

FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the International Section, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (m)(4) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: [9-ANM-116-AMOC-REQUESTS@faa.gov](mailto:9-ANM-116-AMOC-REQUESTS@faa.gov).

(i) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

(ii) AMOCs approved previously for AD 2019–23–01 are approved as AMOCs for the corresponding provisions of EASA AD 2020–0036 that are required by paragraph (g) of this AD.

(2) *Contacting the Manufacturer:* For any requirement in this AD to obtain instructions from a manufacturer, the instructions must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, Large Aircraft Section, International Validation Branch, FAA; or EASA; or Airbus SAS's EASA Design Organization Approval (DOA). If approved by the DOA, the approval must include the DOA-authorized signature.

#### (m) Related Information

(1) For information about EASA AD 2020–0036, contact the EASA, Konrad-Adenauer-Ufer 3, 50668 Cologne, Germany; telephone +49 221 89990 6017; email [ADs@easa.europa.eu](mailto:ADs@easa.europa.eu); Internet [www.easa.europa.eu](http://www.easa.europa.eu). You may find this EASA AD on the EASA website at <https://ad.easa.europa.eu>.

(2) For information about the Airbus material that was previously incorporated by reference, contact Airbus SAS, Airworthiness Office—EIAS, Rond-Point Emile Dewoitine No: 2, 31700 Blagnac Cedex, France; telephone +33 5 61 93 36 96; fax +33 5 61 93 44 51; email [account.airworth-eas@airbus.com](mailto:account.airworth-eas@airbus.com); internet <http://www.airbus.com>.

(3) You may view this material at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195. This material may be found in the AD docket on the internet at <https://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2020–0457.

(4) For more information about this AD, contact Sanjay Ralhan, Aerospace Engineer, Large Aircraft Section, International Validation Branch, FAA, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; telephone and fax 206–231–3223; email [sanjay.ralhan@faa.gov](mailto:sanjay.ralhan@faa.gov).

Issued on May 21, 2020.

**Gaetano A. Sciortino,**

*Deputy Director for Strategic Initiatives, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2020–11407 Filed 5–29–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

## EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

### 29 CFR Part 1614

**RIN 3046–AB00**

#### Official Time in Federal Sector Cases Before the Commission

**AGENCY:** Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) is announcing that it is reopening the comment period for the proposed rule on Official Time in Federal Sector Cases Before the Commission for an additional 60 days. The original comment period ended on February 10, 2020.

**DATES:** The comment period for the proposed rule published on December 11, 2019 at 84 FR 67683 is reopened. Written comments must be received on or before July 31, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by Regulatory Information Number (RIN) 3046–AB00, on the Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Alternatively, you may submit comments, which must reference RIN Number 3046–AB00, by U.S. Mail to: Bernadette Wilson, Executive Officer, Office of the Executive Secretariat, U.S. EEOC, 131 M Street NE, Washington, DC 20507.

*Instructions:* The Commission invites comments from all interested parties. All comment submissions must include the agency name and docket number or RIN for this rulemaking. If you previously submitted comments during the original comment period, you do not need to submit those same comments again. Comments need be submitted in only one of the above listed formats. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information you provide.

*Docket:* For access to the docket to read comments previously received, go to <https://www.regulations.gov/docket?D=EEOC-2019-0004>. Copies of comments received in response to proposed rules usually are also available for review at the Commission's library until the Commission publishes the rule in final form. However, given the EEOC's current 100% telework status due to the COVID–19 pandemic, the Commission's library is closed until further notice. Once the Commission's library is re-opened, copies of comments received in response to the proposed rule will be made available for viewing

at 131 M Street NE, Suite 4NW08R, Washington, DC 20507, between the hours of 9:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrew Maunz, Legal Counsel, at [andrew.maunz@eeoc.gov](mailto:andrew.maunz@eeoc.gov) or 202–702–2671.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On December 11, 2019, the EEOC requested comments on a proposed rule, published at 84 FR 67683, to amend its rule covering official time for representatives who are employees of the federal government. The EEOC received more than 1,800 comments before the comment period closed on February 10, 2020. Due to the high level of interest on the topic, the Commission wants to ensure that it gives all interested stakeholders ample opportunity to comment. Therefore, the EEOC will reopen the comment period for 60 additional days. Parties should refer to the proposed rule, at 84 FR 67683, for further details about the issues under consideration.

