

change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CboeEDGX-2020-038 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeEDGX-2020-038. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeEDGX-2020-038 and should be submitted on or before August 28, 2020.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁸

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020-17239 Filed 8-6-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[SEC File No. 270-155, OMB Control No. 3235-0123]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-2736

Extension:

Rule 17a-5

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) ("PRA"), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") a request for approval of extension of the previously approved collection of information provided for in Rule 17a-5 (17 CFR 240.17a-5), under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*).

Rule 17a-5 is the basic financial reporting rule for brokers and dealers.¹ The rule requires the filing of Form X-17A-5, the Financial and Operational Combined Uniform Single Report ("FOCUS Report"), which was the result of years of study and comments by representatives of the securities industry through advisory committees and through the normal rule proposal methods. The FOCUS Report was designed to eliminate the overlapping regulatory reports required by various self-regulatory organizations and the Commission and to reduce reporting burdens as much as possible. The rule also requires the filing of an annual audited report of financial statements.

The FOCUS Report consists of: (1) Part I, which is a monthly report that must be filed by brokers or dealers that clear transactions or carry customer securities; (2) one of three alternative quarterly reports: Part II, which must be filed by brokers or dealers that clear transactions or carry customer securities; Part IIA, which must be filed by brokers or dealers that do not clear transactions or carry customer securities; and Part IIB, which must be filed by specialized broker-dealers registered with the Commission as OTC derivatives dealers;² (3) supplemental schedules, which must be filed

¹ Rule 17a-5(c) requires a broker or dealer to furnish certain of its financial information to customers and is subject to a separate PRA filing (OMB Control Number 3235-0199).

² Part IIB of Form X-17A-5 must be filed by OTC derivatives dealers under Exchange Act Rule 17a-12 and is subject to a separate PRA filing (OMB control number 3235-0498).

annually; and (4) a facing page, which must be filed with the annual audited report of financial statements. Under the rule, a broker or dealer that computes certain of its capital charges in accordance with Appendix E to Exchange Act Rule 15c3-1 must file additional monthly, quarterly, and annual reports with the Commission.

The Commission estimates that the total hour burden under Rule 17a-5 is approximately 328,746 hours per year when annualized, and the total cost burden under Rule 17a-5 is approximately \$35,287,127 per year.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information under the PRA unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The public may view background documentation for this information collection at the following website: www.reginfo.gov. Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to (i) MBX.OMB.OIRA.SEC_desk_officer@omb.eop.gov and (ii) David Bottom, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Cynthia Roscoe, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, or by sending an email to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov.

Dated: August 3, 2020.

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020-17259 Filed 8-6-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-89459; File No. SR-NYSEAMER-2020-10]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE American LLC; Notice of Filing of Partial Amendment No. 1 to Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Schedule of Wireless Connectivity Fees and Charges to Add Wireless Connectivity Services

August 3, 2020.

I. Introduction

On February 12, 2020, NYSE American LLC ("NYSE American" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act

¹⁸ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

of 1934 (“Exchange Act” or “Act”)¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change (SR–NYSEAMER–2020–10) to amend the schedule of Wireless Connectivity Fees and Charges (“Wireless Fee Schedule”) to add wireless connectivity services that transport the market data of certain affiliates of the Exchange.

The Commission published the proposed rule change for public comment in the *Federal Register* on February 25, 2020.³ The Commission received several comments on the proposed rule change, and a response from the Exchange.⁴ On April 1, 2020, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁵ the Commission designated a longer period within which to either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change.⁶ On May 18, 2020, the Commission instituted proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.⁷ The Commission received additional comments in response to the Order Instituting Proceedings.⁸

On July 27, 2020, the Exchange filed Partial Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change in response to

certain comments on the proposed rule change. Partial Amendment No. 1 is described in Item II below, which has been substantially prepared by the Exchange.⁹ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on Partial Amendment No. 1 from interested persons.¹⁰

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Amendment

NYSE American LLC (“NYSE American” or the “Exchange”) hereby submits this Partial Amendment No. 1 to the above-referenced filing (“Filing”) in connection with the proposed rule change to add wireless connectivity that transport the market data of certain affiliates of the Exchange to the schedule of Wireless Connectivity Fees and Charges (the “Wireless Fee Schedule”). With this Partial Amendment No. 1, the Exchange proposes a new rule to place restrictions on the use of a pole on the grounds of the Mahwah, New Jersey data center that is used for wireless connectivity services that transport the market data of certain affiliates of the Exchange.

