

disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: July 2, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Margin Calculations
- V. Discussion of the Issues
  - Comment 1: Brokerage and Handling
  - Comment 2: Surrogate Value for Water
  - Comment 3: Differential Pricing
  - Comment 4: Financial Statements
  - Comment 5: Particular Market Situation
  - Comment 6: Ministerial Errors
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-14919 Filed 7-9-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-932]

#### Certain Steel Threaded Rod From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Final Results of Administrative Review and Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On June 22, 2020, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) sustained the final results of redetermination pertaining to the fifth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel threaded rod (steel threaded rod) from the People's Republic of China (China) covering the period of review (POR) April 1, 2013 through March 31, 2014. The Department of Commerce

(Commerce) is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the final results of the administrative review, and that Commerce is amending the final results with respect to the dumping margin calculated for Jiaxing Brother Fastener Co., Ltd. (a/k/a Jiaxing Brother Standard Parts, Co., Ltd.), IFI & Morgan Ltd., and RMB Fasteners Ltd. (collectively, RMB/IFI Group).

**DATES:** Applicable July 2, 2020.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Benito Ballesteros, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-7425.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On November 12, 2015, Commerce published its *Final Results* in the 2013-2014 administrative review of steel threaded rod from China.<sup>1</sup> During the review, Commerce selected Thailand as the primary surrogate country, finding that data from Thailand provided the best available information on the record to value the RMB/IFI Group's reported factors of production (FOPs).

Commerce valued hours of labor with data from the National Statistical Office of Thailand's Labor Force Survey of the Whole Kingdom (NSO or NSO data) from the second and third quarters of 2013, because it found the data to be more industry-specific and contemporaneous with the POR than the alternative data on the record, *i.e.*, International Labor Organization Chapter 6A data.<sup>2</sup> In addition, Commerce derived surrogate financial ratios from the financial statements of three Thai companies. In the calculation of surrogate financial ratios, Commerce categorized selling, general, and administrative (SG&A) labor-related line items as SG&A expenses. As a result, the SG&A surrogate financial ratio numerator included these line items' values, along with other SG&A expenses, and the denominator represented the total cost of manufacturing, *i.e.*, the sum of raw materials, manufacturing labor, energy, manufacturing overhead, and finished goods.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013-2014*, 80 FR 69938 (November 12, 2015) (*Final Results*), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (IDM).

<sup>2</sup> See *Jiaxing Brother Fastener Co., Ltd. et al. v. United States*, Court No. 15-00313, Slip Op. 20-11 (CIT January 29, 2020) (Remand Order) at 20.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.* at 20-21.

The RMB/IFI Group challenged the *Final Results*, contesting Commerce's selection of Thailand as the primary surrogate country, selection of Global Trade Atlas data from Thailand to value steel threaded rod inputs, and decision not to adjust the surrogate financial ratios.<sup>4</sup> On January 29, 2020, the CIT issued the Remand Order, in which it sustained Commerce's selection of Thailand as the primary surrogate country and calculation of the RMB/IFI Group's steel threaded rod FOP.<sup>5</sup> However, the CIT held that Commerce's decision not to make any adjustments to the calculation of the surrogate financial ratios was inadequately explained,<sup>6</sup> and it remanded Commerce's calculation of the surrogate financial ratios as related to labor for further explanation or reconsideration. In particular, the CIT directed Commerce to explain "the basis for finding record evidence that allows it to conclude that it could capture, and not overstate, labor costs by applying the NSO quarterly data and, as a result, decline to adjust the surrogate financial ratios."<sup>7</sup> The CIT also stated that "{o}n remand, Commerce may wish to reopen the record."<sup>8</sup>

On February 25 and 26, 2020, Commerce opened the record and placed additional reports from Thailand's NSO on the record. Commerce received no comments on these reports.

On April 23, 2020, Commerce issued the *Final Remand Results*<sup>9</sup> and determined that, because the NSO data were industry-specific and contemporaneous with the POR, it was appropriate to rely on the NSO data to value labor, and to treat labor-related SG&A costs in the same manner as the surrogate companies did in their financial statements. Moreover, we found that the NSO data did not provide the information necessary to accurately adjust the surrogate financial ratios to account for any potential overstatement in labor costs because the record lacked evidence to support a finding as to what extent, or by what percentage, the NSO data also covered SG&A labor.<sup>10</sup> Moreover, given that the RMB/IFI Group did not report labor hours associated with SG&A staff, we declined to assume

<sup>4</sup> *Id.* at 2.

