I. Why is EPA issuing this proposed rule?

This document proposes to correct an error in EPA’s regulations for test procedures used in the Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) program finalized in a 2012 rulemaking. The correction clarifies the method for how flex-fuel vehicles are accounted for in manufacturer fuel economy calculations in model years 2020 and later. This correction allows the program to be implemented as originally intended in the 2012 rule.

We have published a direct final rule in the “Rules and Regulations” section of this Federal Register because we view this as a noncontroversial action and anticipate no adverse comment. We have explained our reasons for this action in the preamble to the direct final rule; that document also includes draft regulations detailing all the amendments under consideration.

If we receive no adverse comment, we will not take further action on this proposed rule. If we receive adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register informing the public that the direct final rule, or the relevant provisions of the rule, will not take effect. We would address all public comments in any subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule.

We do not intend to institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. For further information, please see the information provided in the ADDRESSES section of this document.

II. Does this action apply to me?

This action affects companies that manufacture or sell passenger automobiles (passenger cars) and non-passenger automobiles (light trucks) as defined under NHTSA’s CAFE regulations. Regulated categories and entities include:

- Passenger car
- Light truck

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.


Mary Walker, Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 2020–17231 Filed 8–28–20; 8:45 am]
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ENFORCEMENT PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 600
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Technical Correction to the Flex-Fuel Vehicle Provisions in CAFE Regulations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to correct an error in EPA’s regulations for test procedures used in the Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) program finalized in a 2012 rulemaking. EPA established the procedures under the general provisions of Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) which authorize EPA to establish test and calculation procedures for CAFE. The correction clarifies the method for how flex-fuel vehicles are accounted for in manufacturer fuel economy calculations in model years 2020 and later. This correction allows the program to be implemented as originally intended in the 2012 rule. This proposed action is not expected to result in any significant changes in regulatory burdens or costs. In the “Rules and Regulations” section of this Federal Register, we are taking direct final action without a prior proposed rule. If we receive no adverse comment, we will not take further action on this proposed rule.

DATES: Comments: Written comments must be received by October 15, 2020. If EPA receives a request for a public hearing by September 8, 2020, we will publish information related to the hearing and new deadline for public comment in a subsequent Federal Register document.

Public hearing: EPA will not hold a public hearing on this matter unless a request is received by the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this preamble by September 8, 2020. If EPA receives such a request, we will hold a public hearing. Additional information about the hearing would be published in a subsequent Federal Register document.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2020–0314, by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: https://www.regulations.gov/ (our preferred method). Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

• Email: a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov. Include Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2020–0314 in the subject line of the message.

• Fax: (202) 566–9744 Include Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2020–0314 on the cover of the fax.


• Hand Delivery/Courier: EPA Docket Center, WJC West Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20004. The Docket Center’s hours of operations are 8:30 a.m.–4:30 p.m., Monday–Friday (except Federal Holidays).

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket ID No. for this rulemaking. Comments received may be posted without change to https://www.regulations.gov/, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on sending comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the “Public Participation” heading of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document. Out of an abundance of caution for members of the public and our staff, the EPA Docket Center and Reading Room are closed to the public, with limited exceptions, to reduce the risk of transmitting COVID–19. Our Docket Center staff will continue to provide remote customer service via email, phone, and webform. We encourage the public to submit comments via https://www.regulations.gov/ or email, as there may be a delay in processing mail and faxes. Hand deliveries and couriers may be received by scheduled appointment only. For further information on EPA Docket Center services and the current status, please visit us online at https://www.epa.gov/dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Lieske, Office of Transportation and Air Quality (OAR), Assessment and Standards Division (ASD), Environmental Protection Agency, 2000 Travverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105; telephone number: (734) 214–4584; email address: lieske.christopher@epa.gov fax number: (734) 214–4816.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Why is EPA issuing this proposed rule?

This document proposes to correct an error in EPA’s regulations for test procedures used in the Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) program finalized in a 2012 rulemaking. The correction clarifies the method for how flex-fuel vehicles are accounted for in manufacturer fuel economy calculations in model years 2020 and later. This correction allows the program to be implemented as originally intended in the 2012 rule.

We have published a direct final rule in the “Rules and Regulations” section of this Federal Register because we view this as a noncontroversial action and anticipate no adverse comment. We have explained our reasons for this action in the preamble to the direct final rule; that document also includes draft regulations detailing all the amendments under consideration.

If we receive no adverse comment, we will not take further action on this proposed rule. If we receive adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register informing the public that the direct final rule, or the relevant provisions of the rule, will not take effect. We would address all public comments in any subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule.

