

customers. In addition, the Rule requires that a broker-dealer that holds customer funds make either a weekly or monthly computation to determine whether certain customer funds need to be segregated in a special reserve bank account for the exclusive benefit of the firm's customers. It also requires that a broker-dealer maintain a written notification from each bank where a Special Reserve Bank Account is held acknowledging that all assets in the account are for the exclusive benefit of the broker-dealer's customers, and to provide written notification to the Commission (and its designated examining authority) under certain, specified circumstances. Finally, broker-dealers that sell securities futures products ("SFP") to customers must provide certain notifications to customers and make a record of any changes of account type.

A broker-dealer required to maintain the Special Reserve Bank Account prescribed by Rule 15c3-3 must obtain and retain a written notification from each bank in which it has a Special Reserve Bank Account to evidence the bank's acknowledgement that assets deposited in the Account are being held by the bank for the exclusive benefit of the broker-dealer's customers. In addition, a broker-dealer must immediately notify the Commission and its designated examining authority if it fails to make a required deposit to its Special Reserve Bank Account. Finally, a broker-dealer that effects transactions in SFPs for customers will also have paperwork burdens to make a record of each change in account type.

The Commission staff estimates a total annual time burden of approximately 625,490 hours and a total annual cost burden of approximately \$1,440,513 to comply with the existing information collection requirements of the rule.

With respect to the new collections of information, in 2019, the Commission adopted amendments to establish segregation and notice requirements for broker-dealers with respect to their security-based swap activity. The Commission staff estimates a total annual time burden of approximately 96,601 hours and a total annual cost burden of approximately \$65,334 to comply with the new information collection requirements of the rule.

The Commission staff thus estimates that the aggregate annual information collection burden associated with Rule 15c3-3 is approximately 722,091 hours and \$1,505,847.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information

under the PRA unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The public may view background documentation for this information collection at the following website, www.reginfo.gov. Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to (i) www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain and (ii) David Bottom, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Cynthia Roscoe, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, or by sending an email to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov.

Dated: August 3, 2020.

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020-17258 Filed 8-6-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-89453; File No. SR-NYSE-2020-05]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing of Partial Amendment No. 1 to Proposed Rule Change To Establish a Wireless Fee Schedule Setting Forth Available Wireless Bandwidth Connections and Associated Fees

August 3, 2020.

I. Introduction

On January 30, 2020, New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act" or "Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change (SR-NYSE-2020-05) to establish a schedule of Wireless Connectivity Fees and Charges ("Wireless Fee Schedule") listing available wireless bandwidth connections between the Mahwah, New Jersey data center and other data centers.

The Commission published the proposed rule change for public comment in the **Federal Register** on February 18, 2020.³ The Commission

received several comments on the proposed rule change, and a response from the Exchange.⁴ On April 1, 2020, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁵ the Commission designated a longer period within which to either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change.⁶ On May 18, 2020, the Commission instituted proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.⁷ The Commission received additional comments in response to the Order Instituting Proceedings.⁸

On July 27, 2020, the Exchange filed Partial Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change in response to certain comments on the proposed rule change. Partial Amendment No. 1 is described in Item II below, which has been substantially prepared by the Exchange.⁹ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on Partial Amendment No. 1 from interested persons.¹⁰

(February 11, 2020), 85 FR 8946 (February 18, 2020) (SR-NYSEAMER-2020-05); 88170 (February 11, 2020), 85 FR 8956 (February 18, 2020) (SR-NYSEArca-2020-08); 88172 (February 11, 2020), 85 FR 8923 (February 18, 2020) (SR-NYSECHX-2020-02); and 88171 (February 11, 2020), 85 FR 8930 (February 18, 2020) (SR-NYSEENAT-2020-03).

⁴ Comments received on the Wireless I Notice and the Exchange's response are available on the Commission's website at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nyse-2020-05/srnyse202005.htm>.

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88539 (April 1, 2020), 85 FR 19553 (April 7, 2020). The Commission designated May 18, 2020, as the date by which it should approve, disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule changes.

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88901 (May 18, 2020), 85 FR 31273 (May 22, 2020) in which the Commission instituted proceedings ("Order Instituting Proceedings" or "OIP").