The Exchange proposes the following amendments to the Filing:

1. *The Exchange proposes to amend the first paragraph in Item 1(a) on page 3 of the Filing:*

The wireless connectivity services do not transport market data of the Exchange. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to amend the first paragraph of Item 1(a) on page 3 of the Filing to reflect that fact and to add text to describe the proposed rule change. Specifically, it proposes to add “(a)” before “wireless connectivity services”; delete “the Exchange and”; add “of the Exchange” after “certain affiliates”; and add new text at the end of the paragraph

to describe the proposed rule change, as follows (new text italicized, deletions in [brackets]):

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”)¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² NYSE American LLC (“NYSE American” or the “Exchange”) proposes to add (a) wireless connectivity services that transport the market data of [the Exchange and] certain affiliates of the Exchange to the schedule of Wireless Connectivity Fees and Charges (the “Wireless Fee Schedule”); and (b) a new rule to place restrictions on the use of a pole on the grounds of the Mahwah, New Jersey data center that is used for such wireless connectivity services.

2. *The Exchange proposes to amend the carryover paragraph on pages 3 and 4 of the Filing (second full paragraph on page 24 of the Exhibit 1):*

The Exchange proposes to add amend the carryover paragraph on pages 3 and 4 of the Filing (second full paragraph on page 24 of the Exhibit 1) to add “(a)” before “wireless connectivity services” and add new text to describe the proposed rule change, as follows (new text italicized, deletion in [brackets]):

The Exchange proposes to add (a) wireless connectivity services that transport market data of three Exchange affiliates, New York Stock Exchange LLC (“NYSE”), NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSE Arca”) and NYSE National, Inc. (“NYSE National”) to the Wireless Fee Schedule[.],^{3/4} and (b) a new rule to place restrictions on the use of a pole on the grounds of the Mahwah, New Jersey data center that is used for such wireless connectivity services. A market participant is not able to use the wireless connectivity services to connect to Exchange market data.

3. *The Exchange proposes to add a new section titled “Proposed New Rule” and accompanying footnotes after the first full paragraph on page 14 of the Filing (carryover paragraph on pages 39 and 40 of the Exhibit 1):*

The Exchange proposes a new rule to place restrictions on the use of a pole on the grounds of the Mahwah, New Jersey data center that is used for wireless connectivity services. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to add a new section titled “Proposed New Rule” and accompanying footnotes (subsequent footnotes would be renumbered in a conforming change) after the first full paragraph on page 14 of the Filing (carryover paragraph on pages 39 and 40 of the Exhibit 1), after the end of the section titled “The Proposed Service and Fees,” as follows (all text is new):

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88238 (February 19, 2020), 85 FR 10776 (February 25, 2020) (SR–NYSEAMER–2020–10) (“Wireless II Notice”). See also Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 88237 (February 19, 2020), 85 FR 10752 (February 25, 2020) (SR–NYSE–2020–11); 88239 (February 19, 2020), 85 FR 10786 (February 25, 2020) (SR–NYSEArca–2020–15); 88240 (February 19, 2020), 85 FR 10795 (February 25, 2020) (SR–NYSECHX–2020–05); and 88241 (February 19, 2020), 85 FR 10738 (February 25, 2020) (SR–NYSENAT–2020–08).

⁴ Comments received on the Wireless II Notice and the Exchange’s response are available on the Commission’s website at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nyseamer-2020-10/srnyseamer202010.htm>.

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88540 (April 1, 2020), 85 FR 19562 (April 7, 2020). The Commission designated May 25, 2020, as the date by which it should approve, disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule changes.

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88901 (May 18, 2020), 85 FR 31273 (May 22, 2020) in which the Commission instituted proceedings (“Order Instituting Proceedings” or “OIP”).