We do not intend to institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. For further information, please see the information provided in the ADDRESSES section of this document.

II. Does this action apply to me?

This action affects companies that manufacture or sell passenger automobiles (passenger cars) and non-passenger automobiles (light trucks) as defined under NHTSA’s CAFE regulations. Regulated categories and entities include:

1 “Passenger car” and “light truck” are defined in 49 CFR part 523.
This list is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide regarding entities likely to be regulated by this action. To determine whether particular activities may be regulated by this action, you should carefully examine the regulations. You may direct questions regarding the applicability of this action to the person listed in FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

III. Public Participation

EPA will keep the record open until October 15, 2020. All information will be available for inspection at the EPA Air Docket No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2020–0314. Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2020–0314, at https://www.regulations.gov (our preferred method), or the other methods identified in the ADDRESSES section. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from the docket. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit to EPA’s docket at https://www.regulations.gov any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets.

The EPA is temporarily suspending its Docket Center and Reading Room for public visitors, with limited exceptions, to reduce the risk of transmitting COVID–19. Our Docket Center staff will continue to provide remote customer service via email, phone, and webform. We encourage the public to submit comments via https://www.regulations.gov/ as there may be a delay in processing mail and faxes. Hand deliveries or couriers will be received by scheduled appointment only. For further information and updates on EPA Docket Center services, please visit us online at https://www.epa.gov/dockets.

The EPA continues to carefully and continuously monitor information from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), local area health departments, and our Federal partners so that we can respond rapidly as conditions change regarding COVID–19.

IV. Proposed Provisions

This proposal would correct a technical error in EPA regulations pertaining to the treatment of model year (MY) 2020 and later E85 flex-fuel vehicles (FFVs) in the Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) program. These provisions were established in the 2012 final rule “2017 and Later Model Year Light-Duty Vehicle Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Corporate Average Fuel Economy Standards,” where EPA adopted new test procedures for weighting the measured fuel economy of MY 2020 and later FFVs when the vehicles are tested on both E85 and gasoline test fuels.2 EPA established the procedures under the general provisions of Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPAct) which authorize EPA to establish test and calculation procedures for CAFE.3 49 U.S.C. 32905 specifies how the fuel economy of dual fuel vehicles is to be calculated for the purposes of CAFE through the 2019 model year. The basic calculation includes a 50/50 harmonic average weighting of the fuel economy for the alternative fuel and the conventional fuel, irrespective of the actual usage of each fuel. In a related provision, 49 U.S.C. 32906, the amount by which a manufacturer’s CAFE value (for domestic passenger cars, import passenger cars, or light-duty trucks) can be improved by the statutory incentive for dual fuel vehicles is limited by EPAct to 1.2 mpg through 2014, and then gradually reduced until it is phased out entirely starting in model year 2020.4 Recognizing the expiration of the special calculation procedures in 49 U.S.C. 32905 for dual fuel vehicles, EPA established, in the 2012 rule, calculation procedures for model years 2020 and later FFVs under the general provisions of EPAct noted above authorizing EPA to establish CAFE testing and calculation procedures. EPA regulations at 40 CFR 600.510–12(c)(2)(iv) specify weighting the fuel economy measured when an FFV is tested on E85 and gasoline test fuel using the same weighting factor as is used in the greenhouse gas program for weighting CO2 emissions measured on the two fuels.5 As part of the 2012 rule, NHTSA modified its regulations at Part 536.10 to limit the applicability of the EPAct limits to MYs 2019 and earlier and to state that for MYs 2020 and beyond a manufacturer must calculate the fuel economy of dual-fuel vehicles in accordance with EPA’s regulations at 40 CFR 600.510–12(c)(2)(v).

The preamble for the 2012 rule summarized EPA’s approach for MY 2020 and later as follow: “EPA is finalizing its proposal, under its EPAct authority, to use the “utility factor” methodology for PHEV and CNG vehicles described above to determine how to apportion the fuel economy when operating on gasoline or diesel fuel and the fuel economy when operating on the alternative fuel. For FFVs under the CAFE program, EPA is using the same methodology it uses for the GHG program to apportion the fuel economy, namely based on actual usage of E85. As proposed, EPA is continuing to use Petroleum Equivalency Factors and the 0.15 divisor used in the MY 2012–2016 rule for the alternative fuels, 6
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   Alternative Fuel Vehicle Converters.
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4. Industry ........................................................................ 335312
   Industry ........................................................................ 336111
   Industry ........................................................................ 811111
   Industry ........................................................................ 811198
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---

