Duke Energy and its
and June 15, 2020 (ADAMS Accession
No. ML19270E395), and supplemented
September 12, 2019 (ADAMS Accession
No. ML20192A329) to evaluate the proposed
action, which is for the NRC to grant
Duke Energy an exemption from the
requirements of § 72.212(b)(3), (b)(5)(i),
and (b)(11), only as these requirements
train to MAGNASTOR® Cask
0FCTKNO45. The exemption would
allow Duke Energy to maintain its
MAGNASTOR® Cask 0FCTKNO45 at
McGuire Nuclear Station ISFSI in its
current storage condition. The
exemption would therefore not require
Duke Energy to restore its
structural integrity of the cask system is
mainly to maintain MAGNASTOR® Cask
0FCTKNO45 in a storage condition
where the helium density is above the
range specified in CoC No. 1031,
Amendment No. 7. Technical
Specification (TS) 3.1.1.

II. Environmental Assessment
Summary

Under the requirements of §§ 51.21
and 51.30(a), the NRC staff developed
an EA (ADAMS Accession No.
ML20192A329) to evaluate the proposed
action, which is for the NRC to grant
Duke Energy an exemption from the
requirements of § 72.212(b)(3), (b)(5)(i),
and (b)(11), only as these requirements
pertain to MAGNASTOR® Cask
0FCTKNO45. The exemption would
allow Duke Energy to maintain its
MAGNASTOR® Cask 0FCTKNO45 at
McGuire Nuclear Station ISFSI in its
current storage condition. The
exemption would therefore not require
Duke Energy to restore its
structural integrity of the cask system is
mainly to maintain MAGNASTOR® Cask
0FCTKNO45 in a storage condition
where the helium density is above the
range specified in CoC No. 1031,
Amendment No. 7. Technical
Specification (TS) 3.1.1.

The EA defines the NRC’s proposed
action (i.e., to grant Duke Energy’s
exemption request per 10 CFR 72.7) and
the purpose and need for the proposed
action. Evaluations of the potential
environmental impacts of the proposed
action and alternatives to the proposed
action are presented, followed by the
NRC’s conclusion.

The EA evaluates the potential
environmental impacts of granting the
exemption. The potential environmental
impact of using NRC-approved storage
casks was initially analyzed in the EA
for the rulemaking to provide for the
storage of spent fuel under a general
license on July 18, 1990 (55 FR 29181).
The EA for using the MAGNASTOR®
System, Amendment No. 7 (81 FR
13265) tiers off of the EA for the 1990
final rule.

The NRC staff finds that the higher
helium density would not adversely
impact thermal performance and the
structural integrity of the cask system is
maintained, and thus, there would be no
release from the canister. Therefore,
the proposed action does not change the
types or quantities of effluents that may
be released offsite, it does not increase
occupational or public radiation
exposure, and there will be no
significant radiological impact to the
environment. The proposed action will
not have a significant effect on the quality of
the human environment.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff has prepared an EA and
associated FONSI in support of the
proposed action. The NRC staff has
concluded that the proposed action will
not significantly impact the quality of
the human environment, and that the
proposed action is the preferred
alternative.

The NRC provided the North Carolina
Department of Health and Human
Services with a draft copy of the EA for
30-day review on June 18, 2020
(ADAMS Accession No. ML20171A452)
and received its response on June 23,
2020 (ADAMS Accession No.
ML20177A379). The NRC also informed
the North Carolina State Historic
Preservation Office (SHPO) of its no
effects determination on January 7, 2020
(ADAMS Accession No. ML20008D559)
and received the SHPO’s concurrence
on January 14, 2020 (ADAMS Package
Accession No. ML20015A314).

The NRC staff has determined that
this exemption would have no impact
on ecological resources, and therefore
no consultations are necessary under
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act

Therefore, the NRC finds that there
are no significant environmental
impacts from the proposed action, and
that preparation of an environmental
impact statement is not warranted.
Accordingly, the NRC has determined
that a FONSI is appropriate.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
John B. McKirgan,
Chief, Storage and Transportation Branch,
Division of Fuel Management, Office of
Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.
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SUMMARY: On May 8, 2020, the U.S.
Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
issued for public comment a draft
Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
for Interim Storage Partners’ (ISP’s) license application to construct and operate a consolidated interim storage facility (CISF) for spent nuclear fuel (SNF) and Greater-Than Class C (GTCC) waste, along with a small quantity of mixed oxide fuel. The public comment period was originally scheduled to close on September 4, 2020. Given recent events associated with the COVID–19 public health emergency, the NRC has decided to extend the public comment period to allow more time for members of the public to develop and submit their comments.

