

- EPA.

The restoration planning activities are proceeding in accordance with the Final PDARP/PEIS. Restoration Types evaluated in the RPII/EA include: Wetlands, Coastal, and Nearshore Habitats; and Oysters. Information on the restoration types that were considered in the RPII/EA, as well as a general overview of the OPA NRDA criteria against which project ideas were evaluated, can be found in the Final PDARP/PEIS (<http://www.gulfspillrestoration.noaa.gov/restoration-planning/gulf-plan>) and in the Overview section of the PDARP/PEIS (<http://www.gulfspillrestoration.noaa.gov/restoration-planning/gulf-plan>).

The MS TIG posted a Notice of Solicitation calling for project ideas on June 11, 2018² through August 10, 2018 (June 11, 2018, Notice). Project ideas were requested for the following restoration types: Wetlands, Coastal, and Nearshore Habitats (WCNH); Nutrient Reduction; Oysters; Sea Turtles; and Marine Mammals. During the planning process the MS TIG decided to focus only on WCNH and Oyster Restoration Types in RPII. On October 10, 2018, the MS TIG published a Notice of Initiation of Restoration Planning in Mississippi.³

The notice of availability of the Draft RPII/EA was published in the **Federal Register** on April 22, 2020 (85 FR 22393–22395). In the Draft RPII EA, the MS TIG evaluated seven alternatives and two No Action Alternatives for the WCNH and Oysters Restoration Types, respectively. In the Draft RPII/EA, the MS TIG determined that the actions proposed for selection would be consistent with the programmatic restoration alternative selected in the Final PDARP/PEIS and would be funded by \$4,887,500 from the WCNH Restoration Type and \$10,500,000 from the Oysters Restoration Type allocations to the Mississippi Restoration Area. The MS TIG provided the public with 30 days to review and comment on the Draft RPII/EA and hosted a public webinar to provide an overview of the Draft RPII/EA and to provide opportunity for public comment. Public comment was also received electronically through the Trustee-wide public website, the *Regulations.gov* website, and by mail and email correspondence. The MS TIG considered the public comments

² <https://www.gulfspillrestoration.noaa.gov/2018/06/mississippi-trustee-implementation-group-welcomes-publics-project-ideas>.

³ <https://www.gulfspillrestoration.noaa.gov/2018/10/notice-initiation-restoration-planning-mississippi>.

received (See Chapter 8.0 of the RPII/EA), which informed the MS TIG's analysis of alternatives in the RPII/EA. The MS TIG also considered the OPA NRDA criteria against which project ideas are evaluated, which can be found in the PDARP/PEIS and in the Overview of the PDARP/PEIS (<http://www.gulfspillrestoration.noaa.gov/restoration-planning/gulf-plan>).

Overview of the RPII/EA

The RPII/EA is being released in accordance with the OPA NRDA regulations in 15 CFR part 990, NEPA (42 U.S.C. parts 4321–4347), and 40 CFR part 1500.

In the RPII/EA, the MS TIG selects four alternatives to be funded from Restoration Type funds as specified below. Specifically, the MS TIG selects the following projects for implementation:

- Wolf River Coastal Preserve Habitat Management—Dupont and Bell's Ferry Tracts (WCNH);
- Hancock County Coastal Preserve Habitat Management—Wachovia Tract (WCNH);
- Oyster Spawning Reefs in Mississippi (Oysters); and
- Mississippi Oyster Gardening Program (Oysters).

The total estimated cost of the four selected alternatives is approximately \$15 million.

The RPII/EA evaluates a reasonable range of alternatives and a No Action Alternative for each of the Restoration Types. As a result of its evaluation, the MS TIG determined that the restoration projects selected for funding are most appropriate for providing partial compensation to the public for injured natural resources and ecological services in the Mississippi Restoration Area. The selected alternatives are intended to continue the process of restoring natural resources and ecological services injured or lost as a result of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. Additional restoration planning for the Mississippi Restoration Area will continue.

In accordance with NEPA and as part of the RPII/EA, the Trustees issued a FONSI which is available in Appendix E of the RPII/EA.

Administrative Record

The DWH Trustees opened a publicly available Administrative Record for the NRDA for the Deepwater Horizon oil spill, including restoration planning activities, concurrently with publication of the 2011 Notice of Intent to Begin Restoration Scoping and Prepare a Gulf Spill Restoration Planning PEIS (pursuant to 15 CFR 990.45). The

Administrative Record includes the relevant administrative records since its date of inception. This Administrative Record is actively maintained and available for public review. The documents included in the Administrative Record can be viewed electronically at the following location: <http://www.doi.gov/deepwaterhorizon/adminrecord>.