For the Commission.

Dated: May 22, 2020.

**Janet Dhillon,**

*Chair.*

[FR Doc. 2020–11457 Filed 5–29–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6570–01–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

**[EPA–R07–OAR–2020–0256; FRL–10009–96–Region 7]**

#### Air Plan Approval; Missouri; Restriction of Emission of Lead From Specific Lead Smelter-Refinery Installations

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing approval of a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by Missouri on February 5, 2019. Missouri requests that EPA revise its approved plan which restricts emission of lead from specific lead smelter-refinery installations. The revisions remove emission restrictions for a facility that is no longer operating, update a reference to the Federal National Emissions Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for secondary lead smelters, and update incorporation by reference to testing methods. Minor editorial revisions have also been made for clarity. The EPA's

proposed approval of this rule revision is being done in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 1, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R07-OAR-2020-0256 to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

*Instructions:* All submissions received must include the Docket ID No. for this rulemaking. Comments received will be posted without change to <https://www.regulations.gov/>, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on sending comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the "Written Comments" heading of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Stephanie Doolan, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 Office, Air Quality Planning Branch, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219; telephone number (913) 551-7719; email address [Doolan.stephanie@epa.gov](mailto:Doolan.stephanie@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document "we," "us," and "our" refer to the EPA.

**Table of Contents**

- I. Written Comments
- II. What is being addressed in this document?
- III. Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met?
- IV. What action is the EPA taking?
- V. Incorporation by Reference
- VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. Written Comments**

Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R07-OAR-2020-0256, at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full

EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**II. What is being addressed in this document?**

The EPA is proposing to approve revisions to 10 Code of State Regulation (CSR) 10-6.120, *Restriction of Emission of Lead From Specific Lead Smelter-Refinery Installations*, in the

Missouri SIP. The EPA received the Missouri Department of Natural Resources' (MoDNR) SIP revision submission on February 15, 2019. The revisions are described in detail in the technical support document (TSD) included in the docket for this action. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve revisions to the Missouri State Implementation Plan (SIP).

The revisions to 10 CSR 10-6.120 eliminate restrictions for a facility that is no longer operational as a primary lead smelter, update the reference to the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP), Subpart X, update the incorporation by reference information, and make editorial changes to the rule for clarity. The EPA believes that these revisions do not impact the stringency of the Missouri SIP and do not adversely impact air quality.

A list of the revisions Missouri made to 10 CSR 10-6.120 are as follows:

- The reference in paragraph (3), General Provisions, to the Herculanum primary lead smelter and corresponding Table 1 which formerly contained lead emission limits has been removed because the Herculanum facility no longer operating as a primary lead smelter. The Herculanum area is a nonattainment area for the 2008 Lead National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and thus has an approved plan to bring the area back into attainment of the standard. See 79 FR 62572, October 20, 2014. The lead emissions limits in the approved attainment demonstration SIP are more stringent than those in table 1; thus, EPA did not approve table 1 of the General Provisions in its August 28, 2015, action. See 80 FR 52190. Missouri's removal of the emissions limitations from its State rule does not affect the enforceability of the attainment SIP and the controls required to meet the NAAQS.

- Paragraph (5), Test Methods, has also been revised to incorporate the Secondary Lead Smelter NESHAP by reference, as well as, reference specific

EPA test methods described in Missouri's rule 10 CSR 10-6.030(22). As discussed above a detailed description of Missouri's revision and EPA analysis of the impact to air quality have provided in the TSD which is part of the docket for this action.

