End of Certification

Accordingly, the following products and services are deleted from the Procurement List:

Products
NSN(s)—Product Name(s):
MR 407—Bag, Shopping Tote, Laminated, Large, “Live Well”
MR 436—Laminated Bag, Small Holiday Fun
MR 437—Laminated Bag, Small Reindeer

Mandatory Source of Supply: Industries for the Blind and Visually Impaired, Inc., West Allis, WI

Contracting Activity: Defense Commissary Agency

Service
Service Type: Janitorial/Custodial

Mandatory for: NISE: East Building, North Charleston, SC
Mandatory Source of Supply: Palmetto Goodwill Services, North Charleston, SC
Contracting Activity: PUBLIC BUILDINGS SERVICE, ACQUISITION DIVISION/ SERVICES BRANCH

Michael R. Jurkowski,
Deputy Director, Business & PL Operations.

[FR Doc. 2019-16623 Filed 5-21-19; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No.: ED–2020–SCC–0048]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Comment Request; RSA–227, Annual Client Assistance Program Performance Report

AGENCY: Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS), Department of Education (ED).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department’s information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

Title of Collection: RSA–227, Annual Client Assistance Program Performance Report.

OMB Control Number: 1820–0528.

Type of Review: An extension of an existing information collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: State, Local, and Tribal Governments.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 57.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 912.

Abstract: The Client Assistance Program (CAP) Annual Performance Report (Form RSA–227) will be used to analyze and evaluate the CAP program administered by eligible grantees in states. CAP grantees provide information to individuals with disabilities regarding the services and benefits available under the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Rehabilitation Act), as amended by Title IV of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) and the rights afforded them under Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act. In addition, CAP grantees are authorized to provide advocacy and legal representation to individuals seeking or receiving services under the Rehabilitation Act, in order to resolve disputes with programs providing such services, including vocational rehabilitation services. RSA uses the form to meet specific data collection requirements of Section 112 of the Rehabilitation Act and its implementing Federal Regulations at 34 CFR part 370. CAP grantees must report annually using the RSA–227, which is due on or before December 30 each year.

The collection of information through Form RSA–227 has enabled RSA to furnish the President and Congress with data on the provision of client assistance services and has helped to establish a sound basis for future funding requests. Data is used to indicate trends in the provision of services from year-to-year, as well as evaluate the effectiveness of eligible grantees within individual states in meeting annual priorities and objectives.

The respondents to the RSA–227 is the client assistance program in each year. RSA received recommendations on the initial development of the RSA–227, including the frequency of reporting, from the National Disability Rights Network (NDRN), CAP programs, and other advocacy groups to ensure that the information requested could be provided with minimal burden to the respondents.


Kate Mullan,
Senior Policy Analyst, Office of Chief Data Officer.

[FR Doc. 2020–10607 Filed 5–21–20; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION


AGENCY: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Education (Department) is issuing a notice inviting applications for new awards for fiscal year (FY) 2020 for CSP—Developer Grants, Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) numbers 84.282B and 84.282S (for the replication and expansion of high-quality charter schools). This notice relates to the
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I. Funding Opportunity Description

Purpose of Program: The major purposes of the CSP are to expand opportunities for all students, particularly traditionally underserved students, to attend charter schools and meet challenging State academic standards; provide financial assistance for the planning, program design, and initial implementation of public charter schools; increase the number of high-quality charter schools available to students across the United States; evaluate the impact of charter schools on student achievement, families, and communities; share best practices between charter schools and other public schools; encourage States to provide facilities support to charter schools; and support efforts to strengthen the charter authorizing process.

CSP—Developer Grants are intended to provide financial assistance for the planning, program design, and initial implementation of charter schools through awarding CSP Grants to Charter School Developers for the Opening of New Charter Schools and for the Replication and Expansion of High-Quality Charter Schools (also referred to as Developer Grants). The Department provides funds to charter school developers on a competitive basis to enable them to open new charter schools (CFDA number 84.282B) or replicate or expand high-quality charter schools (CFDA number 84.282E).

