

with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a safety zone that will prohibit entry within 500 yards of a tug and barge used to work, inspect, survey and remove cables in the Straits of Mackinac. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L[60(a)] of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1. A Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket. For instructions on locating the docket, see the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to call or email the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and record keeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add § 165.T09–0274 to read as follows:

§ 165.T09–0274 Safety Zone; Tug Valerie B and Barge Kokosing IV operating in the Straits of Mackinac, MI.

(a) *Location.* The following areas are safety zones: All navigable water within 500 yards of the Tug Valerie B and Barge Kokosing IV while conducting work, inspection, surveying and removing cables in the Straits of Mackinac.

(b) *Definitions.* As used in this section, *designated representative* means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, and local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port Sault Sainte Marie (COTP) in the enforcement of the safety zone.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23, entry into, transiting, or anchoring within the safety zone described in paragraph (a) is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Sault Sainte Marie or his designated representative.

(2) Before a vessel operator may enter or operate within the safety zones, they must obtain permission from the Captain of the Port, Sault Sainte Marie, or his designated representative via VHF Channel 16 or telephone at (906) 635–3233. Vessel operators given permission to enter or operate in the safety zone must comply with all orders given to them by the Captain of the Port, Sault Sainte Marie or his designated representative.

(d) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced from June 10, 2020 to September 15, 2020.

Dated: May 28, 2020.

P.S. Nelson,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sault Sainte Marie.

[FR Doc. 2020–11944 Filed 6–1–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG–2020–0226]

Safety Zone; Marine Events Within the Eighth Coast Guard District

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of enforcement of regulation.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard will enforce a Safety Zone for the St. John the Baptist Independence Day fireworks display from 8:45 p.m. through 9:45 p.m. on July 3, 2020, to provide for the safety of life on navigable waterways during this event. Our regulation for marine events within the Eighth Coast Guard District identifies the regulated area for this event on the Lower Mississippi River, by Reserve, Louisiana. During the enforcement periods, the operator of any vessel in the regulated area must comply with directions from the Patrol Commander or any Official Patrol displaying a Coast Guard ensign.

DATES: The regulations in 33 CFR 165.801, Table 5, line 2 will be enforced from 8:45 p.m. through 9:45 p.m. on July 3, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this notice of enforcement, call or email Lieutenant Commander Corinne Plummer, Sector New Orleans, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 504–365–2375, email Corinne.M.Plummer@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Coast Guard will enforce the safety zone located in 33 CFR 165.801, Table 5, line 2 for the St. John the Baptist Independence Day Celebration event. The regulations will be enforced from 8:45 p.m. through 9:45 p.m. on July 3, 2020. This action is being taken to provide for the safety of life on these navigable waterways during this event. Our regulations for marine events within the Eighth Coast Guard District, 33 CFR 165.801, as updated by **Federal Register** document (83 FR 55488), specifies the location of the regulated area on the Mississippi River between mile markers 137.5 and 138.5 on the Mississippi River near Reserve, Louisiana. During the enforcement period, as reflected in § 165.801(a)–(d), if you are the operator of a vessel in the safety zone, you must comply with directions from the Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans or a designated representative.

In addition to this notice of enforcement in the **Federal Register** the

Coast Guard plans to provide notification of this enforcement period via a Marine Safety Information Bulletin and/or Broadcast Notice to Mariners.

Dated: May 18, 2020.

K. M. Luttrell,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans.

[FR Doc. 2020–11056 Filed 6–1–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R09–OAR–2019–0541; FRL–10009–19–Region 9]

Clean Air Plans; 2008 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Area Requirements; Phoenix-Mesa, Arizona