DATES: The due date of comments requested in the document published on May 8, 2020 (85 FR 27447) is extended. Comments should be filed no later than November 3, 2020. Comments received after this date will be considered, if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:


• NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. To begin the search, select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The draft EIS is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML20122A220.

• Project web page: Information related to the ISP CISF project can be accessed on the NRC’s ISP CISF web page at https://www.nrc.gov/waste/spent-fuel-storage/cis/waste-control-specialist.html.

• Public Libraries: A Web link to the electronic copy of the draft EIS has been made available at the following public library websites:

  Eunice Public Library: https://www.cityofeunice.org/134/Library-Services, under “U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Information.”

  Hobbs Public Library: http://www.hobbspubliclibrary.org/, under “News & Updates.”


B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID NRC–2016–0231 in your comment submission. Written comments may be submitted during the draft EIS comment period as described in the ADDRESSES section of the document.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC will post all comment submissions at https://www.regulations.gov as well as enter the comment submissions into ADAMS. The NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

II. Discussion

On May 8, 2020, the NRC issued for public comment a draft EIS for ISP’s license application to construct and operate a CISF for SNF and GTCC waste, along with a small quantity of mixed oxide fuel. The proposed CISF would be located on an approximately 130-hectare (320-acre) site, within the approximately 5,666-hectare (14,000-acre) Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. The proposed action is the issuance of an NRC license authorizing a CISF to store up to 5,000 metric tons of uranium (MTUs) [5,500 short tons] of SNF for a license period of 40 years. ISP plans to subsequently request amendments to the license to store an additional 5,000 MTU for each of seven expansion phases of the proposed CISF (a total of eight phases), to be completed over the course of 20 years. The proposed facility could eventually store up to 40,000 MTUs [44,000 short tons] of SNF.

The draft EIS for ISP’s license application includes the NRC staff’s preliminary analysis that evaluates the environmental impacts of the proposed action and the No-Action alternative to the proposed action. After comparing the impacts of the proposed action to those of the No-Action alternative, the NRC staff, in accordance with the requirements in part 51 of title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, recommends the proposed action, which is the issuance of an NRC license to ISP to construct and operate a CISF at the proposed location to temporarily store up to 5,000 MTUs [5,500 short tons] of SNF for a licensing period of 40 years. This recommendation is based on (i) the ISP license application, which includes the environmental report and supplemental documents, and ISP’s responses to the NRC staff’s requests for additional information; (ii) the NRC staff’s consultation with Federal, State, Tribal, and local agencies and input from other stakeholders; (iii) the NRC staff’s independent review; and (iv) the NRC staff’s assessments provided in the EIS.

The public comment period was originally scheduled to close on September 4, 2020. The NRC has decided to extend the public comment period until November 3, 2020, to allow more time for members of the public to submit their comments. Comments of Federal, State, and local agencies, Indian Tribes or other interested
I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request’s acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request. The public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s) can be accessed via the Commission’s website (http://www.prc.gov). Non-public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service’s request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)


This Notice will be published in the Federal Register.

Erica A. Barker, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–15871 Filed 7–21–20; 8:45 am]
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Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–2736

Extension: Rule 15b6–1 and Form BDW


Registered broker-dealers use Form BDW (17 CFR 249.501a) to withdraw from registration with the Commission, the self-regulatory organizations, and the states. On average, the Commission estimates that it would take a broker-dealer approximately one hour to complete and file a Form BDW to withdraw from Commission registration as required by Rule 15b6–1. The Commission estimates that approximately 317 broker-dealers withdrew from Commission registration annually and, therefore, file a Form BDW via the internet with the Central Registration Depository, a computer system operated by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. that maintains information regarding registered broker-dealers and their registered personnel. The 317 broker-dealers that withdraw from registration by filing Form BDW would incur an aggregate annual reporting burden of approximately 317 hours.2

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information under the PRA unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The public may view background documentation for this information collection at the following website: www.reginfo.gov. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to (i) www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain and (ii) David Bottom,

1 This estimate is based on Form BDW data collected over the past three years for fully registered broker-dealers. This estimate is based on the numbers of forms filed; therefore, the number may include multiple forms per broker-dealer if the broker-dealer’s initial filing was incomplete. In fiscal year (from 10/1 through 9/30) 2017, 328 broker-dealers withdrew from registration. In fiscal year 2018, 328 broker-dealers withdrew from registration. In fiscal year 2019, 296 broker-dealers withdrew from registration. (328 + 328 + 296) / 3 = 317 (rounded down from 317.33).

2 (317 × 1 hour) = 317 hours.

---