⁸ Comments received on the Wireless II Notice following the OIP also are available on the Commission’s website at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nyseamer-2020-10/srnyseamer202010.htm>.

⁹ The Commission has reformatted the Exchange’s presentation of the footnotes.

¹⁰ Partial Amendment No. 1 is also available on the Commission’s website at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nyseamer-2020-10/srnyseamer202010.htm>. The Commission also refers interested persons to Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88169 (February 11, 2020), 85 FR 8946 (February 18, 2020) (SR–NYSEAMER–2020–05) (wherein the Exchange filed a proposed rule change to establish the Wireless Fee Schedule listing available wireless bandwidth connections between the Mahwah, New Jersey data center and other data centers (“Wireless I”) and concurrently proposes to partially amend Wireless I). Partial Amendment No. 1 to Wireless I is available on the Commission’s website at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nyseamer-2020-05/srnyseamer202005.htm>.

Proposed New Rule

Since 2016, IDS has had the use of a pole on the grounds of the Mahwah data center.^{27/28} The data center pole is part of the network utilized for the Wireless Market Data Connections to the Carteret and Secaucus Third Party Data Centers.^{28/29} At the data center pole, the wireless connection to the Third Party Data Centers converts to a fiber connection, and the fiber connection travels from the data center pole into the Mahwah data center.^{29/30} The equipment on the data center pole belongs to IDS and Anova Technologies, LLC (“Anova”), the non-ICE entity that owns the wireless network used for the Wireless Market Data Connections to Secaucus and Carteret.^{30/31}

Other third parties that offer wireless services utilize commercial poles located outside the grounds of the Mahwah, New Jersey data center for their wireless networks. A third party’s wireless connections to the Third Party Data Center convert to fiber connections at the commercial pole, and the fiber connects the commercial pole to the Mahwah data center.

Several such third parties have objected to the use of the data center pole for the Wireless Market Data Connections. They argue that IDS has an advantage over its competitors because third parties are not allowed access to the data center pole,^{31/32} and the data center pole is closer to the Mahwah data center than any commercial pole.^{32/33} At least one third party has raised the additional concern that the Wireless Market Data Connections may benefit from “less obvious and more discreet types of latency advantages” due to infrastructure inside the Mahwah data center, noting that “some connections may have a longer fiber route than others within a data center or may have to go through various equipment or meet me rooms that an affiliate or preferred provider of an exchange do not.”^{33/34}

The Exchange is proposing a new Rule 3.14E (Data Center Pole Latency Restrictions—Connectivity to Production of Exchange Market Data) that would require that the length of the connection from the data center pole to the point inside the Mahwah data center where Exchange market data is produced be no less than the length of the connection from the closest commercial pole to the same point. By requiring that the compared connections both extend to where Exchange market data is produced, the proposed rule would take distances within the Mahwah data center into account.

The proposed rule would include the following definitions:

- “Commercial Pole” would mean a pole (a) on which one or more third parties locate wireless equipment used to offer wireless connectivity to other third parties, and (b) from which a fiber connection extends from third party equipment on the pole to the Data Center.
- “Data Center” would mean the Mahwah, New Jersey data center where the Exchange’s matching engine is located, or its successor.
- “Data Center Pole” would mean a pole that (a) holds wireless equipment, (b) is

located within the grounds of the Data Center, and (c) cannot be used by third parties other than third parties with which the Exchange or an ICE Affiliate has an agreement to provide services in the name of the Exchange or an ICE Affiliate.

- “ICE Affiliate” would mean Intercontinental Exchange, Inc. (“ICE”) and any entity that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with ICE, where “control” means that one entity possesses, directly or indirectly, voting control of the other entity either through ownership of capital stock or other equity securities or through majority representation on the board of directors or other management body of such entity.

The proposed rule would require that: the length of the connection between (a) the base of the Data Center Pole and (b) the point inside the Data Center where Exchange market data is produced shall be no less than the length of the connection between (x) the base of the closest Commercial Pole and (y) the point inside the Data Center where Exchange market data is produced.

