

personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ISE-2020-21 and should be submitted on or before June 29, 2020.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁵

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020-12277 Filed 6-5-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-88987; File No. SR-NASDAQ-2020-028]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To Amend IM-5101-1 (Use of Discretionary Authority) To Deny Listing or Continued Listing or To Apply Additional and More Stringent Criteria to an Applicant or Listed Company Based on Considerations Related to the Company's Auditor or When a Company's Business Is Principally Administered in a Jurisdiction That Is a Restrictive Market

June 2, 2020.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on May 19, 2020, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC ("Nasdaq" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to apply additional and more stringent criteria to an applicant or listed company based on the qualifications of the company's auditor.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at <http://nasdaq.cchwallstreet.com>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at

the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Nasdaq's listing requirements include transparent criteria and corporate governance requirements. These requirements are designed to protect investors and the public interest; to ensure that a company seeking to list on Nasdaq is prepared for the rigors of operating as a public company; to provide transparent disclosure to investors in accordance with the SEC's and Nasdaq's reporting requirements; and to ensure sufficient investor interest to support liquid trading. Those criteria are set forth in the Nasdaq Rule 5000 Series.

In addition to the criteria set forth in the Rule 5000 Series, Rule 5101 describes Nasdaq's broad discretionary authority over the initial and continued listing of securities on Nasdaq in order to maintain the quality of and public confidence in its market, to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and to protect investors and the public interest. Nasdaq may use such discretion to deny initial listing, apply additional or more stringent criteria for the initial or continued listing of particular securities, or suspend or delist particular securities based on any event, condition, or circumstance that exists or occurs that makes initial or continued listing of the securities on Nasdaq inadvisable or unwarranted in the opinion of Nasdaq, even though the securities meet all enumerated criteria for initial or continued listing on Nasdaq.³

Nasdaq rules⁴ and federal securities laws⁵ require a company's financial statements included in its initial registration statement or annual report to be audited by an independent public accountant that is registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB"). Company management is responsible for preparing the company's financial statements and for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting. The company's auditor, based on its independent audit of the evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, expresses an opinion on whether the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. "To form an appropriate basis for expressing an opinion on the financial statements, the auditor must plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement due to error or fraud."⁶

The auditor, in turn, is normally subject to inspection by the PCAOB, which assesses compliance with PCAOB and SEC rules and professional standards in connection with the auditor's performance of audits. According to the PCAOB,

PCAOB inspections may result in the identification of deficiencies in one or more of an audit firm's audits of issuers and/or in its quality control procedures which, in turn, can result in an audit firm carrying out additional procedures that should have been performed already at the time of the audit. Those procedures have sometimes led to the audited public company having to revise and refile its financial statements or its assessment of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting. In addition, through the quality control remediation portion of the inspection process, inspected

⁴ See Rule 5210(b) ("Each Company applying for initial listing must be audited by an independent public accountant that is registered as a public accounting firm with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, as provided for in Section 102 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 [15 U.S.C. 7212].") and Rule 5250(c)(3) ("Each listed Company shall be audited by an independent public accountant that is registered as a public accounting firm with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, as provided for in Section 102 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 [15 U.S.C. 7212].").

⁵ See Section 4100—Qualifications of Accountants, *SEC Financial Reporting Manual* (June 30, 2009), available at <https://www.sec.gov/corpfin/cf-manual/topic-4/>.

⁶ See PCAOB Auditing Standard 1101.03—Audit Risk, available at <https://pcaobus.org/Standards/Auditing/Pages/AS1101.aspx>.

²⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Rule 5101.

firms identify and implement practices and procedures to improve future audit quality.⁷

Nasdaq and investors rely on the work of auditors to provide reasonable assurances that the financial statements provided by a company are free of material misstatements. Nasdaq and investors further rely on the PCAOB's critical role in overseeing the quality of the auditor's work. The Chairman and the Chief Accountant of the Commission, along with the Chairman of the PCAOB, have raised concerns that national barriers on access to information can impede effective regulatory oversight of U.S.-listed companies with operations in certain countries, including the PCAOB's inability to inspect the audit work and practices of auditors in those countries.⁸ In particular, the PCAOB is currently prevented from inspecting the audit work and practices of PCAOB-registered auditors in Belgium, France, China and Hong Kong (to the extent their audit clients have operations in mainland China).⁹

⁷ See Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, *Public Companies that are Audit Clients of PCAOB-Registered Firms from Non-U.S. Jurisdictions where the PCAOB is Denied Access to Conduct Inspections* (April 1, 2020), available at <https://pcaobus.org/International/Inspections/Pages/IssuerClientsWithoutAccess.aspx>.

⁸ See SEC Chairman Jay Clayton, SEC Chief Accountant Wes Bricker and PCAOB Chairman William D. Duhnke III, *Statement on the Vital Role of Audit Quality and Regulatory Access to Audit and Other Information Internationally—Discussion of Current Information Access Challenges with Respect to U.S.-listed Companies with Significant Operations in China* (December 7, 2018), available at <https://www.sec.gov/news/public-statement/statement-vital-role-audit-quality-and-regulatory-access-audit-and-other> ("Some of these laws, for example, act to prohibit foreign-domiciled registrants in certain jurisdictions from responding directly to SEC requests for information and documents or doing so, in whole or in part, only after protracted delays in obtaining authorization. Other laws can prevent the SEC from being able to conduct any type of examination, either onsite or by correspondence . . . Positions taken by some foreign authorities currently prevent or significantly impair the PCAOB's ability to inspect non-U.S. audit firms in certain countries, even though these firms are registered with the PCAOB."). On April 21, 2020, these concerns were reiterated by the Chairman and the Chief Accountant of the Commission, along with the Chairman of the PCAOB and the Directors of the SEC Divisions of Corporation Finance and Investment Management. See SEC Chairman Jay Clayton, PCAOB Chairman William D. Duhnke III, SEC Chief Accountant Sagar Teotia, SEC Division of Corporation Finance Director William Hinman, SEC Division of Investment Management Director Dalia Blass, *Emerging Market Investments Entail Significant Disclosure, Financial Reporting and Other Risks; Remedies are Limited* (April 21, 2020), available at <https://www.sec.gov/news/public-statement/emerging-market-investments-disclosure-reporting>.

⁹ See *supra*

[\ad.sec.gov\users\mr\SchandlerS\NASDAQ2020-028](https://ad.sec.gov/users/mr/SchandlerS/NASDAQ2020-028) (auditors)*supra* note 7. The PCAOB notes that "[t]he position taken by authorities in mainland China may in some circumstances cause

Nasdaq shares these concerns and believes that accurate financial statement disclosure is critical for investors to make informed investment decisions. Nasdaq is concerned that constraints on the PCAOB's ability to inspect auditor work in countries with national barriers on access to information weaken assurances that the disclosures and financial information of companies with operations in such countries are not misleading.

Currently, Nasdaq may rely upon its broad authority provided under Rule 5101 to deny initial or continued listing or to apply additional and more stringent criteria when the auditor of an applicant or a Nasdaq-listed company: (1) Has not been subject to an inspection by the PCAOB (either historically or because it is newly formed and as therefore not yet undergone a PCAOB inspection), (2) is an auditor that the PCAOB cannot inspect, or (3) otherwise does not demonstrate sufficient resources, geographic reach or experience as it relates to the company's audit, including in circumstances where a PCAOB inspection has uncovered significant deficiencies in the auditors' conduct in other audits or in its system of quality controls.

