the definition of “affected unit,” if approved, Tennessee’s SIP will address all types of sources that must be covered to fully address NOx SIP Call requirements. See 83 FR 64497 (December 17, 2018) (including a discussion of both sources covered under CSAPR and the sources subject to 1200–03–27–12). With respect to the additional modifications to correct minor typographical errors, the formula for NOx allocations, and the requirements for the Responsible Official, EPA preliminarily agrees that the modifications provide additional clarity to the SIP. In addition, EPA has preliminarily determined that the December 19, 2019, SIP revision satisfies the conditions listed in EPA’s March 6, 2019 conditional approval and is proposing to convert its prior conditional approval to full approval.

IV. Incorporation by Reference

In this document, EPA is proposing to include in a final EPA rule regulatory text that incorporates by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, EPA is proposing to incorporate by reference TAPCR 1200–03–27–12, “NOx SIP Call Requirements for Stationary Boilers and Combustion Turbines,” state effective December 12, 2019, which revises Tennessee’s state control program to comply with the obligations of the NOx SIP Call. EPA has made and will continue to make the State Implementation Plan generally available through www.regulations.gov and at the EPA Region 4 Office (please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this preamble for more information).

V. Proposed Action

EPA is proposing to approve Tennessee’s December 19, 2019, submission, which revises TAPCR 1200–03–27–12, “NOX SIP Call Requirements for Stationary Boilers and Combustion Turbines,” to correct the definition of “affected unit” and to clarify requirements related to stationary boilers and combustion turbines. In addition, EPA is proposing to convert the March 6, 2019 conditional approval of TAPCR 1200–03–27–12 to a full approval. EPA requests comment on these proposals.

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this proposed action merely approves to propose state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
• Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866;
• Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
• Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
• Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
• Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 19, 1999);
• Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
• Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
• Does not propose EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).
EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-documents.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rich Cook, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, Assessment and Standards Division, Environmental Protection Agency, 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105; telephone number: 734–214–4827; email address: cook.rich@epa.gov. Comments on this proposal should not be submitted to this email address, but rather through https://www.regulations.gov as discussed in the ADDRESSES section.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

CAA section 211(v) requires EPA to take two actions. First, EPA must complete “a study to determine whether the renewable fuel volumes required under [CAA section 211(o)] will adversely impact air quality as a result in changes of vehicle and engine emissions of air pollutants.” The study, commonly known as the “anti-backsliding study,” must include consideration of different blend levels, types of renewable fuels, and available vehicle technologies, as well as appropriate national, regional, and local air quality control measures. EPA has completed the required study, which is available in the docket for this action and at https://www.epa.gov/renewable-fuel-standard-program/anti-backsliding-determination-and-study.

Second, considering the results of the study, EPA must proceed down one of two paths: Either “promulgate fuel regulations to implement appropriate measures to mitigate, to the greatest extent achievable . . . any adverse impacts on air quality, as a result of the renewable volumes required by [Section 211]” or “make a determination that no such measures are necessary.”

II. Proposed Determination

We seek comment on this proposed determination.

Andrew Wheeler,
Administrator.
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Forest Management Decision Protest Process and Timber Sale Administration

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) proposes to revise its regulations for protests of forest management decisions and administration of the timber sale process. This proposed rule would streamline the process for sale of forest products by the BLM. Existing regulatory requirements are poorly defined, repetitive, and burdensome. The proposed rule would improve the BLM’s ability to conduct active forest management, while reducing burdens to the public and the administration of BLM lands.

DATES: Please submit comments on this proposed rule to the BLM on or before August 7, 2020. The BLM is not obligated to consider any comments received after this date in making its decision on the final rule.

Information Collection Requirements: If you wish to comment on the information-collection requirements in this proposed rule, please note that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is required to make a decision concerning the collection of information contained in this proposed rule between 30 and 60 days after publication of this proposed rule in the Federal Register. Therefore, comments should be submitted to OMB by July 8, 2020.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments on the proposed rule, identified by the number RIN 1004–AE61, by any of the following methods:
—Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. In the Searchbox, enter “RIN 1004–AE61” and click the “Search” button. Follow the instructions at this website.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marlo Draper, Division Chief of Forest, Range, Riparian, and Plant Conservation, WO–220, 202–912–7222. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1–800–877–8339, 24 hours a day,7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individuals. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Public Comment Procedures
II. Background
III. Discussion of the Proposed Rule
IV. Procedural Matters

I. Public Comment Procedures

You may submit comments on the proposed rule, marked with the number RIN 1004–AE61, by any of the methods described in the ADDRESSES section. If you wish to comment on the information-collection requirements, you should send those comments as outlined under the DATES and ADDRESSES headings. Please make your comments on the proposed rule as specific as possible, confine them to issues pertinent to the proposed rule, and explain the reason for any changes you recommend. Where possible, your comments should reference the specific section or paragraph of the proposal that