32905
5. This weighting factor is commonly referred to as the “F-factor.” The F-factor is a value specified by EPA in accordance with 40 CFR 600.510–12(k) based on EPA’s assessment of the real-world use of E85 over the life of the FFVs.
EPA noted in the 2012 preamble “in a related provision, 49 U.S.C. 32906, the amount by which a manufacturer’s CAFE value (for domestic passenger cars, import passenger cars, or light-duty trucks) can be improved by the statutory incentive for dual fuel vehicles is limited by EPA to 1.2 mpg through 2014, and then gradually reduced until it is phased out entirely starting in model year 2020. With the expiration of the special calculation procedures in 49 U.S.C. 32906 for dual fueled vehicles, the CAFE calculation procedures for model years 2020 and later vehicles need to be set under the general provisions authorizing EPA to establish testing and calculation procedures.”

The 2012 rule preamble also notes “NHTSA interprets section 32906(a) as not limiting the impact of dual fueled vehicles on CAFE calculations after MY 2019.” The 2012 rule preamble states “we interpret Congress’ statement in section 32906(a)(7) that the maximum increase in fuel economy attributable to dual-fueled automobiles is ‘0 miles per gallon for model years after 2019’ within the context of the introductory language of section 32906(a) and the language of section 32906(b), which, again, refers clearly to the statutory credit, and not to dual-fueled automobiles generally. It would be an unreasonable result if the phaseout of the credit meant that manufacturers would be effectively penalized, in CAFE compliance, for dual-fueled automobiles...”

EPA believes all of these statements from the 2012 final rule make clear EPA’s intent not to apply the 49 U.S.C. 32906 credit limits to CAFE calculations for model year 2020 and later vehicles.

A discrepancy in EPA’s regulations exists in section 40 CFR 600.510–12(h), where prior to the 2012 rule, EPA codified the EPA limits in 49 U.S.C. 32906 into its own regulations. These regulations specify that the impact of certain dual-fuel vehicles on a manufacturer’s fleet CAFE calculations is limited to 0.0 for MY 2020 and later. EPA inadvertently did not revise the regulations at 40 CFR 600.510–12(h) to account for the 2012 rule’s treatment of MY 2020 and later FFVs. The existing 40 CFR 600.510–12(h) provisions may be read as applying the EPIC limits to MY 2020 and later FFVs, inconsistent with the clear intent of the 2012 rule. This proposal would correct this error by making narrow revisions to this section of the regulations to clarify that the limits do not apply to MY 2020 and later FFVs, where the emissions of those vehicles are calculated in accordance with 40 CFR 600.510–12(c)(2)(v), consistent with the intent of the 2012 final rule.

For additional discussion of the proposed rule changes, see the direct final rule EPA has published in the “Rules and Regulations” section of today’s Federal Register. This proposal incorporates by reference all the reasoning, explanation, and regulatory text from the direct final rule.

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Additional information about these statutes and Executive Orders can be found at http://www2.epa.gov/laws-regulations/laws-and-executive-orders.

A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review and Executive Order 13563: Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review

This action is not a significant regulatory action and was therefore not submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review.

B. Executive Order 13771: Reducing Regulations and Controlling Regulatory Costs

This action is not expected to be an Executive Order 13771 regulatory action because this action is not significant under Executive Order 12866.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

This action does not impose any new information collection burden under the PRA, since it merely clarifies and corrects existing regulatory language. OMB has previously approved the information collection activities contained in the existing regulations and has assigned OMB control number of 2060–0104.

D. Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA)

I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the RFA. In making this determination, the impact of concern is any significant adverse economic impact on small entities. An agency may certify that a rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities if the rule relieves regulatory burden, has no net burden or otherwise has a positive economic effect on the small entities subject to the rule. This rule merely clarifies and corrects existing regulatory language. We therefore anticipate no costs and therefore no regulatory burden associated with this rule.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA)

This action does not contain any unfunded mandate as described in UMRA, 2 U.S.C. 1531–1538, and does not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. The action imposes no enforceable duty on any state, local or tribal governments. Requirements for the private sector do not exceed $100 million in any one year.

F. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

This action does not have federalism implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

G. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

This action does not have tribal implications as specified in Executive Order 13175. This rule only corrects and clarifies regulatory provisions that apply to light-duty vehicle manufacturers. Tribal governments would be affected only to the extent they purchase and use regulated vehicles. Thus, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to this action.

H. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks

This action is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because it is not economically significant as defined in Executive Order 12866, and because the EPA does not believe the environmental health or safety risks addressed by this action present a disproportionate risk to children. This proposed rule merely corrects and clarifies previously established regulatory provisions.

I. Executive Order 13211: Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution or Use

This action is not subject to Executive Order 13211, because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

J. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA)

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (“NTTAA”), Public Law 104–113, 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note)
DIRECTS EPA TO USE VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS IN ITS REGULATORY ACTIVITIES UNLESS TO DO SO WOULD BE INCONSISTENT WITH APPLICABLE LAW OR OTHERWISE IMPractical. VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS ARE TECHNICAL STANDARDS (E.g., MATERIALS SPECIFICATIONS, TEST METHODS, SAMPLING PROCEDURES, AND BUSINESS PRACTICES) THAT ARE DEVELOPED OR ADOPTED BY VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS BODIES. NTTAA DIRECTS AGENCIES TO PROVIDE CONGRESS, THROUGH OMB, EXPLANATIONS WHEN THE AGENCY DECIDES NOT TO USE AVAILABLE AND APPLICABLE VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS.

This action modifies existing regulations to correct an error in the regulations and therefore involves technical standards previously established by EPA. The amendments to the regulations do not involve the application of new technical standards. EPA is continuing to use the technical standards previously established in its rules regarding the light-duty vehicle GHG standards for MYs 2017–2025. See 77 FR 62960 and 85 FR 25265.

K. EXECUTIVE ORDER 12898: FEDERAL ACTIONS TO ADDRESS ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE IN MINORITY POPULATIONS AND LOW-INCOME POPULATIONS

The EPA believes that this action does not have disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority populations, low-income populations, and/or indigenous peoples, as specified in Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). This regulatory action merely corrects previously established provisions that auto manufacturers use to demonstrate compliance for light-duty vehicles.

LIST OF SUBJECTS IN 40 CFR PART 600

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Electric power, Fuel economy, Labeling, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Andrew Wheeler, Administrator.
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DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

44 CFR PART 206

[DOCKET ID: FEMA–2019–0012]

RIN 1660–AB00

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE APPEALS AND ARBITRATIONS

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) is proposing regulations to implement the new right of arbitration authorized by the Disaster Recovery Reform Act of 2018 (DRRA), and to revise its regulations regarding first and second Public Assistance appeals.

DATES: Comments must be received no later than October 30, 2020.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shabnaum Amjad, Deputy Associate Chief Counsel, Regulatory Affairs, Office of Chief Counsel, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472. Phone: 202–212–2398 or email: Shabnaum.Amjad@dhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related materials. We will consider all comments and materials received during the comment period.

If you submit a comment, identify the agency name and the Docket ID for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. All submissions will be posted, without change, to the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal at www.regulations.gov, and will include any personal information you provide. Therefore, submitting this information makes it public. For more about privacy and the docket, visit https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=DHS-2018-0029-0001.

Viewing comments and documents: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov.

II. BACKGROUND

A. THE PUBLIC ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Under the Public Assistance (PA) Program, authorized by the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (Stafford Act), FEMA awards grants to eligible applicants to assist them in responding to and recovering from Presidentially-declared emergencies and major disasters. The recipient, as defined at 44 CFR 206.210(m), is the government to which a grant is awarded, and which is accountable for the use of the funds provided. Generally, the State for which the emergency or major disaster is declared is the recipient. The recipient can also be an Indian Tribal government. The applicant, as defined at 44 CFR 206.210(a), is a State agency, local government, or eligible private nonprofit organization submitting an application to the recipient for assistance under the recipient’s grant.

The PA Program provides Federal funds for debris removal, emergency protective measures, and permanent restoration of infrastructure. When the President issues an emergency or major disaster declaration authorizing PA, FEMA may accept applications from eligible applicants under the PA Program. To apply for a grant under the PA Program, the eligible applicant must submit a Request for PA to FEMA through the recipient. Upon award, the recipient notifies the applicant of the award, and the applicant becomes a subrecipient.

FEMA uses Project Worksheets (PWs) to administer the PA Program. A FEMA Project Specialist develops PWs for large projects, working with a recipient representative and the applicant. A PW is the primary form used to document the location, damage description and dimensions, scope of work, and cost estimate for a project. Although large projects are funded on documented actual costs, work typically is not complete at the time of project formulation, PW development, and approval. Therefore, FEMA obligates large project grants based on estimated costs and relies on financial reconciliation at project closeout for final costs.

The obligation process is the process by which FEMA makes funds available to the recipient. The funds reside in a