Nasdaq believes that codifying the nature and scope of its existing discretion when assessing the qualifications of a company's auditor will increase transparency to investors, companies and market participants. Accordingly, in order to preserve and strengthen the quality of and public confidence in the Nasdaq market, and in order to enhance investor confidence, Nasdaq proposes to amend IM-5101-1 to add a new subparagraph (b) that sets forth factors Nasdaq may consider in applying additional and more stringent criteria to an applicant or listed company based on the qualifications of the company's auditor. Such factors include:

(1) Whether the auditor has been subject to a PCAOB inspection, such as where the auditor is newly formed and has therefore not yet undergone a PCAOB inspection or where the auditor,

a registered firm located in another jurisdiction to attempt to resist PCAOB inspection of public company audit work that the firm has performed relating to the company's operations in mainland China. Only in mainland China and Hong Kong, however, is the position of the Chinese authorities effectively an obstacle to inspection of all, or nearly all, registered firms in the jurisdiction." In addition, the PCAOB's cooperative arrangement with the French audit authority expired in December 2019, preventing inspections of registered firms in France until a new arrangement is concluded. According to the PCAOB, it expects to enter into bilateral cooperative arrangements soon that will permit the PCAOB to commence inspections in Belgium and resume inspections in France.

or an accounting firm engaged to assist with the audit, is located in a jurisdiction that limits the PCAOB's ability to inspect the auditor;

(2) if the company's auditor has been inspected by the PCAOB, whether the results of that inspection indicate that the auditor has failed to respond to any requests by the PCAOB or that the inspection has uncovered significant deficiencies in the auditors' conduct in other audits or in its system of quality controls;

(3) whether the auditor can demonstrate that it has adequate personnel in the offices participating in the audit with expertise in applying U.S. GAAP, GAAS or IFRS, as applicable, in the company's industry;

(4) whether the auditor's training program for personnel participating in the company's audit is adequate;

(5) for non-U.S. auditors, whether the auditor is part of a global network or other affiliation of individual auditors where the auditors draw on globally common technologies, tools, methodologies, training and quality assurance monitoring; and

(6) whether the auditor can demonstrate to Nasdaq sufficient resources, geographic reach or experience as it relates to the company's audit.

Nasdaq will consider these factors holistically and may be satisfied with an auditor's qualifications notwithstanding the fact that the auditor raises concerns with respect to some of the factors set forth above. For example, Nasdaq may be satisfied that an auditor that is not subject to PCAOB inspection has mitigated the risk that it may have significant undetected deficiencies in its system of quality controls by being a part of a global network where the auditors draw on globally common technologies, tools, methodologies, training and quality assurance monitoring.

The proposed rule will include examples of additional and more stringent criteria that Nasdaq may apply to an applicant or a Nasdaq-listed company to obtain comfort that the company satisfies the financial listing requirements and is suitable for listing. These could include, as explained in greater detail below, requiring: (i) Higher equity, assets, earnings or liquidity measures than otherwise required under the Rule 5000 Series; (ii) that any offering be underwritten on a firm commitment basis, which typically involves more due diligence by the broker-dealer than would be done in connection with a best-efforts offering; or (iii) companies to impose lock-up restrictions on officers and directors to

allow market mechanisms to determine an appropriate price for the company before such insiders can sell shares.

Nasdaq and investors rely on the company's auditors to provide reasonable assurances that the financial statements provided by a company are free of material misstatements and do not, for example, overstate the company's equity, assets or revenues. Where Nasdaq is concerned that the company's auditor does not satisfy the criteria proposed in IM-5101-1(b), Nasdaq may still obtain comfort that the company truly satisfies the financial listing criteria by imposing a higher standard. Nasdaq may also have concerns that a company listing on Nasdaq through an initial public offering, business combination, direct listing or issuing securities previously trading over the counter ("OTC") may not develop sufficient public float, investor base, and trading interest to provide the depth and liquidity necessary to promote fair and orderly trading, resulting in a security that is illiquid. In such cases, Nasdaq may impose additional liquidity measures on the company, such as requiring a higher public float percentage, market value of unrestricted publicly held shares or average OTC trading volume. Nasdaq may also obtain additional comfort regarding the quality of the company's financial statements by requiring the offering to be underwritten, which helps to ensure that third parties other than the auditor are conducting significant due diligence on the company, its registration statement and its financial statements.

In certain instances, Nasdaq believes it may be appropriate to prevent the company's insiders from selling their shares if material misstatements are detected by the company's auditors and have not been disclosed to investors. Therefore, Nasdaq may also impose lock-up restrictions on officers and directors to allow market mechanisms to determine an appropriate price for the company before such insiders can sell shares. Nasdaq may impose each of these requirements separately or in combination. In some cases, Nasdaq may determine that listing is not appropriate and deny initial or continued listing to a company.

