

validity of the method and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to both FSIS, at the addresses provided above, and the Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Washington, DC 20253.

Responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Additional Public Notification

Public awareness of all segments of rulemaking and policy development is important. Consequently, FSIS will announce this **Federal Register** publication on-line through the FSIS web page located at: <http://www.fsis.usda.gov/federal-register>.

FSIS will also announce and provide a link to this **Federal Register** publication through the FSIS *Constituent Update*, which is used to provide information regarding FSIS policies, procedures, regulations, **Federal Register** notices, FSIS public meetings, and other types of information that could affect or would be of interest to our constituents and stakeholders. The *Constituent Update* is available on the FSIS web page. Through the web page, FSIS can provide information to a much broader, more diverse audience. In addition, FSIS offers an email subscription service which provides automatic and customized access to selected food safety news and information. This service is available at: <http://www.fsis.usda.gov/subscribe>. Options range from recalls to export information, regulations, directives, and notices. Customers can add or delete subscriptions themselves and have the option to password protect their accounts.

USDA Non-Discrimination Statement

No agency, officer, or employee of the USDA shall, on the grounds of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, or political beliefs, exclude from participation in, deny the benefits of, or subject to discrimination any person in the United States under any program or activity conducted by the USDA.

How To File a Complaint of Discrimination

To file a complaint of discrimination, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, which may be accessed online at http://www.ocio.usda.gov/sites/default/files/docs/2012/Complain_combined_6_8_12.pdf, or write a letter signed by you or your authorized representative.

Send your completed complaint form or letter to USDA by mail, fax, or email: *Mail:* U.S. Department of Agriculture, Director, Office of Adjudication, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410; *Fax:* (202) 690-7442; *Email:* program.intake@usda.gov.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication (braille, large print, audiotape, etc.), should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

Paul Kiecker,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2020-14101 Filed 6-30-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-DM-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-979]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Amended Final Results

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 15, 2020, the United States Court of International Trade (the Court) sustained the second remand redetermination pertaining to the 2014-2015 antidumping duty (AD) administrative review of crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules (solar cells), from the People's Republic of China (China). The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is notifying the public that the final judgment in this litigation is not in harmony with Commerce's final results in the 2014-2015 AD administrative review of solar cells from China, and therefore, Commerce is amending those final results, as explained below.

DATES: Applicable June 25, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Pedersen, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance—

International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-2769.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 27, 2017, Commerce published its *Final Results* of the 2014-2015 AD administrative review of solar cells from China.¹ On April 16, 2019, the Court directed Commerce to reconsider or further explain its surrogate value selections for Canadian Solar International Limited (Canadian Solar)² and Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd.'s (Trina)³ module glass, its application of an adverse inference, in part, in calculating Canadian Solar's dumping margin, and its decision not to grant Ningbo Qixin Solar Electrical Appliance Co., Ltd. (Qixin) a separate rate.⁴

In the *First Remand Redetermination*, Commerce continued to calculate Canadian Solar's dumping margin using partial adverse facts available (AFA) in valuing factors of production (FOP) for which consumption quantities were not provided by certain unaffiliated suppliers.⁵ With regard to Qixin, Commerce continued to find that Qixin is not eligible for a separate rate.⁶ Commerce also determined, under respectful protest, to value Canadian

¹ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2014-2015*, 82 FR 29033 (June 27, 2017), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (*Final Results*).

² In this review Commerce treated the following six companies as a single entity: Canadian Solar International Limited; Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Changshu), Inc.; Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Luoyang), Inc.; CSI Cells Co., Ltd.; CSI-GCL Solar Manufacturing (YanCheng) Co., Ltd.; and CSI Solar Power (China) Inc. See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2014-2015*, 81 FR 93888 (December 22, 2016) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM) at 6-7, unchanged in *Final Results*.

³ In this review Commerce treated the following six companies as a single entity: Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd.; Trina Solar (Changzhou) Science & Technology Co., Ltd.; Yancheng Trina Solar Energy Technology Co., Ltd.; Changzhou Trina Solar Yabang Energy Co., Ltd.; Turpan Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd.; and Hubei Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd. See *Preliminary Results* PDM at 6-7, unchanged in *Final Results*.

