

maintained as suitable habitat for the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat.

The Service is soliciting information regarding the adequacy of the HCP to avoid, minimize, mitigate, and monitor the proposed incidental take of the covered species and to provide for adaptive management. In compliance with section 10(c) of the ESA (16 U.S.C. 1539(c)), the Service is making the ITP application materials available for public review and comment as described above.

We invite comments and suggestions from all interested parties on the draft documents associated with the ITP application (HCP and HCP appendices), and request that comments be as specific as possible. In particular, we request information and comments on the following topics:

1. Whether adaptive management, mitigation, and monitoring provisions in the proposed action alternative are sufficient;
2. Any threats to the Indiana bat and the northern long-eared bat that may influence their populations over the life of the ITP that are not addressed in the draft HCP or DEA;

3. Any new information on white-nose syndrome effects on the Indiana bat and the northern long-eared bat; and

4. Any other information pertinent to evaluating the effects of the proposed action on the Indiana bat and the northern long-eared bat.

#### Alternatives in the Draft Environmental Assessment

The DEA contains an analysis of four alternatives:

1. No Action alternative, in which the Service would not issue a permit to the applicant, and the project turbines would be feathered until wind speeds reach 6.9 m/s from a half-hour before sunset to a half-hour after sunrise during the entirety of the fall migration season (August 1 through October 31) and spring migration season (March 15 through May 15), under which conditions take of listed species is unlikely to occur;

2. The applicant's Proposed Alternative, in which the Service would issue an ITP to authorize incidental take of covered species associated with the project's operations as described in the applicant's HCP. In this alternative, the project turbines would be feathered until wind speeds reach 3.0 m/s during the spring migration (April 1 through May 15) from a half-hour before sunset to a half-hour after sunrise, and during the fall migration season (August 1 through October 15), project turbines would be feathered until wind speeds reach 5.0 m/s from a half-hour before

sunset to a half-hour after sunrise. While take is not anticipated during the summer (May 16–July 31), turbines will be feathered until wind speeds reach 3.0 m/s from a half-hour before sunset to a half-hour after sunrise. Minimization measures would be applicable until the temperature was greater than 10 degrees Celsius (°C). In this alternative, the applicant estimated take of Indiana and northern long-eared bats using an approach that addresses inherent uncertainty in take estimates by incorporating a 50 percent confidence bound around the mean estimate, and a 50 percent reduction in take from application of the proposed cut-in speed regime. The various phases of this project began and will end in different years; thus, different numbers of turbines will be operational during the three different phases, which will change the amount of take during each of the phases. Thus, the estimated fatality rates under this alternative are 3.3 Indiana bats per year, and 1 northern long-eared bat per year. This results in a total of 97 Indiana bats and 30 northern long-eared bats over the 30-year permit term.

3. More Restrictive Operations alternative, in which the Service would issue an ITP for the HCP, but turbine operations would be different than under the applicant's proposed project. All turbines would be feathered when the ambient temperature is above 10°C based on a 5-minute rolling average from one half-hour before sunset to one half-hour after sunrise during the spring migration season (April 1 through May 15) up to 3.0 m/s, summer (May 16 through July 31) up to 3.0 m/s, and during the fall migration season (August 1 through October 15) up to 6.5 m/s. The estimated fatality rates for this alternative are 1.5 Indiana bats and 0.5 northern long-eared bats per year. This results in a total of 44.9 Indiana bats and 13.8 northern long-eared bats over the 30-year permit term. The quantity of mitigation needed to offset the impact of the taking and the level of effort of monitoring varies between the alternatives, although mitigation, monitoring, adaptive management, and funding assurances are components of all three action alternatives.

The DEA considers the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects of the alternatives, including any measures intended to minimize and mitigate such impacts. The DEA also identifies additional alternatives that were considered but were eliminated from analysis as detailed in section 2.4 of the DEA.

The Service invites comments and suggestions from all interested parties

on the content of the DEA. In particular, information and comments regarding the following topics are requested:

1. The direct, indirect, or cumulative effects that implementation of any alternative could have on the human environment;
2. Whether or not the significance of the impact on various aspects of the human environment has been adequately analyzed; and
3. Any other information pertinent to evaluating the effects of the proposed action on the human environment.