The risks to U.S. investors are heightened when a company's business is principally administered in a jurisdiction that has secrecy laws, blocking statutes, national security laws or other laws or regulations restricting access to information by regulators of U.S.-listed companies in such jurisdiction, which raise concerns about the accuracy of disclosures,

and access to information.¹⁰ Nasdaq also proposes to amend IM-5101-1 to add a new subparagraph (c) to clarify that Nasdaq may also use its discretionary authority to impose additional or more stringent criteria, including the criteria set forth in IM-5101-1(b), in other circumstances, including when a company's business is principally administered in a jurisdiction that Nasdaq determines to have secrecy laws, blocking statutes, national security laws or other laws or regulations restricting access to information by regulators of U.S.-listed companies in such jurisdiction (a "Restrictive Market"). In determining whether a company's business is principally administered in a Restrictive Market, Nasdaq may consider the geographic locations of the company's: (a) Principal business segments, operations or assets; (b) board and shareholders' meetings; (c) headquarters or principal executive offices; (d) senior management and employees; and (e) books and records.¹¹ Nasdaq will consider these factors holistically, recognizing that a company's headquarters may not be the office from which it conducts its principal business activities. For example, a company's headquarters could be located in Country A, while the majority of its senior management, employees, assets, operations and books and records are located in Country B, which is a Restrictive Market. In this case, Nasdaq would consider the company's business to be principally administered in Country B, which is a Restrictive Market, and Nasdaq would use its discretionary authority to apply additional or more stringent criteria to the company.

Lastly, Nasdaq proposes to identify certain paragraphs within IM-5101-1 as subparagraphs (a), (d) and (e), add headings to the subparagraphs, and to relocate text describing Nasdaq's review process to paragraph (e), in order to

¹⁰ See *supra* note 3.

¹¹ This threshold would capture both foreign private issuers based in Restrictive Markets and companies based in the U.S. or another jurisdiction that principally administer their businesses in Restrictive Markets. The factors that Nasdaq would consider when determining whether a business is principally administered in a Restrictive Market is supported by SEC guidance regarding foreign private issuer status, which suggests that a foreign company may consider certain factors including the locations of: the company's principal business segments or operations; its board and shareholders' meetings; its headquarters; and its most influential key executives (potentially a subset of all executives). See Division of Corporation Finance of the SEC, *Accessing the U.S. Capital Markets—A Brief Overview for Foreign Private Issuers* (February 13, 2013), available at <https://www.sec.gov/divisions/corpfin/international/foreign-private-issuers-overview.shtml#IIA2c>.

enhance readability of the rule. Nasdaq also proposes to revise "listing qualifications panel" to "Hearings Panel (as defined in Rule 5805(d))" for consistency within Nasdaq's rulebook.

In the event that Nasdaq relies on such discretionary authority and determines to deny the initial or continued listing of a company, it would issue a denial or delisting letter to the company that will inform the company of the factual basis for the Nasdaq's determination and its right for review of the decision pursuant to the Rule 5800 Series.¹²

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change will enhance transparency regarding how Nasdaq may exercise its existing discretion when considering the qualifications of the company's auditor and the jurisdiction where the company principally administers its business in determining whether to grant initial or continued listing of a company.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,¹³ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹⁴ in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest. Further, the Exchange believes that this proposal is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

Nasdaq and investors rely on the work of auditors to provide reasonable assurances that the financial statements provided by a company are free of material misstatements. The PCAOB states that "[r]easonable assurance is obtained by reducing audit risk to an appropriately low level through applying due professional care, including obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence."¹⁵ Nasdaq believes that the PCAOB's inability to inspect the audit work and practices of auditors in certain countries weakens the assurance that the auditor obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to express its opinion on a company's

¹² See Rule 5815, which sets forth the review of staff determinations by a Hearings Panel, including the procedures for requesting and preparing for a hearing and the scope of the Hearing Panel's discretion.