⁸ Comments received on the Wireless I Notice following the OIP also are available on the Commission's website at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nyse-2020-05/srnyse202005.htm>.

⁹ The Commission has reformatted the Exchange's presentation of the footnotes.

¹⁰ Partial Amendment No. 1 is also available on the Commission's website at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nyse-2020-05/srnyse202005.htm>. The Commission also refers interested persons to Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88237 (February 19, 2020), 85 FR 10752 (February 25, 2020) (SR-NYSE-2020-11) (wherein the Exchange filed a proposed rule change to amend the proposed Wireless Fee Schedule to add "Wireless Market Data Connections" and associated fees ("Wireless II") and concurrently proposes to partially amend Wireless II). Partial Amendment No. 1 to Wireless II is available on the Commission's website at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nyse-2020-11/srnyse202011.htm>.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88168 (February 11, 2020), 85 FR 8938 (February 18, 2020) (SR-NYSE-2020-05) ("Wireless I Notice"). See also Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 88169

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Amendment

New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE" or the "Exchange") hereby submits this Partial Amendment No. 1 to the above-referenced filing ("Filing"), in connection with the proposed rule change to establish a schedule of Wireless Connectivity Fees and Charges (the "Wireless Fee Schedule") with

wireless connections between the Mahwah, New Jersey data center and other data centers. With this Partial Amendment No. 1, the Exchange proposes a new rule to place restrictions on the use of a pole on the grounds of the Mahwah, New Jersey data center that is used for such wireless connections.

The Exchange proposes the following amendments to the Filing:

1. The Exchange proposes to amend the first paragraph in Item 1(a) on page 3 of the Filing:

The Exchange proposes to amend the first paragraph of Item 1(a) on page 3 of the Filing to add "(a)" before "establish" and add new text at the end of the paragraph to describe the proposed rule change, as follows (new text *underlined*):

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE" or the "Exchange") proposes to (a) establish a schedule of Wireless Connectivity Fees and Charges (the "Wireless Fee Schedule") with wireless connections between the Mahwah, New Jersey data center and other data centers, and (b) add a new rule to place restrictions on the use of a pole on the grounds of the Mahwah, New Jersey data center that is used for such wireless connections.

2. The Exchange proposes to amend the carryover paragraph on pages 3 and 4 of the Filing (second full paragraph on page 24 of the Exhibit 1):

The Exchange proposes to add a sentence at the end of the carryover paragraph on pages 3 and 4 of the Filing (second full paragraph on page 24 of the

Exhibit 1) to describe the proposed rule change, as follows (new text *underlined*):

The Exchange proposes to establish the Wireless Fee Schedule with wireless connections between the Mahwah, New Jersey data center and three data centers that are owned and operated by third parties unaffiliated with the Exchange: (1) Carteret, New Jersey, (2) Secaucus, New Jersey, and (3) Markham, Canada (collectively, the "Third Party Data Centers"). Market participants that purchase such a wireless connection (a "Wireless Connection") are charged an initial and monthly fee. In addition, the Exchange proposes to include a General Note to the Wireless Fee Schedule. The Exchange proposes to add a new rule to place restrictions on the use of a pole on the grounds of the Mahwah, New Jersey data center that is used for the Wireless Connections.

3. The Exchange proposes to add a new section titled "Proposed New Rule" and accompanying footnotes after the first full paragraph on page 14 of the

Filing (first full paragraph on page 39 of the Exhibit 1):

The Exchange proposes a new rule to place restrictions on the use of a pole on the grounds of the Mahwah, New Jersey

data center that is used for wireless connectivity services. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to add a new section

titled “Proposed New Rule” with accompanying footnotes (subsequent footnotes would be renumbered in a conforming change) after the first full paragraph on page 14 of the Filing (first full paragraph on page 39 of the Exhibit 1), after the end of the section titled “Proposed General Note,” as follows (all text is new):

Proposed New Rule

Since 2016, IDS has had the use of a pole on the grounds of the Mahwah data center.^{25/26} The data center pole is part of the network utilized for the Wireless Connections to the Carteret and Secaucus Third Party Data Centers.^{26/27} At the data center pole, the wireless connection to the Third Party Data Centers converts to a fiber connection, and the fiber connection travels from the data center pole into the Mahwah data center.^{27/28} The equipment on the data center pole belongs to IDS and Anova Technologies, LLC (“Anova”), the non-ICE entity that owns the wireless network used for the Wireless Connections to Secaucus and Carteret.^{28/29}

Other third parties that offer wireless services utilize commercial poles located outside the grounds of the Mahwah, New Jersey data center for their wireless networks. A third party’s wireless connections to the Third Party Data Center convert to fiber connections at the commercial pole, and the fiber connects the commercial pole to the Mahwah data center.