^{27/28} See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76750 (December 23, 2015), 80 FR 81648 (December 30, 2015) (SR–NYSEMKT–2015–85) (Order Approving Proposed Rule Change to the Co-location Services Offered by the Exchange (the Offering of a Wireless Connection to Allow Users to Receive Market Data Feeds from Third Party Markets) and to Reflect Changes to the NYSE MKT Equities Price List and the NYSE Amex Options Fee Schedule Related to These Services).

^{28/29} The Wireless Market Data Connections with Markham, Canada do not use equipment on the data center pole.

^{29/30} The wireless network similarly converts to a fiber connection for its connection into the Third Party Data Centers.

^{30/31} Equipment for services Anova offers under its own name is not allowed on the data center pole.

^{31/32} IDS does not sell rights to third parties to operate wireless equipment on the data center pole due to space limitations, security concerns, and the interference that would arise between equipment placed too closely together.

^{32/33} See letter from Gregory Babyak, Global Head of Regulatory Affairs, Bloomberg L.P., to Ms. Vanessa Countryman, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), dated June 12, 2020; letter from Stephen John Berger, Managing Director, Global Head of Government and Regulatory Policy, Citadel Securities, to Ms. Vanessa Countryman, Secretary, Commission, dated June 12, 2020; letter from Jim Considine, Chief Financial Officer, McKay Brothers LLC (“McKay Brothers”), to Ms. Vanessa Countryman, Secretary, Commission, dated June 12, 2020 (“McKay Letter”); and letter from Thomas M. Merritt, Deputy General Counsel, Virtu Financial, Inc. to Ms. Vanessa Countryman, Secretary, Commission, dated March 10, 2020.

^{33/34} McKay Letter, *supra* note 32/33, at 9.

4. *The Exchange proposes to add new text after the carryover paragraph on pages 15 and 16 of the Filing (carryover paragraph on pages 41 and 42 of the Exhibit 1):*

The Exchange proposes to amend the Filing to include additional analysis on the competitive environment for wireless connections. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to add a paragraph and accompanying footnotes (subsequent footnotes would be renumbered in a conforming change) after the carryover paragraph on pages 15 and 16 of the Filing (carryover paragraph on pages 41 and 42 of the Exhibit 1), as follows (all text is new):

Such competitors can offer wireless connectivity to Selected Market Data or other Exchange market data in the Third Party Data Centers by obtaining the market data at the Mahwah data center and sending it over their wireless network to the Third Party Data Centers.^{37/38} The Exchange believes that its competitors’ wireless connections provide connectivity at the same or similar speed as the Wireless Market Data Connections, and at the same or similar cost. Indeed, the McKay Letter acknowledges that McKay Brothers has the fastest wireless network.^{38/39}

^{37/38} A market participant in any of the Third Party Data Centers or the Mahwah data center also may create a proprietary wireless market data connection, connect through another market participant, or utilize fiber connections offered by the Exchange, ICE Affiliates, and other service providers and third party telecommunications providers.

^{38/39} McKay Letter, *supra* note 32/33, at 4.

5. *The Exchange proposes to amend the Statutory Basis section of the Filing after the second full paragraph on page 18 of the Filing (third full paragraph on page 45 of the Exhibit 1):*

The Exchange proposes to include information in the Filing regarding why it believes the proposed new rule is reasonable. The Exchange proposes to amend the Statutory Basis section of the Filing to add new paragraphs and accompanying footnotes (subsequent footnotes would be renumbered in a conforming change) after the second full paragraph on page 18 of the Filing (third full paragraph on page 45 of the Exhibit 1), at the end of the section titled “*The Proposed Change is Reasonable*,” as follows (all text is new):