Eligibility for a grant under this competition is limited to charter school developers in States that do not currently have a CSP State Entity grant (CFDA number 84.282A) under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Eligibility in a State with a CSP State Educational Agency (SEA) grant under the ESEA, as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB) (CFDA number 84.282A), is limited to charter school developers applying for grants for the replication and expansion of high-quality charter schools (CFDA number 84.282E) and only if the Department has not approved an amendment to the SEA’s approved grant application authorizing the SEA to make subgrants for replication and expansion.

Charter schools that receive financial assistance through Developer Grants provide programs of elementary or secondary education, or both, and may also serve students in early childhood education programs or postsecondary students.

Background: This notice invites applications from eligible applicants for two types of grants: (1) Grants to Charter School Developers for the Opening of New Charter Schools (CFDA number 84.282B) and (2) Grants to Charter School Developers for the Replication and Expansion of High-Quality Charter Schools (CFDA number 84.282E). Under this competition, each CFDA number constitutes its own funding category.

The Secretary intends to award grants under each CFDA number for applications that are sufficiently high-quality.

All charter schools receiving CSP funds must meet the definition of a “charter school” in section 4310(2) of the ESEA, including the requirements that a charter school comply with nondiscrimination and privacy laws, including the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, section 444 of the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA), part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) (i.e., rights afforded to children with disabilities and their parents), and applicable State laws.

For more information on eligibility, please see section III.1 of this notice.

Priorities: This notice includes five competitive preference priorities.

Competitive Preference Priorities 1, 2, 3, and 4 are from the notice of final priorities, requirements, definitions, and selection criteria (NFP) for this program published in the Federal Register on March 9, 2020 (85 FR 13640) (Administrative Priorities).

Note: In order to receive points under these competitive preference priorities, the applicant must identify the priority or priorities that it is addressing and provide documentation that supports the identified competitive preference priority or priorities.

Competitive Preference Priorities: For FY 2020 and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applications from this competition, these priorities are competitive preference priorities.

For CFDA number 84.282B, under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), we will award additional points to an application that meets Competitive Preference Priority 1: up to an additional 7 points, depending on how well the application addresses Competitive Preference Priority 2; up to an additional 5 points to an application, depending on how well the application addresses Competitive Preference Priority 3; and an additional 3 points to an application that meets Competitive Preference Priority 5. The maximum number of competitive preference priority points an application for CFDA number 84.282B can receive under these priorities is 22.

For CFDA number 84.282E, under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), we will award an...
additional 7 points to an application that meets Competitive Preference Priority 1; up to an additional 7 points, depending on how well the application addresses Competitive Preference Priority 2; up to an additional 5 points to an application, depending on how well the application addresses Competitive Preference Priority 3; and an additional 3 points to an application that meets Competitive Preference Priority 4. The maximum number of competitive preference priority points an application for CFDA number 84.282E can receive under these priorities is 22.

These priorities are:

**Competitive Preference Priority 1—Rural Community.** (0 or 7 points under CFDA numbers 84.282B and 84.282E).

Under this priority, applicants must propose to open a new charter school or to replicate or expand a high-quality charter school in a rural community.

**Competitive Preference Priority 2—Spurring Investment in Opportunity Zones.**

Under this priority, an applicant must address one or both of the following priority areas:

(a) Proposes to open a new charter school or to replicate or expand a high-quality charter school in a qualified opportunity zone as designated by the Secretary of the Treasury under section 1400Z–1 of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended by the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (Pub. L. 115–97). (0 or 3 points under CFDA numbers 84.282B and 84.282E)

In addressing paragraph (a) of this priority, an applicant must provide the census tract number of the qualified opportunity zone in which it proposes to open a new charter school or replicate or expand a high-quality charter school. A list of qualified opportunity zones, with census tract numbers, is available at www.cdfifund.gov/Pages/Opportunity-Zones.aspx.

Note: Applicants may also determine whether a particular area is part of a qualified opportunity zone using the National Center of Education Statistics’ map located at https://nces.ed.gov/programs/maped/LocaleLookup/.

