The NRC should include conforming changes based on this new guidance in the LR GEIS.

- Incorporate NEI 17–04 guidance and revised BEIR VII report (update LR GEIS to address this new information)—Incorporate Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) guidance NEI 17–04, Revision 1, “Model SLR New and Significant Assessment Approach for SAMA,” on identifying and considering new and significant information with respect to a prior severe accident mitigation alternatives (SAMA) analysis and new information from revised Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation (BEIR) published BEIR–VII, entitled “Health Risks from Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation” (National Research Council Committee). Also, the LR GEIS should address nuclear power plants that did not use NEI 05–01, Revision A, “Severe Accident Mitigation Alternatives (SAMA) Analysis, Guidance Document.” The NRC should include this information in the LR GEIS.

- New and significant information (update LR GEIS to address this issue)—Explain meaning and purpose of new and significant in LR GEIS for clarity and consistency. The NRC should include this information in the LR GEIS.

- Include the environmental impacts of new large light water (LLW) reactors holding an operating license, construction permit, or combined license after June 30, 1995 (e.g., Vogtle 3 & 4) (update LR GEIS to address this issue)—Vogtle LLW Units 3 and 4 are nearing completion and the licensee could consider applying for license renewal at some future date. The NRC should include license renewal environmental reviews for LLW reactor facilities permitted for construction after June 30, 1995 in the LR GEIS.

- Advanced and/or small modular reactors (SMRs) (update LR GEIS to address this issue)—An advanced reactor and SMR licensee could consider applying for license renewal. The NRC should include license renewal environmental reviews for advanced reactors and SMR facilities in the LR GEIS.

- Consideration of the environmental impacts of license renewal beyond the 20-year license renewal term (update LR GEIS to address this issue)—The Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, allows the NRC to grant nuclear power plant operating licenses for up to 40 years. NRC regulations allow for the renewal of operating reactor licenses for an additional 20 years beyond the current licensing period. The staff is in the early stages of evaluating whether to extend the operating reactor license renewal period from 20 years to a maximum of 40 years. Should the impacts analysis in the LR GEIS consider the environmental impacts of license renewal beyond the current regulatory limit of 20 years (e.g., up to a maximum of 40 years)?

The NRC is reviewing the Council on Environmental Quality’s final rule, “Update to the Regulations Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” (85 FR 43304; July 16, 2020) to determine what effect they may have on the LR GEIS update—should the NRC ultimately decide, based on its review and public comment, to update the LR GEIS.

V. Public Scoping Webinars

In accordance with 10 CFR 51.26(b), the scoping process may include a public scoping meeting to help identify significant issues related to a proposed action and to determine the scope of issues to be addressed. Since this is a generic environmental review activity, the NRC will hold four public webinars for the LR GEIS update.

Each webinar will be held online and will offer a telephone line for members of the public to submit comments. A court reporter will transcribe (record) all comments received during the webinar. To be considered, comments must be provided either at the transcribed public meeting or in writing, as discussed in the ADDRESSES section of this notice. The dates and times for the public webinars follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Persons interested in attending this webinar should monitor the NRC’s Public Meeting Schedule web page at https://www.nrc.gov/pmns/mtg for additional information, agendas for the meetings, and access information for the webinar. Participants should register in advance of the meeting by visiting the website (https://usnrc.webex.com) and using the event number provided above. A confirmation email will be generated providing additional details and a link to the webinar.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Robert B. Elliott,
Chief, Environmental Review License Renewal Branch, Division of Rulemaking, Environmental, and Financial Support, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.
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BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–331; NRC–2020–0176]

NextEra Energy Duane Arnold, LLC; Duane Arnold Energy Center

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption in response to a February 25, 2020, as supplemented
by letter dated May 29, 2020, request from NextEra Energy Duane Arnold, LLC (NEDA, the licensee) for Duane Arnold Energy Center (DAEC). The exemption would permit the licensee to use funds from the DAEC decommissioning trust fund (DTF, the Trust) for spent fuel management activities and site restoration. The exemption would also allow such withdrawals without prior notification to the NRC. The NRC staff is issuing a final Environmental Assessment (EA) and final Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) associated with the proposed exemption.

DATES: The EA and FONSI referenced in this document are available on August 4, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2020–0176 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- Federal Rulemaking Website: Go to https://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC–2020–0176. Address questions about NRC docket IDs in Regulations.gov to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301–287–9127; email: Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.
- NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. To begin the search, select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to prd.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in this document. In addition, for the convenience of the reader, the ADAMS accession numbers are provided in a table in the Availability of Documents section of this document.
- NRC’s E-mail Notification System (E-Finders): You may also obtain information about the availability of documents by contacting the E-Finders at E-Finders@nrc.gov. The E-Finders and the individual listed above may assist you in obtaining copies of documents by mail. NRC about the availability of documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The NRC is considering issuance of an exemption from sections 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 50.75(h)(1)(iv) of title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) for Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR–49, issued to NEDA for DAEC, located in Linn County, Iowa. The licensee requested the exemption by letter dated February 25, 2020 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20056E054), as supplemented by letter dated May 29, 2020 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20153A371). The exemption would allow the licensee to use funds from the Trust for spent fuel management and site restoration activities, in the same manner that funds from the Trust are used under 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8) for decommissioning activities not associated with spent fuel management or site restoration.