⁴ See *Canadian Solar International Limited, et al. v. United States*, 378 F. Supp. 3d 1292 (CIT 2019).

⁵ *Results of Remand Redetermination, Canadian Solar International Limited, et al. v. United States*, Court No. 17-00173, Slip. Op. 19-47 (CIT April 16, 2019) (July 15, 2019) (*First Remand Redetermination*).

⁶ *Id.*

Solar and Trina’s module glass using the Bulgarian Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheading 7007.19.80, instead of the Thailand HTS subheading 7007.19.90.⁷

On December 3, 2019, the Court sustained Commerce’s selection of Bulgarian import data to value module glass, and its decision not to grant Qixin a separate rate; however, the Court remanded for further explanation or reconsideration Commerce’s application of partial AFA in calculating Canadian Solar’s dumping margin.⁸

In the *Second Remand Redetermination*, pursuant to the Court’s holding in *Canadian Solar II*, Commerce determined, under respectful protest, to base Canadian Solar’s unreported FOP consumption on partial

facts available rather than partial AFA.⁹ Specifically, Commerce based the unreported consumption on the average of the consumption that was reported for certain of Canadian Solar’s FOPs.¹⁰ Commerce assigned the margin calculated for Canadian Solar to those respondents eligible for a separate rate and which participated in the litigation.¹¹ On June 15, 2020, the Court sustained the *Second Remand Redetermination*.¹²

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,¹³ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,¹⁴ the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) held that, pursuant to section 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice

of a court decision that is not “in harmony” with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a “conclusive” court decision. The Court’s June 15, 2020, final judgment sustaining Commerce’s Second Remand Redetermination constitutes a final decision of the Court that is not in harmony with Commerce’s *Final Results*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court decision, Commerce is amending its *Final Results*. The amended weighted-average dumping margin for the respondents is below:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Canadian Solar International Limited/Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Changshu), Inc./Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Luoyang) Inc./CSI Cells Co., Ltd./CSI-GCL Solar Manufacturing (YanCheng) Co., Ltd./CSI Solar Power (China) Inc	3.19
Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd./Trina Solar (Changzhou) Science and Technology Co., Ltd./Yancheng Trina Solar Energy Technology Co., Ltd./Changzhou Trina Solar Yabang Energy Co., Ltd./Turpan Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd./Hubei Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd	0.00
Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd	3.19
ERA Solar Co., Ltd	3.19
ET Solar Energy Limited	3.19
Hangzhou Sunny Energy Science and Technology Co., Ltd	3.19
Hengdian Group DMEGC Magnetics Co. Ltd	3.19
JA Solar Technology Yangzhou Co., Ltd	3.19
Jiawei Solarchina (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd	3.19
Jiawei Solarchina Co. Ltd	3.19
JingAo Solar Co., Ltd	3.19
Lightway Green New Energy Co., Ltd	3.19
Ningbo ETDZ Holdings, Ltd	3.19
Risen Energy Co., Ltd	3.19
Shanghai BYD Co., Ltd	3.19
Shanghai JA Solar Technology Co., Ltd	3.19
Shenzhen Sungold Solar Co., Ltd	3.19
Shenzhen Topray Solar Co., Ltd	3.19
Star Power International Limited	3.19
Systemes Versilis, Inc	3.19
Taizhou BD Trade Co., Ltd	3.19
tenKsolar (Shanghai) Co., Ltd	3.19
Toenergy Technology Hangzhou Co., Ltd	3.19
Wuxi Tianran Photovoltaic Co., Ltd	3.19
Yingli Energy (China) Company Limited/Baoding Tianwei Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd./Tianjin Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd./Hengshui Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd./Lixian Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd./Baoding Jiasheng Photovoltaic Technology Co., Ltd./Beijing Tianneng Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd./Hainan Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd	3.19
Zhejiang ERA Solar Technology Co., Ltd	3.19
Zhejiang Sunflower Light Energy Science & Technology Limited Liability Company	3.19

Accordingly, Commerce will continue the suspension of liquidation of the

subject merchandise pending the expiration of the period of appeal or, if

appealed, pending a final and conclusive court decision. In the event

⁷ See *First Remand Redetermination*.