Several such third parties have objected to the use of the data center pole for the Wireless Connections. They argue that IDS has an advantage over its competitors because third parties are not allowed access to the data center pole,^{29/30} and the data center pole is closer to the Mahwah data center than any commercial pole.^{30/31} At least one third party has raised the additional concern that the Wireless Connections may benefit from “less obvious and more discreet types of latency advantages” due to infrastructure inside the Mahwah data center, noting that “some connections may have a longer fiber route than others within a data center or may have to go through various equipment or meet me rooms that an affiliate or preferred provider of an exchange do not.”^{31/32}

The Exchange is proposing a new Rule 3.13 (Data Center Pole Latency Restrictions—Connectivity to Co-Location Space) that would require that the length of the connection from the data center pole to the network row in the space used for co-location in the Mahwah data center (*i.e.*, the point where the Wireless Connections lead) be no less than the length of the connection from the closest commercial pole to the same point. By requiring that the compared connections both extend to the network row in the space used for co-location, the proposed rule would take distances within the Mahwah data center into account.

The proposed rule would include the following definitions:

- “Commercial Pole” would mean a pole (a) on which one or more third parties locate

wireless equipment used to offer wireless connectivity to other third parties, and (b) from which a fiber connection extends from third party equipment on the pole to the Data Center.

- “Data Center” would mean the Mahwah, New Jersey data center where the Exchange’s matching engine is located, or its successor.

- “Data Center Pole” would mean a pole that (a) holds wireless equipment, (b) is located within the grounds of the Data Center, and (c) cannot be used by third parties other than third parties with which the Exchange or an ICE Affiliate has an agreement to provide services in the name of the Exchange or an ICE Affiliate.

- “ICE Affiliate” would mean Intercontinental Exchange, Inc. (“ICE”) and any entity that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with ICE, where “control” means that one entity possesses, directly or indirectly, voting control of the other entity either through ownership of capital stock or other equity securities or through majority representation on the board of directors or other management body of such entity.

The proposed rule would require that:

the length of the connection between (a) the base of the Data Center Pole and (b) the network row in the space used for co-location in the Data Center shall be no less than the length of the connection between (x) the base of the closest Commercial Pole and (y) the network row in the space used for co-location in the Data Center.

In a conforming change, the Exchange proposes to replace “Reserved” with “Organization and Administration” in the heading of Section 3P. The revised heading would be consistent with changes proposed by the Affiliate SROs to their rules.^{32/33}

^{25/26} See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76748 (December 23, 2015), 80 FR 81609 (December 30, 2015) (SR–NYSE–2015–52) (order approving proposed rule change to the co-location services offered by the NYSE (the offering of a wireless connection to allow users to receive market data feeds from third party markets) and to reflect changes to the NYSE’s price list related to these services).

^{26/27} The Wireless Connections with Markham, Canada do not use equipment on the data center pole.

^{27/28} The wireless network similarly converts to a fiber connection for its connection into the Third Party Data Centers.

^{28/29} Equipment for services Anova offers under its own name is not allowed on the data center pole.

^{29/30} IDS does not sell rights to third parties to operate wireless equipment on the data center pole due to space limitations, security concerns, and the interference that would arise between equipment placed too closely together.