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁵ See *supra* note 6.

financial statements, and decreases confidence that the auditor complied with PCAOB and SEC rules and professional standards in connection with the auditor's performance of audits. The proposed rule would provide transparency to cases where Nasdaq may impose additional and more stringent criteria on a company based on the qualifications of its auditor in order to help provide greater assurances that the company's financial statements are free of material misstatements due to fraud or error, thereby preventing fraudulent and manipulative acts and protecting investors and the public interest.

The proposed rule change would also protect investors and the public interest by providing Nasdaq and investors with greater assurances that the company indeed satisfies Nasdaq's financial listing requirements set forth in the Rule 5000 Series. Nasdaq believes that without reasonable assurances that a company's financial statements and related disclosures are free from material misstatements, there is a risk that a company that would otherwise not have qualified to list on Nasdaq may satisfy Nasdaq's listing standards by presenting financial statements that contain undetected material misstatements. In *the Matter of the Tassaway, Inc.*, the Commission observed that

Though exclusion from the system may hurt existing investors, primary emphasis must be placed on the interests of prospective future investors. The latter group is entitled to assume that the securities in the system meet the system's standards. Hence the presence in NASDAQ of non-complying securities could have a serious deceptive effect.¹⁶

The proposed rule change would provide greater assurances to investors that a company truly meets Nasdaq's financial listing requirement by clarifying that Nasdaq may use its existing discretion to apply additional and more stringent criteria, such as requiring: (i) Higher equity, assets, earnings or liquidity measures than otherwise required under the Rule 5000 Series; (ii) that any offering be underwritten on a firm commitment basis, which typically involves more due diligence by the broker-dealer than would be done in connection with a best-efforts offering; or (iii) companies to impose lock-up restrictions on officers and directors to allow market mechanisms to determine an appropriate price for the company

before such insiders can sell shares. In some cases, Nasdaq may determine that listing is not appropriate and deny initial or continued listing to a company. Nasdaq believes that providing specific examples of such additional and more stringent criteria will alert companies seeking to list on Nasdaq, as well as currently listed companies, that the company may be subject to additional criteria as a condition for initial and continued listing on Nasdaq and will provide transparency to investors, companies and market participants, thereby protecting investors and the public interest.

Nasdaq believes that its proposal to add a new subparagraph (c) to clarify that Nasdaq may also use its discretionary authority to impose additional or more stringent criteria, including the criteria set forth in IM-5101-1(b), in other circumstances, including when a company's business is principally administered in a Restrictive Market, will help ensure that Nasdaq has access to the information needed to carry out its regulatory duties, thereby preventing fraudulent and manipulative acts and protecting investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rules clarify Nasdaq's discretionary authority under Rule 5101 "to apply additional or more stringent criteria for the initial or continued listing of particular securities, or suspend or delist particular securities based on any event, condition, or circumstance that exists or occurs that makes initial or continued listing of the securities on Nasdaq inadvisable or unwarranted in the opinion of Nasdaq, even though the securities meet all enumerated criteria for initial or continued listing on Nasdaq."¹⁷ Nasdaq has maintained its broad discretionary authority for 26 years. On June 3, 1994, the Commission approved a proposal from National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") to amend Schedule D to the NASD By-Laws to clarify the NASD's discretionary authority to exclude an issuer from Nasdaq or require additional or more stringent criteria for inclusion in Nasdaq for issuers that are managed, controlled or influenced by persons with a history of significant securities or commodities violations.¹⁸ In approving the proposal, the Commission stated that "[a]lthough

the Commission is of the view that the NASD's current rules authorize it to exclude an issuer, the proposal would clarify that authority. The Commission believes that this rule change provides greater protection to both existing and prospective investors. This rule change provides investors greater assurance that the risk associated with investing in Nasdaq is market risk rather than the risk that the promoter or other persons exercising substantial influence over the issuer is acting in an illegal manner."¹⁹ Similarly, the Exchange believes that the current proposal would clarify Nasdaq's existing authority and would help reduce the risk for existing and prospective investors that the financial statements of a Nasdaq-listed company may contain material misstatements that were not discovered due to a lack of robust oversight of the company's auditor.

