

9,930,915 (“the ‘915 patent”). The complaint also alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The notice of investigation names five respondents: Altria Client Services LLC, Altria Group, Inc., and Philip Morris USA, Inc., all of Richmond, Virginia; Philip Morris International Inc. of New York, New York; and Philip Morris Products S.A. of Neuchatel, Switzerland (collectively, “Respondents”). See *id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also a party to the investigation. See *id.*

On July 13, 2020, Complainants filed a motion seeking leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add allegations that Respondents infringe claim 3 of the ‘915 patent. On July 23, 2020, Respondents filed an opposition. That same day, OUII filed a response supporting Complainants’ motion. On July 27, 2020, Complainants filed a motion seeking leave to submit a reply brief in support of its motion. The subject ID grants the motion for leave and Complainants’ reply is deemed filed.

On July 29, 2020, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 9) granting Complainants’ motion for leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation. Order No. 9 (July 29, 2020). The subject ID finds that Complainants’ motion is supported by good cause pursuant to Commission Rule 210.14(b) (19 CFR 210.14(b)) and that there is no prejudice if the motion is granted. No party petitioned for review of the subject ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 18, 2020.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: August 18, 2020.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020–18477 Filed 8–21–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1215]

Certain Mobile Electronic Devices and Laptop Computers; Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on July 17, 2020, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Maxell, Ltd. of Japan. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile electronic devices and laptop computers by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,203,517 (“the ‘517 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 8,982,086 (“the ‘086 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,199,821 (“the ‘821 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 10,129,590 (“the ‘590 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 10,176,848 (“the ‘848 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pathenia Proctor, Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2020).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on August 18, 2020, Ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted

to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 9 and 10 of the ‘517 patent; claims 1–4 of the ‘086 patent; claims 1, 4, 6, and 7 of the ‘821 patent; claims 1–10 of the ‘590 patent; and claims 8, 10–13, and 15–20 of the ‘848 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “mobile devices, tablets, smartwatches, and laptop computers sold under the Apple brand name”;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:
Maxell, Ltd., 1 Koizumi, Oyamazaki, Oyamazaki-cho, Otokuni-gun, Kyoto, Japan

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served:
Apple Inc., One Apple Park Way, Cupertino, CA 95014

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: August 19, 2020.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020-18537 Filed 8-21-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1160]

Certain Replacement Automotive Service and Collision Parts and Components Thereof; Commission Determination To Issue a Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Orders Against Defaulting Respondents; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (the "Commission") has determined to issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders against the following respondents found in default in this investigation: AJ Auto Spare Parts FZE of Dubai, United Arab Emirates; John Auto Spare Parts Co. LLC of Dubai, United Arab Emirates; and Cuong Anh Co. Ltd. of Ninh Binh Province, Vietnam (collectively, "the Defaulting Respondents"). The Commission has also determined to impose a bond equal to one hundred (100) percent of the entered value of the infringing products imported during the period of Presidential review. The investigation is hereby terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Benjamin S. Richards, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5453. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this

investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 7, 2019, the Commission instituted the above-referenced investigation based on a complaint filed by Hyundai Motor America, Inc. of Fountain Valley, California and Hyundai Motor Company of Seoul, Republic of Korea (collectively, "Hyundai"). 84 FR 26703-04 (June 7, 2019). The complaint alleges a violation of 19 U.S.C. 1337, as amended ("Section 337"), in the importation, sale for importation, or sale in the United States after importation of certain gray market Hyundai parts in the categories of belts, body exterior and interior parts, brakes, wheel hubs, cooling system parts, drivetrain parts, electrical parts, emission parts, engine parts, exhaust parts, fuel/air pumps, oil/air/cabin air filters and parts, heat and A/C parts, ignition parts, steering parts, suspension parts, transmission parts, wheels and parts, wiper and washer parts, and accessories that infringe one or more of Hyundai's U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 1,104,727; 3,991,863; 1,569,538; and 4,065,195. *Id.* at 26704. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists in the United States. *Id.*

The Commission's notice of investigation named Direct Technologies International, Inc. ("DTI") of North Miami Beach, Florida; AJ Auto Spare Parts FZE ("AJ Auto") and John Auto Spare Parts Co. LLC ("John Auto"), both of Dubai, United Arab Emirates; and Cuong Anh Co. Ltd. ("Cuong Anh") of Ninh Binh Province, Vietnam as respondents. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as a party to this investigation.

On November 25, 2019, the Commission determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") granting Hyundai's unopposed motion to find respondents AJ Auto, John Auto, and Cuong Anh (collectively, the "Defaulting Respondents") in default. Order No. 17 (Nov. 5, 2019), *not reviewed*, Comm'n Notice (Nov. 25, 2019). Thereafter, on January 24, 2020, Hyundai filed a declaration seeking immediate entry of a limited exclusion order against the Defaulting

Respondents and any of their affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, and related business entities, successors or assigns.

On February 18, 2020, the Commission determined not to review an ID granting Hyundai's unopposed motion for summary determination that Hyundai satisfies the domestic industry requirement of section 337. Order No. 26 (Jan. 16, 2020), *not reviewed*, Comm'n Notice (Feb. 18, 2020).

On March 26, 2020, the Commission determined not to review an ID granting a joint motion by Hyundai and DTI to terminate the investigation as to DTI based on a consent order. Order No. 36 (Mar. 5, 2020), *not reviewed*, Comm'n Notice (Mar. 26, 2020). The Commission concurrently issued a consent order directed to DTI, found that Hyundai's January 24, 2020 declaration for immediate relief against the Defaulting Respondents was moot, and requested briefing on the issues of remedy, bonding, and the public interest with respect to the Defaulting Respondents. Comm'n Notice, 2-3 (Mar. 26, 2020).

On April 9, 2020, Hyundai filed the sole response to the Commission's request for briefing. No replies or other submissions were received.

Upon review of the record, and in the absence of any response from the Defaulting Respondents or from other interested persons or government agencies, and having concluded that it would not be contrary to the public interest to do so, the Commission has determined to issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders against the Defaulting Respondents. The Commission has further determined to set a bond in the amount of one hundred (100) percent of the entered value of the covered products.

The investigation is hereby terminated.

While temporary remote operating procedures are in place in response to COVID-19, the Office of the Secretary is not able to serve parties that have not retained counsel or otherwise provided a point of contact for electronic service. Accordingly, pursuant to Commission Rules 201.16(a) and 210.7(a)(1) (19 CFR 201.16(a), 210.7(a)(1)), the Commission orders that the Hyundai complete service for any party/parties without a method of electronic service noted on the attached Certificate of Service and shall file proof of service on the Electronic Document Information System (EDIS).

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 18, 2020.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in Section