(b) Provide evidence in its application that it has received or will receive an investment from a qualified opportunity fund under section 1400Z–2 of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended by the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, for one or more of the following, as needed to open or to replicate or expand the school:

(1) The acquisition (by purchase, lease, donation, or otherwise) of an interest (including an interest held by a third party for the benefit of the school) in improved or unimproved real property;

(2) The construction of new facilities, or the renovation, repair, or alteration of existing facilities;

(3) The predevelopment costs required to assess sites for purposes of subparagraph (1) or (2); and

(4) The acquisition of other tangible property.

In addressing paragraph (b) of this priority, an applicant must identify the qualified opportunity fund from which it has received or will receive financial assistance. (0 or 4 points under CFDA numbers 84.282B and 84.282E)

**Competitive Preference Priority 3—Opening a New Charter School or Replicating or Expanding a High-quality Charter School to Serve Native American Students.** (Up to 5 points under CFDA numbers 84.282B and 84.282E)

Under this priority, applicants must—

(a) Propose to open a new charter school, or replicate or expand a high-quality charter school, that—

(1) Utilizes targeted outreach and recruitment in order to serve a high proportion of Native American students, consistent with nondiscrimination requirements contained in the U.S. Constitution and Federal civil rights laws;

(2) Has a mission and focus that will address the unique educational needs of Native American students, such as through the use of instructional programs and teaching methods that reflect and preserve Native American language, culture, and history; and

(3) Has or will have a governing board with a substantial percentage of members who are members of Indian Tribes or Native American organizations located within the area to be served by the new, replicated, or expanded charter school;

(b) Submit a letter of support from at least one Indian Tribe or Native American organization located within the area to be served by the new, replicated, or expanded charter school;

(c) Meaningfully collaborate with the Indian Tribe(s) or Native American organization(s) from which the applicant has received a letter of support in a timely, active, and ongoing manner with respect to the development and implementation of the educational program at the charter school.

**Competitive Preference Priority 4—Single School Operators.** (0 or 3 points under CFDA number 84.282E)

Under this priority, applicants must provide evidence that the applicant currently operates one, and only one, charter school.

**Competitive Preference Priority 5—Applications from New Potential Grantees.** (0 or 3 points under CFDA number 84.282B)

Under this priority, an applicant must demonstrate that it has never received a grant, including through membership in a group application submitted in accordance with 34 CFR 75.127–75.129, under the program from which it seeks funds.

Definitions:

The following definitions are from sections 4310 and 8101 of the ESEA, section 602 of the IDEA, 34 CFR 77.1, and the NFP.

**Ambitious means promoting continued, meaningful improvement for program participants or for other individuals or entities affected by the grant or representing a significant advancement in the field of education research, practices, or methodologies.** When used to describe a performance target, whether a performance target is ambitious depends upon the context of the relevant performance measure and the baseline for that measure. (34 CFR 77.1)

**Authorized public chartering agency means a State educational agency, local educational agency, or other public entity that has the authority pursuant to State law and approved by the Secretary to authorize or approve a charter school.** (Section 4310(1) of the ESEA)

**Baseline means the starting point from which performance is measured and targets are set.** (34 CFR 77.1)

**Charter management organization means a nonprofit organization that operates or manages a network of charter schools linked by centralized support, operations, and oversight.** (Section 4310(3) of the ESEA)

**Charter school means a public school that—**

(a) In accordance with a specific State statute authorizing the granting of charters to schools, is exempt from significant State or local rules that inhibit the flexible operation and management of public schools, but not from any rules relating to the other requirements of this definition;

(b) Is created by a developer as a public school, or is adapted by a developer from an existing public school, and is operated under public supervision and direction;

(c) Operates in pursuit of a specific set of educational objectives determined by the school’s developer and agreed to by the authorized public chartering agency;