II. Environmental Assessment

Description of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would partially exempt NEDA from the requirements set forth in 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 10 CFR 50.75(h)(1)(iv). Specifically, the proposed action would allow NEDA to use funds from the Trust for spent fuel management activities not associated with decommissioning or disposal activities. NEDA stated that Table 1 of
Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The proposed action involves an exemption from regulatory requirements that are of a financial or administrative nature and that do not have an impact on the environment. The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that there is reasonable assurance that adequate funds are available in the Trust to complete all activities associated with radiological decommissioning as well as spent fuel management and site restoration. There is no decrease in safety associated with the use of the Trust to also fund activities associated with spent fuel management and site restoration.

Section 50.82(a)(8)(vi) of 10 CFR requires a licensee to submit a financial assurance status report annually between the time of submitting its site-specific decommissioning cost estimate and submitting its final radiation survey and demonstrating that residual radioactivity has been reduced to a level that permits termination of its license. Section 50.82(a)(8)(vi) of 10 CFR requires that if the remaining balance, plus expected rate of return, plus any other financial surety mechanism does not cover the estimated cost to complete radiological decommissioning, additional financial assurance must be provided to cover the cost of completion. These annual reports provide a means for the NRC to continually monitor the adequacy of available funding. Since the exemption would allow NEDA to use funds from the Trust that are in excess of those required for radiological decommissioning, the adequacy of the funds dedicated for radiological decommissioning are not affected by the proposed exemption. Therefore, there is reasonable assurance that there will be no environmental impact due to lack of adequate funding for radiological decommissioning.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of radiological accidents. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action has no direct radiological impacts. There would be no change to the types or amounts of radiological effluents that may be released; therefore, there would be no change in occupational or public radiation exposure from the proposed action. There are no materials or chemicals introduced into the plant that could affect the characteristics or types of effluents released offsite. In addition, the method of operation of waste processing systems would not be affected by the exemption. The proposed action will not result in changes to the design basis requirements of structures, systems, and components (SSCs) that function to limit or monitor the release of effluents. All the SSCs associated with limiting the release of effluents will continue to be able to perform their functions. Moreover, no changes would be made to plant buildings or the site property from the proposed action. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action would have no direct impacts on land use or water resources, including terrestrial and aquatic biota, as it involves no new construction or modification of plant operational systems. There would be no changes to the quality or quantity of non-radiological effluents and no changes to the plant’s National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permits would be needed. In addition, there would be no noticeable effect on socioeconomic conditions in the region, no environment justice impacts, no air quality impacts, and no impacts to historic and cultural resources from the proposed action. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the “no-action” alternative). Denial of the proposed action would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

There are no unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources under the proposed action.

Agencies or Persons Consulted

No additional agencies or persons were consulted regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. On July 10, 2020, the NRC notified Iowa State representatives of the EA and FONSI.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The licensee has requested an exemption from 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 10 CFR 50.75(h)(1)(iv), which would allow NEDA to use funds from the Trust for spent fuel management and site restoration activities, without prior written notification to the NRC. The proposed action would not significantly affect plant safety, would not have a significant adverse effect on the probability of an accident occurring, and would not have any significant radiological or non-radiological impacts. The reason the human environment would not be significantly affected is that the proposed action involves an exemption from requirements that are of a financial or administrative nature and that do not have an impact on the human environment. Consistent with 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC conducted the EA for the proposed action, and this FONSI incorporates by reference the EA included in Section II. Therefore, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have significant effects on the quality of the human environment.

Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

Other than the licensee’s letter dated February 25, 2020, as supplemented by letter dated May 29, 2020, there are no other environmental documents associated with this review. These documents are available for public inspection as indicated in Section I.

IV. Availability of Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>ADAMS Accession No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/25/2020</td>
<td>Letter from NEDA to NRC titled “Request for Exemptions from 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 10 CFR 50.75(h)(1)(iv)”</td>
<td>ML20056E054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Scott P. Wall,
Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing
Branch III, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.
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BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
[Docket No. CP2020–234]

New Postal Products

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission’s consideration concerning negotiated service agreements. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: August 6, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–780–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents
I. Introduction
II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request’s acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s) can be accessed via the Commission’s website (http://www.prc.gov). Non-public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service’s request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)


Seal; Filing Acceptance Date: July 29, 2020; Filing Authority: 39 CFR 3035.105; Public Representative: Gregory Stanton; Comments Due: August 6, 2020.

This Notice will be published in the Federal Register.

Erika A. Barker,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–16934 Filed 8–3–20; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Notice of a modified system of records.

SUMMARY: The United States Postal Service is proposing to revise one General Privacy Act System of Records and one Customer Privacy Act System of Records. These updates are being made to facilitate the implementation of web-based collaboration and communication applications.

DATES: These revisions will become effective without further notice on September 3, 2020, unless comments received on or before that date result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted via email to the Privacy and Records Management Office, United States Postal Service Headquarters (privacy@usps.gov). Arrangements to view copies of any written comments received, to facilitate public inspection, will be made upon request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Janine Castorina, Chief Privacy and Records Management Officer, Postal Service Management Office, 202–268–3069 or privacy@usps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is in accordance with the Privacy Act requirement that agencies publish their systems of records in the Federal Register when there is a revision,