.26 per contract per contract and adopt new fee code “SM” for such orders (and remove SPY orders from fee code “PM”).

The Exchange also proposes to amend the rebate for non-market-maker, non-customer SPY orders that add liquidity. Currently, non-market-maker, non-

---