^{30/31} See letter from Gregory Babyak, Global Head of Regulatory Affairs, Bloomberg L.P., to Ms. Vanessa Countryman,

Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), dated June 12, 2020; letter from Stephen John Berger, Managing Director, Global Head of Government and Regulatory Policy, Citadel Securities, to Ms. Vanessa Countryman, Secretary, Commission, dated June 12, 2020; letter from Jim Considine, Chief Financial Officer, McKay Brothers LLC (“McKay Brothers”), to Ms. Vanessa Countryman, Secretary, Commission, dated June 12, 2020 (“McKay Letter”); and letter from Thomas M. Merritt, Deputy General Counsel, Virtu Financial, Inc. to Ms. Vanessa Countryman, Secretary, Commission, dated March 10, 2020.

^{31/32} McKay Letter, *supra* note 30/31, at 9.

^{32/33} See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 88169 (February 11, 2020), 85 FR 8946 (February 18, 2020) (SR–NYSEAMER–2020–05); 88170 (February 11, 2020), 85 FR 8956 (February 18, 2020) (SR–NYSEArca–2020–08); 88172 (February 11, 2020), 85 FR 8923 (February 18, 2020) (SR–NYSECHX–2020–02); 88171 (February 11, 2020), 85 FR 8930 (February 18, 2020) (SR–NYSENAT–2020–03) (notice of filing of proposed rule change to establish a Schedule of Wireless Connectivity Fees and Charges with wireless connections).

4. The Exchange proposes to add new text after the third full paragraph on page 15 of the Filing (first full paragraph on page 41 of the Exhibit 1):

The Exchange proposes to amend the Filing to include additional analysis of the competitive environment for wireless connections. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to add a paragraph and accompanying footnote (subsequent footnotes would be renumbered in a conforming change) after the third full paragraph on page 15 of the Filing (first full paragraph on page 41 of the Exhibit 1), as follows (all text new):

The Exchange believes that its competitors’ wireless connections provide connectivity at the same or similar speed as the Wireless Connections, and at the same or similar cost. Indeed, the McKay Letter acknowledges that McKay Brothers has the fastest wireless network.^{34/35}

^{34/35} McKay Letter, *supra* note 30/31, at 4.

5. The Exchange proposes to amend the Statutory Basis section of the Filing after the first full paragraph on page 18 of the Filing (first full paragraph on page 45 of the Exhibit 1):

The Exchange proposes to include information in the Filing regarding why it believes the proposed new rule is reasonable. The Exchange proposes to amend the Statutory Basis section of the Filing to add new paragraphs and accompanying footnotes (subsequent footnotes would be renumbered in a conforming change) after the first full paragraph on page 18 of the Filing (first full paragraph on page 45 of the Exhibit

1), at the end of the section titled “*The Proposed Change is Reasonable*,” as follows (all text is new):

The Exchange believes that the proposed new Rule 3.13 would be reasonable as, pursuant to the rule, the networks for the Wireless Connections, and future wireless connections that use a Data Center Pole, would “operat[e] in the same manner as competitors do today without a latency subsidy or other advantage provided by the Exchanges. . . .”^{39/40} Accordingly, the proposed new rule would promote just and equitable principles of trade and, in general, protect investors and the public interest by ensuring that the subscribers to services using the IDS wireless network do not benefit from any physical proximity “on the segment [of the network] closest to the Exchanges’ data center that no competitor can replicate.”^{40/41} By ending both of the compared connections at the network row in the space used for co-location, the proposed rule would take distances within the Mahwah data center into account.

The proposed new rule would not apply differently to distinct types or sizes of market participants. The Exchange would be required to ensure that the length of the connection between (a) the base of the Data Center Pole and (b) the network row in the space used for co-location in the Data Center, would be no less than the length of the connection between (x) the base of the closest Commercial Pole and (y) the network row in the space used for co-location in the Data Center.

The Exchange believes that the proposed definition of “Commercial Pole” is reasonable and would promote just and equitable principles of trade because it would encompass any pole on which a third party locates its wireless equipment in order to offer wireless connectivity to customers. The Exchange believes that such third parties are the direct competitors for the Wireless Connections, as they also offer wireless connections to customers. If a third party used a pole for a proprietary wireless network and that pole does not have one or more third parties’ wireless equipment used to offer wireless connectivity to other third parties, that pole would not fall within the scope of the definition of Commercial Pole.

The Exchange believes that the proposed definition of “Data Center” is reasonable and would promote just and equitable principles of trade because it would capture any data center to which the Exchange locates its matching engine.