The revisions to this state regulation were placed on public notice for review and comment from June 29 through October 4, 2018. Missouri received three comments from two sources during the comment period: EPA provided two comments and Doe Run Resource Recycling Facility provided one comment on the rule revisions. Missouri responded to all three comments, as noted in the State submission included in the docket for this action. Missouri responded to all three comments in its Order of Rulemaking dated January 2, 2019. The three comments Missouri received were:

- EPA commented on paragraph (5) of the proposed rule revision that the SIP revision request for subsection 10 CSR 10-6.030 (22), upon which the revisions regarding test methods in 10 CSR 10-6.120 relies, had not yet been approved. Since EPA submitted its comment, the Missouri SIP has been approved as a separate action to incorporate the revisions to 10 CSR 10-6.030. See 85 FR 4229, January 24, 2020.

- EPA also commented on the revisions to paragraph (5) encouraging MoDNR to reference 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, rather than adding references to 10 CSR 10-6.030(22) in subsections 5(B) and 5(C). MoDNR did not amend the rule text in response to this comment. Missouri's reliance on test methods incorporated by reference in 10 CSR 10-6.030(22) does not affect the stringency of the rule and remains protective of air quality.

- Doe Run Buick Resource Recycling Division submitted one comment to Missouri stating that it believes the correct lead emission limit to be 0.00043 gr/dscf. MoDNR's response was to remove the reference to the specific numerical standard and to emphasize that Doe Run is still required to meet the Secondary Lead Smelter NESHAP, subpart X, which is incorporated in 10 CSR 10-6.075 as well. See 79 FR 371, June 10, 2014.

Missouri made editorial text revisions detailed in EPA's TSD for clarity which do not have an impact to air quality.

Based on a detailed analysis in its TSD of the revisions to the state rule that are listed above, EPA is proposing to approve the revisions to this rule because it promotes clarity by removing no longer needed emission limits for the former Herculanum primary lead

smelter refinery and will not have a negative impact on air quality.

**III. Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met?**

The State submission has met the public notice requirements for SIP submissions in accordance with 40 CFR 51.102. The submission also satisfied the completeness criteria of 40 CFR part 51, appendix V. The State placed this rule revision on public notice from June 29 through October 4, 2008. MoDNR responded to all three comments received. As explained above, the revision meets the substantive SIP requirements of the CAA, including section 110 and implementing regulations.

**IV. What action is the EPA taking?**

The EPA is proposing to approve Missouri's request to revise 10 CSR 10-6.120. We are processing this as a proposed SIP revision because we are soliciting comments on it. Final rulemaking will occur after consideration of any comments received. The EPA is soliciting comment on the substantive and administrative revisions detailed in this document and in the TSD. EPA is not soliciting comment on existing rule text that has been previously approved by EPA into the SIP.

**V. Incorporation by Reference**

In this document, the EPA is proposing to include regulatory text in an EPA final rule that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is proposing to incorporate by reference the Missouri Regulations described in the proposed amendments to 40 CFR part 52 set forth below. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and at the EPA Region 7 Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

**VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866.
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of the National Technology Transfer and

Advancement Act (NTTA) because this rulemaking does not involve technical standards; and

- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Lead, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Test methods.

Dated: May 21, 2020.

**James Gulliford,**  
*Regional Administrator, Region 7.*

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the EPA proposes to amend 40 CFR part 52 as set forth below:

**PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS**

- 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

**Subpart-AA—Missouri**

- 2. In § 52.1320, the table in paragraph (c) is amended by revising the entry “10-6.120” to read as follows:

**§ 52.1320 Identification of plan.**  
\* \* \* \* \*  
(c) \* \* \*

**EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI REGULATIONS**

Missouri citation	Title	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
<b>Missouri Department of Natural Resources</b>				
*	*	*	*	*

EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI REGULATIONS—Continued

Missouri citation	Title	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
10–6.120 .....	Restriction of Emissions of Lead from Specific Lead Smelter-Refinery Installations.	10/25/18	[Date of publication of the final rule in the <b>Federal Register</b> ], [Federal Register citation of the final rule].	