#### Public Comments

You may submit your comments and materials related to the draft HCP, DEA, or other supporting documents by one of the methods listed in **ADDRESSES**. We request you send comments using only one of the methods described in

#### ADDRESSES.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

#### Authority

We provide this notice under section 10(c) of the ESA (16 U.S.C. 1539(c)) and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 17.22) and the NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations (40 CFR 1506.6; 43 CFR part 46).

**Lori Nordstrom,**

*Assistant Regional Director, Ecological Services, Great Lakes Region.*

[FR Doc. 2020-12046 Filed 6-3-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4333-15-P**

---

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

##### National Park Service

**[NPS-WASO-NRNHL-DTS#-30354; PPWOCRADIO, PCU00RP14.R50000]**

##### National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

---

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service is soliciting electronic comments on the significance of properties nominated before May 16, 2020, for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places.

**DATES:** Comments should be submitted electronically by June 19, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments are encouraged to be submitted electronically to [National\\_Register\\_Submissions@nps.gov](mailto:National_Register_Submissions@nps.gov) with the subject line “Public Comment on property or proposed district name, (County) State.” If you have no access to email you may send them via U.S. Postal Service and all other carriers to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C Street NW, MS 7228, Washington, DC 20240.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The properties listed in this notice are being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places. Nominations for their consideration were received by the National Park Service before May 16, 2020. Pursuant to § 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60, comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Nominations submitted by State or Tribal Historic Preservation Officers:

#### ARIZONA

##### Maricopa County

Avery, Frank and Emma, House, 4203 North 44th St., Phoenix, SG100005297

#### DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

##### District of Columbia

Tabard Inn, 1737–1739–1741 N St. NW, Washington, SG100005295

Washington Animal Rescue League Animal Shelter, 71 O St. NW, Washington, SG100005296

Washington Yacht Club, 1500 M St. SE, Washington, SG100005305

#### GEORGIA

##### Wilkes County

Cherry Grove Baptist Church Schoolhouse, 1878 Damburg Rd., Washington vicinity, SG100005300

#### IOWA

##### Linn County

Shores-Mueller Company, (Commercial & Industrial Development of Cedar Rapids MPS) (Industrial Development of Cedar Rapids, Iowa MPS (AD)), 700 16th St. NE, Cedar Rapids, MP100005298

#### Montgomery County

Red Oak High School, 308 East Corning St., Red Oak, SG100005294

#### Pottawattamie County

South 8th Street Historic District, Bounded by South 7th St., South 8th St., 1st Ave., 7th Ave., with segment along 2nd Ave., extending to South 10th St., Council Bluffs, SG100005299

#### KENTUCKY

##### Fayette County

Pensacola Park Historic District. 109–199 Rosemont Gdn., 105–175 Suburban Ct., 101–224 Lackawanna Rd., 101–166 Wabash Dr., 96–171 Goodrich Ave., 1700, 1800, 1900 blocks of Nicholasville Rd., 101–177, Penmoken Park, 1800 block Pensacola Dr., 1800 block Norfolk Dr., Lexington, SG100005303

#### LOUISIANA

##### Orleans Parish

Pontchartrain Park Historic District, Roughly bounded by France Rd., Dwyer Canal, Norfolk Southern RR, Campus Blvd., Emmitt W. Bashful Blvd., Press Dr., and Hayne Blvd., New Orleans, SG100005306

#### MASSACHUSETTS

##### Franklin County

Long Plain Cemetery, 19 Depot Rd., Leverett, SG100005304

Additional documentation has been received for the following resource:

#### DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

##### District of Columbia

Central Public Library (Additional Documentation), 801 K St. NW, Washington, AD69000290

**Authority:** Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60.

Dated: May 18, 2020.

##### Sherry A. Frear,

*Chief, National Register of Historic Places/National Historic Landmarks Program.*

[FR Doc. 2020–12037 Filed 6–3–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312–52–P**

#### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

##### [Investigation No. 731–TA–1153 (Second Review)]

#### Tow-Behind Lawn Groomers From China; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty

order on tow-behind lawn groomers from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

**DATES:** April 6, 2020.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tyler Berard (202–205–3354), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.**—On April 6, 2020, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (85 FR 117, January 2, 2020) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Please note the Secretary’s Office will accept only electronic filings at this time. Filings must be made through the Commission’s Electronic Document Information System (EDIS, <https://edis.usitc.gov>). No in-person paper-based filings or paper copies of any electronic filings will be accepted until further notice.

**Staff report.**—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on June

<sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s website.