The proposed rule changes would apply to all companies listed and seeking to list on Nasdaq. However, Nasdaq may only apply additional and more stringent criteria when an applicant or a Nasdaq-listed company is unable to demonstrate to Nasdaq, through the enumerated factors, that its auditor has sufficient PCAOB inspection history, quality controls, resources, geographic reach and experience to adequately perform the company's audit. Nasdaq may also only apply its discretionary authority when a company's business is principally administered in a Restrictive Market.

Notwithstanding the forgoing, the Exchange believes that the proposal does not unfairly discriminate among companies because Nasdaq and the SEC have identified additional concerns around companies with auditors that do not have sufficient PCAOB inspection history, quality controls, resources, geographic reach and experience to adequately perform the company's audit and companies whose business is principally administered in a Restrictive Market. In light of these concerns, the proposed rule change will increase assurances that companies listed on Nasdaq satisfy Nasdaq's financial listing requirements and are suitable for listing on a U.S. securities exchange, and that Nasdaq has access to the information required to perform its regulatory duties, which will prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, promote just and equitable principles of trade and protect investors and the public interest.

Under the proposed changes, the Exchange will use its discretion in determining to apply additional and

¹⁷ See *supra* note 3.

¹⁸ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34151 (June 3, 1994), 59 FR 29843 (June 9, 1994) (SR-NASD-94-19) (available at <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-1994-06-09/html/94-14031.htm>). This was the predecessor to current Nasdaq Rule 5101.

¹⁹ *Id.*

¹⁶ See *In the Matter of Tassaway, Inc.*, Securities Exchange Act Release No. 11291, 1975 WL 160383; 45 SEC. 706 (March 13, 1975).

more stringent criteria. The Exchange believes that this is not unfair discrimination among companies because applying additional and more stringent criteria may not be appropriate in all circumstances, for example if the company's auditor is able to demonstrate that it has sufficient PCAOB inspection history, quality controls, resources, geographic reach and experience to adequately perform the company's audit. Similarly, it may not be appropriate for Nasdaq to apply its discretionary authority in all cases where a company's business is principally administered in a Restrictive Market. For example, a company may be headquartered in Country A, which is a Restrictive Market, but have the majority of its employees, operations, senior management, assets and books and records in Country B, which is not a Restrictive Market. In such cases, Nasdaq would consider the company's business to be principally administered in Country B and Nasdaq would not use its discretionary authority to apply additional or more stringent criteria.

Nasdaq believes that the proposed changes recognize that one size does not fit all companies and clarify the scope of the Exchange's existing discretion to apply additional and more stringent criteria, including potentially prohibiting a company's listing, based on the qualifications of its auditor or the jurisdiction where the company principally administers its business, thereby protecting investors and the public interest.

Lastly, Nasdaq believes its proposal to identify certain paragraphs within IM-5101-1 as subparagraphs (a), (d) and (e), add headings to the subparagraphs, and to relocate text describing Nasdaq's review process to paragraph (e), will enhance readability of the rule. Similarly, Nasdaq believes its proposal to and revise "listing qualifications panel" to "Hearings Panel (as defined in Rule 5805(d))" will enhance consistency within Nasdaq's rulebook. Nasdaq believes both proposals will promote investor protection and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Nasdaq is adopting this proposed rule change to enhance investor protection, which is a central purpose of the Act. Any impact on competition, either among listed companies or between exchanges, is incidental to that purpose.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will: (a) By order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or (b) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NASDAQ-2020-028 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2020-028. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be

available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2020-028 and should be submitted on or before June 29, 2020.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁰

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020-12271 Filed 6-5-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-88990; File No. SR-NYSECHX-2020-17]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Chicago, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Fee Schedule of NYSE Chicago, Inc. Related to Co-Location Services

June 2, 2020.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on May 18, 2020, the NYSE Chicago, Inc. ("NYSE Chicago" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Fee Schedule of NYSE Chicago, Inc. ("Fee Schedule") related to co-location

²⁰ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.