\* \* \* \* \*  
 [FR Doc. 2020–11494 Filed 5–29–20; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA–R08–OAR–2019–0643; FRL–10009–77–Region 8]

**Promulgation of State Implementation Plan Revisions; Infrastructure Requirements for the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards; Utah**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** On October 1, 2015, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated the 2015 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS), revising the standard to 0.070 parts per million. Whenever a new or revised is promulgated, the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) requires each state to submit a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision for the implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of the new standard. This submission is commonly referred to as an infrastructure SIP. In this action we are proposing to approve the State of Utah’s 2015 ozone NAAQS infrastructure SIP submitted to the EPA on January 29, 2020.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before July 1, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R08–OAR–2019–0643, to the Federal Rulemaking Portal: <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [www.regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business

Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>. To reduce the risk of COVID–19 transmission, for this action we will not be accepting comments submitted by mail or hand delivery

*Docket:* All documents in the docket are listed in the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *e.g.*, CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will not be placed on the internet. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). To reduce the risk of COVID–19 transmission, for this action we do not plan to offer hard copy review of the docket. Please email or call the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section if you need to make alternative arrangements for access to the docket.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kate Gregory, (303) 312–6175, [gregory.kate@epa.gov](mailto:gregory.kate@epa.gov). Mail can be directed to the Air and Radiation Division, U.S. EPA, Region 8, Mail-code 8ARD–QP, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202–1129.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, “reviewing authority,” “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to the EPA.

**I. Background**

On March 12, 2008, the EPA promulgated a new NAAQS for ozone, revising the levels of the primary and secondary 8-hour ozone standards from 0.08 parts per million (ppm) to 0.075 ppm.<sup>1</sup> More recently, on October 1, 2015, the EPA promulgated and revised the NAAQS for ozone, further strengthening the primary and secondary 8-hour standards to 0.070 ppm.<sup>2</sup> The October 1, 2015 standards are known as the 2015 ozone NAAQS.

Under sections 110(a)(1) and (2) of the CAA, after the promulgation of a new or revised NAAQS states are required to submit infrastructure SIPs to ensure their SIPs provide for implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of the NAAQS.<sup>3</sup> These submissions must contain any revisions needed for meeting the applicable SIP requirements of section 110(a)(2), or certifications that the existing SIPs already meet those requirements. The EPA highlighted and explained this statutory requirement in a series of guidance documents.<sup>4</sup>

*A. What infrastructure elements are required under Sections 110(a)(1) and (2)?*

CAA section 110(a)(1) provides the procedural and timing requirements for SIP submissions after a new or revised NAAQS is promulgated. Section 110(a)(2) lists specific elements the SIP

<sup>1</sup> Final rule, National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone, 73 FR 16436, 16483 (March 27, 2008).

<sup>2</sup> Final rule, National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone, 80 FR 65292, 65362 (Oct. 26, 2015).

<sup>3</sup> 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(1), (2).

<sup>4</sup> “Guidance on SIP Elements Required Under Sections 110(a)(1) and (2) for the 1997 8-hour Ozone and PM<sub>2.5</sub> National Ambient Air Quality Standards” (Oct. 2, 2007); “Guidance on SIP Elements Required Under Sections 110(a)(1) and (2) for the 2006 24-Hour Fine Particle (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)” (Sep. 25, 2009); “Guidance on Infrastructure SIP Elements Required Under Sections 110(a)(1) and (2) for the 2008 Lead (Pb) National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)” (Oct. 14, 2011); “Guidance on Infrastructure State Implementation Plan (SIP) Elements under Clean Air Act Sections 110(a)(1) and (2)” (Sep. 13, 2013) (2013 Memo).