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action on a state implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Arizona on behalf of the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) to meet Clean Air Act (CAA or “the Act”) requirements for the 2008 ozone national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS or “standards”) in the Phoenix-Mesa (“Phoenix”) ozone nonattainment area (NAA). The EPA is finalizing approval of the portions of the “MAG 2017 Eight-Hour Ozone Moderate Area Plan for the Maricopa Nonattainment Area (December 2016)” (“MAG 2017 Ozone Plan” or “Plan”) that address the requirements for emissions inventories, a demonstration of attainment by the applicable attainment date, reasonably available control measures (RACM), reasonable further progress (RFP), motor vehicle emission budgets for transportation conformity, vehicle inspection and maintenance (I/M) programs, new source review (NSR) rules, and offsets. The EPA is finalizing a disapproval of the portion of the MAG 2017 Ozone Plan that addresses the requirements for contingency measures for failure to attain or to make RFP. However, based on a separate finding that the Phoenix 2008 ozone NAA (“Phoenix NAA”) attained the 2008 ozone standards by the applicable attainment date, we previously determined that the requirement for the State to submit a SIP revision addressing attainment contingency measures no longer applies for the Phoenix NAA. We are also

finalizing our determination that the requirement for the State to submit a SIP revision addressing RFP contingency measures no longer applies for the Phoenix NAA. Finally, we are finalizing approval of the portions of a SIP revision, the “MAG 2014 Eight-Hour Ozone Plan—Submittal of Marginal Area Requirements for the Maricopa Nonattainment Area (June 2014)” (“MAG 2014 Ozone Plan”), on which we previously deferred action.

DATES: This rule is effective on July 2, 2020.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R09–OAR–2019–0541. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through <https://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Levin, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105. Phone: (415) 972–3848 or by email at levin.nancy@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to the EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Proposed Action
- II. Public Comments and EPA Responses
- III. Final Action
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Proposed Action

On October 3, 2019, the EPA proposed action on a SIP revision submitted by the State of Arizona on behalf of MAG to meet CAA requirements for the 2008 ozone NAAQS¹ in the Phoenix NAA.² We also proposed to approve the portions of a SIP revision, the MAG 2014 Ozone Plan, on which we previously deferred action. Our proposed action contains more information on the MAG 2017 Ozone

¹ Since the 2008 primary and secondary NAAQS for ozone are identical, for convenience, we refer to both as “the 2008 ozone NAAQS” or “the 2008 ozone standard.”

² 84 FR 52838.

Plan, the MAG 2014 Ozone Plan, and our evaluation of these submittals.

II. Public Comments and EPA Responses

The EPA’s proposed action provided a 30-day public comment period. During this period, we received comments from two commenters: (1) Arizona Center for Law in the Public Interest (ACLPI) on behalf of ACLPI, the Sierra Club-Grand Canyon Chapter, and their supporters and members who live and work in the Phoenix metropolitan area; and (2) the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ). We summarize the comments and provide our responses below. All the comments received are included in the docket for this action.

Commenter #1—ACLPI

Comment 1.a: The commenter asserted that MAG should do more to combat worsening ozone pollution, particularly given the area’s economic expansion and population, but that in this Plan, MAG relied on existing controls, tightening fuel standards, and fleet turnover, which are not enough to achieve attainment. Specifically, ACLPI noted that the Act and the 2008 Ozone SIP Requirements Rule (SRR) require implementation of RACM to achieve attainment as expeditiously as practicable and to meet RFP requirements; and that “[s]tates should consider all available measures, including those being implemented in other areas.” The commenter stated that “MAG did not incorporate any new control measures in the Plan” and that the Plan’s reliance on existing control measures, tighter fuel standards, and fleet turnover, is “clearly not enough to reach attainment in the Phoenix NAA.” The commenter also asserted that economic expansion and population growth in the Phoenix area will continue to drive onroad and nonroad mobile source emissions upwards, and that “MAG and its member agencies should lead the way in finding more effective and long-lasting solutions to Phoenix’s ozone pollution problem.”

Response: We do not agree that the controls reflected in the Plan are insufficient to achieve attainment of the 2008 ozone NAAQS in the Phoenix NAA. For the reasons described in our proposal and in response to ACLPI’s other comments in this document, we find that the Plan adequately demonstrates that the area will attain the 2008 Ozone NAAQS by the attainment date and meets all other applicable requirements, including RACM requirements. In particular, the Plan documents that the State did consider whether additional measures