Authority

The authority for this action is the OPA (33 U.S.C. 2701 *et seq.*), its implementing NRDA regulations in 15 CFR part 990, and the NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations in 40 CFR parts 1500–1508.

Kevin Norton,

Acting Chief, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

[FR Doc. 2020–20354 Filed 9–14–20; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 3410–16–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Rated Orders Under the Defense Priors and Allocations System (DPAS)

AGENCY: Bureau of Industry and Security, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection, request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed, and continuing information collections, which helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. The purpose of this notice is to allow for 60 days of public comment preceding submission of the collection to OMB.

DATES: To ensure consideration, comments regarding this proposed information collection must be received on or before November 16, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments by email to Mark Grace, IC Liaison, Bureau of Industry and Security, at mark.grace@bis.doc.gov or to PRAComments@doc.gov. Please reference OMB Control Number 0694–0092 in the subject line of your comments. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or

otherwise sensitive or protected information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or specific questions related to collection activities should be directed to Mark Crace, IC Liaison, Bureau of Industry and Security by email at mark.crace@bis.doc.gov or by phone at 202-482-8093.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

This information collection is necessary to support the execution of the President's priorities and allocations authority under the Defense Production Act of 1950 (DPA), as amended (50 U.S.C. 4501, *et seq.*), and the priorities authorities under the Selective Service Act of 1948 (50 U.S.C. 3801, *et seq.*), as implemented by the Defense Priorities and Allocations System (DPAS) regulation (15 CFR part 700). The purpose of this authority is to ensure preferential acceptance and performance of contracts and orders supporting national defense and emergency preparedness program requirements.

II. Method of Collection

Submitted electronically or in paper form.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0694-0092.

Form Number(s): None.

Type of Review: Regular submission, extension of a current information collection.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 14,434,650.

Estimated Time per Response: 2 minute to 16 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 45,290.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$1,585,150.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

Legal Authority: Defense Production Act of 1950 (DPA).

IV. Request for Comments

We are soliciting public comments to permit the Department/Bureau to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the time and cost burden for this proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) Evaluate ways to enhance the quality,

utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) Minimize the reporting burden on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this ICR. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you may ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Sheleen Dumas,

Department PRA Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Commerce Department.

[FR Doc. 2020-20206 Filed 9-15-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-874]

Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products From Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2017-2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that mandatory respondents, Nippon Steel Corporation (NSC) and Tokyo Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Tokyo Steel), producers and exporters of hot-rolled steel flat products (hot-rolled steel) from Japan, did not sell subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value during the period of review (POR) October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018. In addition, Commerce determines that Honda Trading Canada, Inc. (Honda) had no shipments during the POR.

DATES: Applicable September 16, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jun Jack Zhao or Myrna Lobo, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone:

(202) 482-1396 or (202) 482-2371, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 16, 2019, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this review in the **Federal Register**.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Between January 15 and January 24, 2020, Commerce received timely filed briefs and rebuttal briefs from the petitioners,² NSC, and Tokyo Steel.³ On January 15, 2020, Commerce received hearing requests from the petitioners and NSC.⁴ In lieu of a hearing, Commerce held a phone meeting with the petitioners on July 17, 2020; NSC did not request a phone meeting in lieu of a hearing.⁵

On March 20, 2020, we extended the deadline for the final results.⁶ On April 24, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by 50 days.⁷ On July 21, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by

¹ See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2017-2018*, 84 FR 68402 (December 16, 2019) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² The petitioners are AK Steel Corporation; ArcelorMittal USA LLC; Nucor Corporation; SSAB Enterprises, LLC; Steel Dynamics, Inc.; and United States Steel Corporation.

³ See Petitioners' Letter, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Case Brief," dated January 15, 2020; see also NSC's Letter, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: NSC's Case Brief," dated January 15, 2020; Petitioners' Letter, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Petitioner's Rebuttal Brief," dated January 24, 2020; NSC's Letter, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: NSC's Rebuttal Brief," dated January 24, 2020; and Tokyo Steel's Letter, "Rebuttal Brief of Tokyo Steel: Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan," dated January 24, 2020.

⁴ See Petitioners' Letter, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Hearing Request," dated January 15, 2020; see also NSC's Letter, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: NSC's Hearing Request," dated January 15, 2020.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Products from Japan: Phone Meeting with the Petitioners," dated July 17, 2020. The petitioners withdrew their hearing request on July 16, 2020; see Petitioners' Letter, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Withdrawal of Hearing Request," dated July 16, 2020. NSC did not request a phone meeting with Commerce, in lieu of a hearing; see Memorandum, "Administrative Review of Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Contact with NSC Counsel," dated August 27, 2020.

⁶ See Memoranda, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Products from Japan: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018," dated March 20, 2020.

⁷ See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews in Response to Operational Adjustments Due to COVID-19," dated April 24, 2020.