(d) Provides a program of elementary or secondary education, or both;
(e) Is nonsectarian in its programs, admissions policies, employment practices, and all other operations, and is not affiliated with a sectarian school or religious institution;
(f) Does not charge tuition;
(h) Is a school to which parents choose to send their children, and that—
(1) Admits students on the basis of a lottery, consistent with section 4303(c)(3)(A) of the ESEA, if more students apply for admission than can be accommodated; or
(2) In the case of a school that has an affiliated charter school (such as a school that is part of the same network of schools), automatically enrolls students who are enrolled in the immediate prior grade level of the affiliated charter school and, for any additional student openings or student openings created through regular attrition in student enrollment in the affiliated charter school and the enrolling school, admits students on the basis of a lottery as described in clause (1);
(i) Agrees to comply with the same Federal and State audit requirements as do other elementary and secondary schools in the State, unless such State audit requirements are waived by the State;
(j) Meets all applicable Federal, State, and local health and safety requirements;
(k) Operates in accordance with State law;
(l) Has a written performance contract with the authorized public chartering agency in the State that includes a description of how student performance will be measured in accordance with State academic standards; and
(m) May serve students in early childhood education programs or postsecondary students. (Section 4310(2) of the ESEA)

Child with a disability means—
(a) In general—

The term "child with a disability" means a child—

(i) With intellectual disabilities, hearing impairments (including deafness), speech or language impairments, visual impairments, visual impairments, serious emotional disturbance (referred to in this title as "emotional disturbance"), orthopedic impairments, autism, traumatic brain injury, other health impairments, or specific learning disabilities; and
(ii) Who, by reason thereof, needs special education and related services.

(b) Child aged 3 through 9—The term "child with a disability" for a child aged 3 through 9 (or any subset of that age range, including ages 3 through 5), may, at the discretion of the State and the local educational agency, include a child—

(i) Experiencing developmental delays, as defined by the State and as measured by appropriate diagnostic instruments and procedures, in 1 or more of the following areas: physical development; cognitive development; communication development; social or emotional development; or adaptive development; and
(ii) Who, by reason thereof, needs special education and related services. (Section 8101(4) of the ESEA and section 602 of the IDEA)

Demonstrates a rationale means a key project component included in the project’s logic model is informed by research or evaluation findings that suggest the project component is likely to improve relevant outcomes. (34 CFR 77.1)

Developer means an individual or group of individuals (including a public or private nonprofit organization), which may include teachers, administrators and other school staff, parents, or other members of the local community in which a charter school project will be carried out. (Section 4310(5) of the ESEA)

Educationally disadvantaged student means a student in one or more of the categories described in section 1115(c)(2) of the ESEA, which include children who are economically disadvantaged, children with disabilities, migrant students, English learners, neglected or delinquent students, homeless students, and students who are in foster care. (NFP)

English learner, when used with respect to an individual, means an individual—

(a) Who is aged 3 through 21;
(b) Who is enrolled or preparing to enroll in an elementary school or secondary school;
(c) Who was not born in the United States or whose native language is a language other than English;

(ii) Who is a Native American or Alaska Native, or a native resident of the outlying areas; and

(iii) Who comes from an environment where a language other than English has had a significant impact on the individual’s level of English language proficiency; or

(3) Who is migratory, whose native language is a language other than English, and who comes from an environment where a language other than English is dominant; and

(d) Whose difficulties in speaking, reading, writing, or understanding the English language may be sufficient to deny the individual—

(1) The ability to meet the challenging State academic standards;
(2) The ability to successfully achieve in classrooms where the language of instruction is English; or
(3) The opportunity to participate fully in society. (Section 8101(20) of the ESEA)

Expand, when used with respect to a high-quality charter school, means to significantly increase enrollment or add one or more grades to the high-quality charter school. (Section 4310(7) of the ESEA)

High proportion, when used to refer to Native American students, means a fact-specific, case-by-case determination based upon the unique circumstances of a particular charter school or proposed charter school. The Secretary considers “high proportion” to include a majority of Native American students. In addition, the Secretary may determine that less than a majority of Native American students constitutes a “high proportion” based on the unique circumstances of a particular charter school or proposed charter school, as described in the application for funds. (NFP)