⁸ See *Canadian Solar International Limited, et al. v. United States*, 415 F. Supp. 3d 1326 (CIT 2019) (*Canadian Solar II*).

⁹ See *Final Results of Second Redetermination Pursuant to Court Order, Canadian Solar International Limited, et al. v. United States*, Court

No. 17–00173, Slip. Op. 19–47 (CIT December 3, 2019) (February 10, 2020).

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² See *Canadian Solar International Limited, et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 17–00173, Slip Op. 20–83.

¹³ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337, 341 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

¹⁴ See *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010).

the Court's ruling is not appealed or, if appealed, upheld by the CAFC, Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise exported by the respondents using assessment rates based on these amended final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Because the cash deposit rate for all of the respondents listed above, with the exception of Jiawei Solarchina Co. Ltd., Ningbo ETDZ Holdings, Ltd., Star Power International Limited, and Toenergy Technology Hangzhou Co., Ltd., have been superseded by cash deposit rates calculated in intervening administrative reviews of the AD order on solar cells from China, we will not alter the cash deposit rate currently in effect for these respondents based on these amended final results. Effective June 25, 2020, the cash deposit rate applicable to entries of subject merchandise exported by Jiawei Solarchina Co. Ltd., Ningbo ETDZ Holdings, Ltd., Star Power International Limited, and Toenergy Technology Hangzhou Co., Ltd. is 3.19 percent.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 25, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2020-14202 Filed 6-30-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-489-843]

Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand From the Republic of Turkey: Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Applicable July 1, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Whitley Herndon, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6274.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 6, 2020, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) initiated a countervailing duty (CVD) investigation on imports of prestressed concrete steel wire strand (PC strand) from the Republic of Turkey (Turkey).¹ Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than July 10, 2020.

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires Commerce to issue the preliminary determination in a CVD investigation within 65 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation. However, section 703(c)(1) of the Act permits Commerce to postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation if: (A) The petitioner makes a timely request for a postponement; or (B) Commerce concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating, that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, and that additional time is necessary to make a preliminary determination. Under 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner must submit a request for postponement 25 days or more before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination and must state the reasons for the request. Commerce will grant the request unless it finds compelling reasons to deny the request.²

On June 12, 2020, the petitioners³ submitted a timely request that Commerce postpone the preliminary CVD determination.⁴ The petitioners request postponement to allow the petitioners and other interested parties additional time to analyze the initial questionnaire responses submitted by respondents and the Government of Turkey, and for Commerce to request additional or clarifying information, if necessary.⁵

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioners have stated the reasons for requesting a postponement of the preliminary determination, and Commerce finds no

¹ See *Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from the Republic of Turkey: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 85 FR 28610 (May 13, 2020).

² See 19 CFR 351.205(e).

³ The petitioners consist of Insteel Wire Products Company, Sumiden Wire Products Corporation, and Wire Mesh Corporation.

⁴ See Petitioners' Letter, "Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from the Republic of Turkey—Petitioners' Request to Postpone Preliminary Determination," dated June 12, 2020.

⁵ *Id.* at 2.

compelling reason to deny the request. Therefore, pursuant with section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act, Commerce is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determination to no later than 130 days after the day on which these investigations were initiated, *i.e.*, September 14, 2020.⁶ Pursuant to section 705(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determination of this investigation will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination, unless postponed at a later date.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act.

Dated: June 25, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2020-14199 Filed 6-30-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-008, C-570-009]

Calcium Hypochlorite From the People's Republic of China: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order and Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce (Commerce) and the International Trade Commission (ITC) that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) order and countervailing duty (CVD) orders on calcium hypochlorite from the People's Republic of China (China) would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, countervailable subsidies, and material injury to an industry in the United States, Commerce is publishing a notice of continuation of the AD and CVD orders.

DATES: Applicable July 1, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rachel Greenberg, Office V, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of

⁶ The 130th day falls on Sunday, September 13, 2020. It is Commerce's practice that where a deadline falls on a weekend or federal holiday, the appropriate deadline is the next business day. See *Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).