The Exchange believes that the proposed new Rule 3.14E would be reasonable as, pursuant to the rule, the networks for the Wireless Market Data Connections, and future wireless connections that use a Data Center Pole, would “operat[e] in the same manner as competitors do today without a latency subsidy or other advantage provided by the Exchanges. . . .”^{43/44} Accordingly, the proposed new rule would promote just and equitable principles of trade and, in general, protect investors and the public

interest by ensuring that the subscribers to services using the IDS wireless network do not benefit from any physical proximity “on the segment [of the network] closest to the Exchanges’ data center that no competitor can replicate.”^{44/45} By ending both of the compared connections at the point inside the Data Center where Exchange market data is produced, the proposed rule would take distances within the Mahwah data center into account.^{45/46} The proposed new rule would not apply differently to distinct types or sizes of market participants. The Exchange would be required to ensure that the length of the connection between (a) the base of the Data Center Pole and (b) the point inside the Data Center where Exchange market data is produced, would be no less than the length of the connection between (x) the base of the closest Commercial Pole and (y) the point inside the Data Center where Exchange market data is produced.

The Exchange believes that the proposed definition of “Commercial Pole” is reasonable and would promote just and equitable principles of trade because it would encompass any pole on which a third party locates its wireless equipment in order to offer wireless connectivity to customers. The Exchange believes that such third parties are the direct competitors for the Wireless Market Data Connections, as they also offer wireless connections to customers. If a third party used a pole for a proprietary wireless network and that pole does not have one or more third parties’ wireless equipment used to offer wireless connectivity to other third parties, that pole would not fall within the scope of the definition of Commercial Pole.

The Exchange believes that the proposed definition of “Data Center” is reasonable and would promote just and equitable principles of trade because it would capture any data center to which the Exchange locates its matching engine.

The Exchange believes that the proposed definition of “Data Center Pole” is reasonable and would promote just and equitable principles of trade because it would encompass not just the current pole, but also any additional or successor pole on the grounds of the Data Center, so long as such pole could not be used by third parties other than third parties with which the Exchange or an ICE Affiliate had an agreement to provide services in the name of the Exchange or an ICE Affiliate, such as Anova.

The Exchange believes that the definition of “ICE Affiliate” is reasonable and would promote just and equitable principles of trade because the same definition is used in Rule 497-Equities (Affiliation between Exchange and a Member Organization),^{46/47} and so using it would add transparency, clarity and internal consistency to Exchange rules.

^{43/44} McKay Letter, *supra* note 32/33, at 7.
^{44/45} *Id.*, at note 33.

^{45/46} Each of the Affiliate SROs is filing for a rule change that is substantially similar to the proposed Exchange rule. Assuming such filings are approved by the Commission, to the extent that the market data of an Affiliate SRO is produced separately from where the Exchange market data is produced, the wireless connection to that Affiliate

SRO’s market data would be captured by that Affiliate SRO’s rule.

^{46/47} The definition of ICE has been added to the text.

6. *The Exchange proposes to amend the Statutory Basis section of the Filing after the fifth full paragraph on page 19 of the Filing (carryover paragraph on pages 47 and 48 of the Exhibit 1):*

The Exchange proposes to include information in the Filing regarding why it believes the proposed new rule is not unfairly discriminatory. The Exchange proposes to amend the Statutory Basis section of the Filing to add new paragraphs and accompanying footnotes (subsequent footnotes would be renumbered in a conforming change) after the fifth full paragraph on page 19 of the Filing (carryover paragraph on pages 47 and 48 of the Exhibit 1), immediately prior to the last paragraph of the section titled “*The Proposed Change is Not Unfairly Discriminatory*,” as follows (all text is new):

The Exchange believes that the proposed new Rule 3.14E would not be unfairly discriminatory, as pursuant to the rule, the networks for the Wireless Market Data Connections, and future wireless connections that use the Data Center Pole, would “operat[e] in the same manner as competitors do today without a latency subsidy or other advantage provided by the Exchanges. . . .”^{47/48} Accordingly, the proposed new rule would ensure that the IDS wireless network does not benefit from physical proximity “on the segment [of the network] closest to the Exchanges’ data center that no competitor can replicate.”^{48/49} By ending both of the compared connections at the point inside the Data Center where Exchange market data is produced, the proposed rule would take distances within the Mahwah data center into account.