<sup>5</sup> *Id.* at 25.

<sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 21.

<sup>7</sup> *Id.* at 24.

<sup>8</sup> *Id.*

<sup>9</sup> See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand in Jiaxing Brother Fastener Co., Ltd. (a/k/a Jiaxing Brother Standard Part Co., Ltd.), IFI & Morgan Ltd., and RMB Fasteners Ltd. v. United States*, Consol. Ct. No. 15-00313 (April 23, 2020) (*Final Remand Results*).

<sup>10</sup> *Id.* at 8-10.

that the NSO data would accurately compensate for, and not overstate, the respondent's unreported SG&A labor hours. As a result, we did not transfer the surrogate financial statements' SG&A labor-related line items to the denominator in the surrogate financial ratio calculation, because doing so could distort the calculation and result in an undervaluation of labor-related SG&A expenses.<sup>11</sup> We did not make the adjustments proposed by the RMB/IFI Group, but revised the respondent's weighted-average margin to 39.53 percent, which increased from the 39.42 percent margin calculated in the *Final Results* due to the incorporation of additional quarters of labor data that were placed on the record during the remand proceeding.

#### Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,<sup>12</sup> as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,<sup>13</sup> the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) held that, pursuant to section 516A of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's June 22, 2020 judgment sustaining the *Final Remand Results* constitutes a final decision of the Court that is not in harmony with Commerce's *Final Results*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

#### Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court decision, Commerce is amending the *Final Results* with respect to the RMB/IFI Group. The revised weighted-average dumping margin for the RMB/IFI Group for the period April 1, 2013 through March 31, 2014 is as follows:

| Exporter            | Weighted-average margin (percent) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| RMB/IFI Group ..... | 39.53                             |

#### Assessment Instructions

In the event the CIT's ruling is not appealed or, if appealed, upheld by a final and conclusive court decision, Commerce intends to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to

assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise exported by the RMB/IFI Group in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Commerce will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of those sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate calculated is not zero or *de minimis*. Where an importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*,<sup>14</sup> we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Pursuant to Commerce's assessment practice, for entries that were not reported in the US sales data submitted by the RMB/IFI Group during this review, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the China-wide entity rate.<sup>15</sup>

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The cash deposit rate calculated for the RMB/IFI Group in the 2013–2014 administrative review has been superseded by cash deposit rates calculated in intervening administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on steel threaded rod from China.<sup>16</sup> Thus, we will not alter the RMB/IFI Group's cash deposit rate.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 2, 2020.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2020-14835 Filed 7-9-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-886]

#### Ferrovandium From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments in Part; 2018–2019

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) continues to find that two of the three companies under review had no shipments of subject merchandise during the period of review (POR), May 1, 2018 through April 30, 2019, and continues to base the dumping margin for the third company on total adverse facts available (AFA).

**DATES:** Applicable July 10, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Howard Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5193.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Commerce published its *Preliminary Results* of this review on March 23, 2020.<sup>1</sup> No parties commented on the *Preliminary Results*.

##### Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is all ferrovandium regardless of grade (*i.e.*, percentage of contained vanadium), chemistry, form, shape, or size. Ferrovandium is an alloy of iron and vanadium. Ferrovandium is classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item number 7202.92.0000. Although this HTSUS item number is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

##### Final Results of Review

In the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce determined that Korvan Ind. Co., Ltd. (Korvan) and Woojin Ind. Co., Ltd. (Woojin), had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR and based the dumping margin

<sup>1</sup> See *Ferrovandium From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments in Part; 2018–2019*, 85 FR 16326 (March 23, 2020) ("*Preliminary Results*"), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>11</sup> *Id.* at 11–12.

<sup>12</sup> See *Timken Co., v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

<sup>13</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

<sup>14</sup> See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

<sup>15</sup> See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

<sup>16</sup> See *Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015*, 81 FR 83800 (November 22, 2016); and *Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015–2016*, 82 FR 51611 (November 7, 2017).