The Exchange believes that the proposed definition of “Data Center Pole” is reasonable and would promote just and equitable principles of trade because it would encompass not just the current pole, but also any additional or successor pole on the grounds of the Data Center, so long as such pole could not be used by third parties other than third parties with which the Exchange or an ICE Affiliate had an agreement to provide services in the name of the Exchange or an ICE Affiliate, such as Anova.

The Exchange believes that the definition of “ICE Affiliate” is reasonable and would promote just and equitable principles of trade

because the same definition is used in NYSE Rule 497 (Additional Requirements for Listed Securities Issued by Intercontinental Exchange, Inc. or its Affiliates),^{41/42} and so using it would add transparency, clarity and internal consistency to Exchange rules.

^{39/40} McKay Letter, *supra* note 30/31, at 7.
^{40/41} *Id.*, at note 33.

^{41/42} The definition of ICE has been added to the text.

6. *The Exchange proposes to amend the Statutory Basis section of the Filing after the fifth full paragraph on page 19 of the Filing (first full paragraph on page 48 of the Exhibit 1):*

The Exchange proposes to include information in the Filing regarding why it believes the proposed new rule is not unfairly discriminatory. The Exchange proposes to amend the Statutory Basis section of the Filing to add new paragraphs and accompanying footnotes (subsequent footnotes would be renumbered in a conforming change) after the fifth full paragraph on page 19 of the Filing (first full paragraph on page 48 of the Exhibit 1), immediately prior to the last paragraph of the section titled “*The Proposed Change is Not Unfairly Discriminatory*,” as follows (all text is new):

The Exchange believes that the proposed new Rule 3.13 would not be unfairly discriminatory, as pursuant to the rule, the networks for the Wireless Connections, and future wireless connections that use the Data Center Pole, would “operat[e] in the same manner as competitors do today without a latency subsidy or other advantage provided by the Exchanges. . . .”^{42/43} Accordingly, the proposed new rule would ensure that the IDS wireless network does not benefit from physical proximity “on the segment [of the network] closest to the Exchanges’ data center that no competitor can replicate.”^{43/44} By ending both of the compared connections at the network row in the space used for co-location inside the Data Center, the proposed rule would take distances within the Mahwah data center into account.

The proposed new rule would not apply differently to distinct types or sizes of market participants. The Exchange would be required to ensure that the length of the connection between (a) the base of the Data Center Pole and (b) the network row in the space used for co-location in the Data Center, would be no less than the length of the connection between (x) the base of the closest Commercial Pole and (y) the network row in the space used for co-location in the Data Center.

The Exchange believes that the proposed definition of “Commercial Pole” would not be unfairly discriminatory because it would encompass any pole on which a third party locates its wireless equipment in order to offer wireless connectivity to customers. The Exchange believes that such third parties are the direct competitors for the Wireless Connections, as they also offer wireless connections to customers. If a third party used a pole for a proprietary wireless

network and that pole does not have one or more third parties’ wireless equipment used to offer wireless connectivity to other third parties, that pole would not fall within the scope of the definition of Commercial Pole.

The Exchange believes that the proposed definition of “Data Center” would not be unfairly discriminatory because it would capture any data center to which the Exchange locates its matching engine.

The Exchange believes that the proposed definition of “Data Center Pole” would not be unfairly discriminatory because it would encompass not just the current pole, but also any additional or successor pole on the grounds of the Data Center, so long as such pole could not be used by third parties other than third parties with which the Exchange or an ICE Affiliate had an agreement to provide services in the name of the Exchange or an ICE Affiliate, such as Anova.

The Exchange believes that the definition of “ICE Affiliate” would not be unfairly discriminatory because the same definition is used in NYSE Rule 497,^{44/45} and so using it would add transparency, clarity and internal consistency to Exchange rules.

^{42/43} McKay Letter, *supra* note 30/31, at 7.

^{43/44} *Id.*, at note 33.

^{44/45} The definition of ICE has been added to the text.

7. *The Exchange proposes to amend the section of the Filing titled “Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition” in the following two ways:*

The Exchange proposes to include information in the Filing regarding why it believes the proposed new rule would impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of Section 6(b)(8) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”).¹¹ Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to amend the section of the Filing titled “*Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition*” in the following two ways.