The proposed new rule would not apply differently to distinct types or sizes of market participants. The Exchange would be required to ensure that the length of the connection between (a) the base of the Data Center Pole and (b) the point inside the Data Center where Exchange market data is produced, would be no less than the length of the connection between (x) the base of the closest Commercial Pole and (y) the point inside the Data Center where Exchange market data is produced.

The Exchange believes that the proposed definition of “Commercial Pole” would not be unfairly discriminatory because it would encompass any pole on which a third party locates its wireless equipment in order to offer wireless connectivity to customers. The Exchange believes that such third parties are the direct competitors for the Wireless Market Data Connections, as they also offer wireless connections to customers. If a third party used a pole for a proprietary wireless network and that pole does not have one or more third parties’ wireless equipment used to offer wireless connectivity to other third parties, that pole would not fall within the scope of the definition of Commercial Pole.

The Exchange believes that the proposed definition of “Data Center” would not be unfairly discriminatory because it would capture any data center to which the Exchange locates its matching engine.

The Exchange believes that the proposed definition of “Data Center Pole” would not be unfairly discriminatory because it would encompass not just the current pole, but also any additional or successor pole on the grounds of the Data Center, so long as such pole could not be used by third parties other than third parties with which the Exchange or an ICE Affiliate had an agreement to provide services in the name of the Exchange or an ICE Affiliate, such as Anova.

The Exchange believes that the definition of “ICE Affiliate” would not be unfairly discriminatory because the same definition is used in Rule 497-Equities^{49/50} and so using it would add transparency, clarity and internal consistency to Exchange rules.

^{47/48} McKay Letter, *supra* note 32/33, at 7.

^{48/49} *Id.*, at note 33.

^{49/50} The definition of ICE has been added to the text.

7. *The Exchange proposes to amend the section of the Filing titled “Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition” in the following two ways:*

The Exchange proposes to include information in the Filing regarding why it believes the proposed new rule would impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of Section 6(b)(8) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”).¹¹ Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to amend the section of the Filing titled “*Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition*” in the following two ways.

First, to set the new text apart from the previous discussion regarding the burden on competition, the Exchange proposes to add the heading “*Wireless Market Data Connectivity*” immediately before the first full paragraph under the heading on page 20 of the Filing (page 48 of the Exhibit 1). The new heading would apply to the current text of the Filing.

Second, after the third full paragraph on page 22 of the Filing (first full paragraph on page 51 of the Exhibit 1), the Exchange proposes to add the heading “*Proposed New Rule*” and new paragraphs and accompanying footnotes (subsequent footnotes would be renumbered in a conforming change), as follows (all text is new):

Proposed New Rule

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed new rule would impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of Section 6(b)(8) of the Act.^{52/53}

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

With the exception of Anova, third parties do not have access to the data center pole. Under the proposed rule, the Exchange would always be obligated to ensure that the length of the connection between (a) the base of the Data Center Pole and (b) the point inside the Data Center where Exchange market data is produced, would be no less than the length of the connection between (x) the base of the closest Commercial Pole and (y) the point inside the Data Center where Exchange market data is produced.

IDS, not the Exchange, provides the Wireless Market Data Connections to market participants, and so it would be IDS that would have to slow its connection down as required by the rule. Accordingly, the Exchange believes that the only burden on competition of the proposed change would be on IDS.

Nonetheless, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate because the proposed change would ensure that the IDS wireless network did not benefit from physical proximity “on the segment [of the network] closest to the Exchanges’ data center that no competitor can replicate.”^{53/54} The networks for the Wireless Market Data Connections, and future wireless connections that use the Data Center Pole, would “operat[e] in the same manner as competitors do today without a latency subsidy or other advantage provided by the Exchanges. . . .”^{54/55}

The proposed rule would not otherwise put a burden on competition. As noted above, access to the data center pole is not required for third parties to establish wireless networks that can compete with the Wireless Market Data Connections to the Carteret and Secaucus Third Party Data Centers, as evidenced by the existing wireless connections offered by third party competitors.^{55/56} Such competitors can offer wireless connectivity to Selected Market Data or other Exchange market data in the Third Party Data Centers by obtaining the market data at the Mahwah data center and sending it over their wireless network to the Third Party Data Centers.^{56/57} Indeed, the Exchange believes that its competitors’ wireless connections provide connectivity at the same or similar speed as the Wireless Market Data Connections, and at the same or similar cost. The McKay Letter acknowledges that McKay Brothers has the fastest wireless network.^{57/58}

The Exchange notes that proximity to a data center is not the only determinant of a wireless network’s latency. Rather, the latency of a wireless network depends on several factors. Variables include the wireless equipment utilized; the route of, and number of towers or buildings in, the network; and the fiber equipment used at either end of the connection. Moreover, latency is not the only consideration that a customer may have in selecting a wireless network to connect to for market data. Other considerations may include the amount of network uptime; the equipment that the network uses; the cost of the connection; and the applicable contractual provisions.

The proposed change does not affect competition among national securities

exchanges or among members of the Exchange, but rather between IDS and its commercial competitors.

^{52/53} 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

^{53/54} McKay Letter, *supra* note 32/33, at note 33.

^{54/55} *Id.*, at 7.

^{55/56} Based on the information available to it, the Exchange believes that a market participant in the Carteret or Secaucus Third Party Data Center may purchase a wireless connection to the NYSE and NYSE Arca Integrated Feed data feeds from at least two other providers of wireless connectivity.

^{56/57} A market participant in any of the Third Party Data Centers or the Mahwah data center also may create a proprietary wireless market data connection, connect through another market participant, or utilize fiber connections offered by the Exchange, ICE Affiliates, and other service providers and third party telecommunications providers.

^{57/58} *Id.*, at 4.

8. *The Exchange proposes to add a list under “Exhibit 5—Text of the Proposed Rule Change” on page 22 of the Filing:*

The Exchange proposes to add a new Exhibit 5B. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to add a list under “Exhibit 5—Text of Proposed Rule Change” on page 22 of the Filing, as follows (new text italicized):

Exhibit 5—Text of the Proposed Rule Change
A. *Text of the Proposed Schedule of Wireless Connectivity Fees and Charges*
B. *Text of the Proposed Rule*

9. *The Exchange proposes to add new text to the first full paragraph of Section I on page 23 of the Exhibit 1:*

The Exchange proposes to add new text to the first full paragraph of Section I on page 23 of the Exhibit 1, as follows (new text italicized):

The Exchange proposes to add wireless connectivity services that transport the market data certain affiliates of the Exchange to the schedule of Wireless Connectivity Fees and Charge (the “Wireless Fee Schedule”) and add a new rule to place restrictions on the use of a pole on the grounds of the Mahwah, New Jersey data center that is used for such wireless connectivity services. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

10. *The Exchange proposes to amend “Exhibit 5” to “Exhibit 5A” on page 54 of the Exhibit 5:*

To reflect the addition of a new Exhibit 5B, the Exchange proposes to add “A” to “EXHIBIT 5” on page 54 of the Exhibit 5, to make it “EXHIBIT 5A”.

* * * * *

All other representations in the Filing remain as stated therein and no other changes are being made.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change As Modified By Partial Amendment No. 1 and Timing for Commission Action

Within 180 days after the date of publication of the initial Notice of Filing in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period up to an additional 60 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will issue an order approving or disapproving such proposed rule change, as amended.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as amended by Partial Amendment No. 1, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSEAMER–2020–10 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEAMER–2020–10. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the

filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEAMER-2020-10, and should be submitted on or before August 28, 2020.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹²

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020-17249 Filed 8-6-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice:11174]

Notice of Determinations; Culturally Significant Objects Being Imported for Exhibition—Determinations: “Dora Maar” Exhibition