First, to set the new text apart from the previous discussion regarding the burden on competition, the Exchange proposes to add the heading “*Wireless Market Data Connectivity*” immediately before the first full paragraph under the heading on page 20 of the Filing (page 48 of the Exhibit 1). The new heading would apply to the current text of the Filing.

Second, after the third full paragraph on page 21 of the Filing (first full paragraph on page 51 of the Exhibit 1), the Exchange proposes to add the heading “*Proposed New Rule*” and new paragraphs and accompanying footnotes (subsequent footnotes would be renumbered in a conforming change), as follows (all text is new):

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

Proposed New Rule

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed new rule would impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of Section 6(b)(8) of the Act.^{48/49}

With the exception of Anova, third parties do not have access to the data center pole. Under the proposed rule, the Exchange would always be obligated to ensure that the length of the connection between (a) the base of the Data Center Pole and (b) the network row in the space used for co-location in the Data Center, would be no less than the length of the connection between (x) the base of the closest Commercial Pole and (y) the network row in the space used for co-location in the Data Center.

IDS, not the Exchange, provides the Wireless Connections to market participants, and so it would be IDS that would have to slow its connection down as required by the rule. Accordingly, the Exchange believes that the only burden on competition of the proposed change would be on IDS.

Nonetheless, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate because the proposed change would ensure that the IDS wireless network did not benefit from physical proximity “on the segment [of the network] closest to the Exchanges’ data center that no competitor can replicate.”^{49/50}

The networks for the Wireless Connections, and future wireless connections that use the Data Center Pole, would “operat[e] in the same manner as competitors do today without a latency subsidy or other advantage provided by the Exchanges. . . .”^{50/51}

The proposed rule would not otherwise put a burden on competition. As noted above, access to the data center pole is not required for third parties to establish wireless networks that can compete with the Wireless Connections to the Carteret and Secaucus Third Party Data Centers, as evidenced by the existing wireless connections offered by non-ICE entities.^{51/52} Indeed, the Exchange believes that its competitors’ wireless connections provide connectivity at the same or similar speed as the Wireless Connections, and at the same or similar cost. The McKay Letter acknowledges that McKay Brothers has the fastest wireless network.^{52/53}

The Exchange notes that proximity to a data center is not the only determinant of a wireless network’s latency. Rather, the latency of a wireless network depends on several factors. Variables include the wireless equipment utilized; the route of, and number of towers or buildings in, the network; and the fiber equipment used at either end of the connection. Moreover, latency is not the only consideration that a customer may have in selecting a wireless network to connect to for market data. Other considerations may include the amount of network uptime; the

equipment that the network uses; the cost of the connection; and the applicable contractual provisions.

The proposed change does not affect competition among national securities exchanges or among members of the Exchange, but rather between IDS and its commercial competitors.

^{48/49} 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

^{49/50} McKay Letter, *supra* note 30/31, at note 33.

^{50/51} *Id.*, at 7.

^{51/52} A market participant in any of the Third Party Data Centers or the Mahwah data center also may create a proprietary wireless market data connection, connect through another market participant, or utilize fiber connections offered by the Exchange, ICE Affiliates, and other service providers and third party telecommunications providers.

^{52/53} *Id.*, at 4.

8. *The Exchange proposes to add a list under “Exhibit 5—Text of the Proposed Rule Change” on page 22 of the Filing:*

The Exchange proposes to add a new Exhibit 5B. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to add a list under “Exhibit5—Text of Proposed Rule Change” on page 22 of the Filing, as follows (new text *underlined*):

Exhibit 5 – Text of the Proposed Rule Change

A. Text of the Proposed Schedule of Wireless Connectivity Fees and Charges

B. Text of the Proposed Rule

9. *The Exchange proposes to add new text to the first full paragraph of Section I on page 23 of the Exhibit 1:*

The Exchange proposes to add new text to the first full paragraph of Section

I on page 23 of the Exhibit 1, as follows (new text *underlined*):

The Exchange proposes to establish a schedule of Wireless Connectivity Fees and Charges (the “Wireless Fee Schedule”) with wireless connections between the Mahwah, New Jersey data center and other data centers and add a new rule to place restrictions on the use of a pole on the grounds of the Mahwah, New Jersey data center that is used for such wireless connections. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

10. *The Exchange proposes to amend “Exhibit 5” to “Exhibit 5A” on page 54 of the Exhibit 5:*

To reflect the addition of a new Exhibit 5B, the Exchange proposes to add “A” to “EXHIBIT 5” on page 54 of

the Exhibit 5, to make it to “EXHIBIT 5A”.