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the following determinations: I hereby determine that certain objects being imported from abroad pursuant to agreements with their foreign owners or custodians for temporary display in the exhibition “Dora Maar” at The J. Paul Getty Museum at the Getty Center, Los Angeles, California, and at possible additional exhibitions or venues yet to be determined, are of cultural significance, and, further, that their temporary exhibition or display within the United States as aforementioned is in the national interest. I have ordered that Public Notice of these determinations be published in the **Federal Register**. This notice supersedes the **Federal Register** notice that was published on March 5, 2020, on page 12957 (volume 85, number 44).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chi D. Tran, Program Administrator, Office of the Legal Adviser, U.S. Department of State (telephone: 202-632-6471; email: section2459@state.gov). The mailing address is U.S. Department of State, L/ PD, SA-5, Suite 5H03, Washington, DC 20522-0505.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The foregoing determinations were made pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985; 22 U.S.C. 2459), Executive Order 12047 of March 27, 1978, the Foreign

Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 (112 Stat. 2681, *et seq.*; 22 U.S.C. 6501 note, *et seq.*), Delegation of Authority No. 234 of October 1, 1999, and Delegation of Authority No. 236-3 of August 28, 2000.

Marie Therese Porter Royce,

Assistant Secretary, Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2020-17290 Filed 8-6-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-05-P

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

[Docket No. FD 36421]

Cathcart Rail, LLC—Continuance in Control Exemption—Belpre Industrial Parkersburg Railroad, LLC

Cathcart Rail, LLC (CRL), a noncarrier holding company, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2) to continue in control of Belpre Industrial Parkersburg Railroad, LLC (BIP), upon BIP’s becoming a Class III rail carrier.¹

This transaction is related to a verified notice of exemption filed in Docket No. FD 36388, where the Board authorized BIP to lease and operate approximately 46.9 miles of rail lines (the Lines) and yard property owned by CSX Transportation, Inc. *See Belpre Indus. Parkersburg R.R.—Lease & Operation Exemption—CSX Transp., Inc.*, FD 36388 (STB served Apr. 3, 2020).²

The verified notice states that: (1) The Lines to be operated by BIP do not connect with those of BIR; (2) the transaction is not part of a series of anticipated transactions that would connect the Lines to any of the tracks of BIR; and (3) the transaction does not involve a Class I rail carrier. The proposed transaction is therefore exempt from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 11323. *See* 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2).

The earliest this transaction may be consummated is August 22, 2020, the effective date of the exemption (30 days after the verified notice was filed).³

Under 49 U.S.C. 10502(g), the Board may not use its exemption authority to

¹ According to the verified notice, CRL currently controls Bucyrus Industrial Railroad, LLC (BIR). *See also Bucyrus Indus. R.R.—Operation Exemption—Bucyrus Railcar Repair, LLC*, FD 36329, slip op. at 1 n.1 (STB served July 25, 2019).

² CRL states that, due to an unintentional oversight, it failed to file this verified notice of exemption concurrently with the verified notice of exemption in Docket No. FD 36388.

³ CRL requests that the exemption be effective retroactive to the date BIP’s lease and operation exemption became effective in Docket No. FD 36388. However, the class exemption invoked by CRL does not provide for retroactive effectiveness.

relieve a rail carrier of its statutory obligation to protect the interests of its employees. However, 49 U.S.C. 11326(c) does not provide for labor protection for transactions under 49 U.S.C. 11324 and 11325 that involve only Class III rail carriers. Because this transaction involves Class III rail carriers only, the Board, under the statute, may not impose labor protective conditions for this transaction.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void ab initio. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Petitions to stay must be filed no later than August 14, 2020 (at least seven days before the exemption becomes effective).

All pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 36421, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board either via e-filing or in writing addressed to 395 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on CRL’s representative, David F. Rifkind, Stinson LLP, 1775 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20006.

According to the verified notice, this action is categorically excluded from environmental review under 49 CFR 1105.6(c) and from historic preservation reporting requirements under 49 CFR 1105.8(b)(1).

Board decisions and notices are available at www.stb.gov.

Decided: August 3, 2020.

By the Board, Allison C. Davis, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Jeffrey Herzig,
Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2020-17265 Filed 8-6-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Docket Number: FAA 2020-0752]

Service Difficulty Report; Agency Information Collection Activities: Requests for Comments; Clearance of a Renewed Approval of Information Collection: 49 U.S.C. 44701/Service Difficulty Report

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, FAA

¹² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).