* * * * *

All other representations in the Filing remain as stated therein and no other changes are being made.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change As Modified By Partial Amendment No. 1 and Timing for Commission Action

Within 180 days after the date of publication of the initial Notice of Filing in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period up to an additional 60 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will issue an order approving or disapproving such proposed rule change, as amended.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as amended by Partial Amendment No. 1, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSE-2020-05 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.
- All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2020-05. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official

business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2020-05, and should be submitted on or before August 28, 2020.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹²

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020-17243 Filed 8-6-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-89457; File No. SR-NYSE-2020-03]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE National, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Partial Amendment No. 1 To Proposed Rule Change To Establish a Wireless Fee Schedule Setting Forth Available Wireless Bandwidth Connections and Associated Fees

August 3, 2020.

I. Introduction

On January 30, 2020, NYSE National, Inc. ("NYSE National" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act" or "Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change (SR-NYSE-2020-03) to establish a schedule of Wireless Connectivity Fees and Charges ("Wireless Fee Schedule") listing available wireless bandwidth connections between the Mahwah, New Jersey data center and other data centers.

The Commission published the proposed rule change for public comment in the **Federal Register** on February 18, 2020.³ The Commission

¹² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88171 (February 11, 2020), 85 FR 8930 (February 18, 2020) (SR-NYSE-2020-03) ("Wireless I Notice"). See also Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 88168 (February 11, 2020), 85 FR 8938 (February 18, 2020) (SR-NYSE-2020-05); 88169 (February 11, 2020), 85

received several comments on the proposed rule change, and a response from the Exchange.⁴ On April 1, 2020, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁵ the Commission designated a longer period within which to either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change.⁶ On May 18, 2020, the Commission instituted proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.⁷ The Commission received additional comments in response to the Order Instituting Proceedings.⁸

On July 27, 2020, the Exchange filed Partial Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change in response to certain comments on the proposed rule change. Partial Amendment No. 1 is described in Item II below, which has been substantially prepared by the Exchange.⁹ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on Partial Amendment No. 1 from interested persons.¹⁰

FR 8946 (February 18, 2020) (SR-NYSE-AMER-2020-05); 88170 (February 11, 2020), 85 FR 8956 (February 18, 2020) (SR-NYSEArca-2020-08); and 88172 (February 11, 2020), 85 FR 8923 (February 18, 2020) (SR-NYSECHX-2020-02).

⁴ Comments received on the Wireless I Notice and the Exchange's response are available on the Commission's website at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nysenat-2020-03/srnyse202003.htm>.

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88539 (April 1, 2020), 85 FR 19553 (April 7, 2020). The Commission designated May 18, 2020, as the date by which it should approve, disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule changes.

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88901 (May 18, 2020), 85 FR 31273 (May 22, 2020) in which the Commission instituted proceedings ("Order Instituting Proceedings" or "OIP").

⁸ Comments received on the Wireless I Notice following the OIP also are available on the Commission's website at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nysenat-2020-03/srnyse202003.htm>.

⁹ The Commission has reformatted the Exchange's presentation of the footnotes.

¹⁰ Partial Amendment No. 1 is also available on the Commission's website at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nysenat-2020-03/srnyse202003.htm>. The Commission also refers interested persons to Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88241 (February 19, 2020), 85 FR 10738 (February 25, 2020) (SR-NYSE-2020-08) (wherein the Exchange filed a proposed rule change to amend the proposed Wireless Fee Schedule to add "Wireless Market Data Connections" and associated fees ("Wireless II") and concurrently proposes to partially amend Wireless II). Partial Amendment No. 1 to Wireless II is available on the Commission's website at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nysenat-2020-08/srnyse202008.htm>.