High-quality charter school means a charter school that—

(a) Shows evidence of strong academic results, which may include strong student academic growth, as determined by a State;
(b) Has no significant issues in the areas of student safety, financial and operational management, or statutory or regulatory compliance;
(c) Has demonstrated success in significantly increasing student academic achievement, including graduation rates where applicable, for each of the subgroups of students, as defined in section 1111(c)(2) of the ESEA, except
that such demonstration is not required in a case in which the number of students in a group is insufficient to yield statistically reliable information or the results would reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student. (Section 4310(8) of the ESEA)

*Indian Tribe* means a federally recognized or a State-recognized Tribe. (NFP)

*Institution of higher education* means an educational institution in any State that—

(a) Admits as regular students only persons having a certificate of graduation from a school providing secondary education, or the recognized equivalent of such a certificate, or persons who meet the requirements of section 484(d) of the HEA;

(b) Is legally authorized within such State to provide a program of education beyond secondary education;

(c) Provides an educational program for which the institution awards a bachelor’s degree or provides not less than a 2-year program that is acceptable for full credit toward such a degree, or awards a degree that is acceptable for admission to a graduate or professional degree program, subject to review and approval by the Secretary;

(d) Is a public or other nonprofit institution; and

(e) Is accredited by a nationally recognized accrediting agency or association, or if not so accredited, is an institution that has been granted pre-accreditation status by such an agency or association that has been recognized by the Secretary for the granting of pre-accreditation status, and the Secretary has determined that there is satisfactory assurance that the institution will meet the accreditation standards of such an agency or association within a reasonable time. (NFP)

*Logic model* (also referred to as theory of action) means a framework that identifies key project components of the proposed project (i.e., the active “ingredients” that are hypothesized to be critical to achieving the relevant outcomes) and describes the theoretical and operational relationships among the key project components and relevant outcomes. (34 CFR 77.1)

*Native American* means an Indian (including an Alaska Native), as defined in section 6132(b)(2) of the ESEA, Native Hawaiian, or Native American in section 6132(b)(2) of the ESEA, (including an Alaska Native), as defined

*Native American language* means the historical, traditional languages spoken by Native Americans. (NFP)

*Native American organization* means an organization that—

(a) Is legally established—

1. By Tribal or inter-Tribal charter or in accordance with State or Tribal law; and

2. With appropriate constitution, by-laws, or articles of incorporation;

(b) Includes in its purposes the promotion of the education of Native Americans;

(c) Is controlled by a governing board, the majority of which is Native American;

(d) If located on an Indian reservation, operates with the sanction or by charter of the governing body of that reservation;

(e) Is neither an organization or subdivision of, nor under the direct control of, any *institution of higher education*; and

(f) Is not an agency of State or local government. (NFP)

*Performance measure* means any quantitative indicator, statistic, or metric used to gauge program or project performance. (34 CFR 77.1)

*Performance target* means a level of performance that an applicant would seek to meet during the course of a project or as a result of a project. (34 CFR 77.1)

*Project component* means an activity, strategy, intervention, process, product, practice, or policy included in a project. Evidence may pertain to an individual *project component* (e.g., training teachers or instructional practices for English learners and follow-on coaching for these teachers). (34 CFR 77.1)

*Relevant outcome* means the student outcome(s) or other outcome(s) the key *project component* is designed to improve, consistent with the specific goals of the program. (34 CFR 77.1)

*Replicate*, when used with respect to a *high-quality charter school*, means to open a new charter school, or a new campus of a *high-quality charter school*, based on the educational model of an existing *high-quality charter school*, under an existing charter or an additional charter, if permitted or required by State law. (Section 4310(9) of the ESEA)

*Rural community* is a community served by one or more local educational agencies (LEAs) (a) with a locale code of 32, 33, 41, 42, or 43; or (b) that include a majority of schools with a locale code of 32, 33, 41, 42, or 43. Applicants are encouraged to retrieve locale codes from the National Center for Education Statistics School District search tool (https://nces.ed.gov/ccd/districtsearch/), where LEAs can be looked up individually to retrieve locale codes, and Public School search tool (https://nces.ed.gov/ccd/schoolsearch/), where individual schools can be looked up to retrieve locale codes. (NFP)

Applicants for grants under CFDA number 84.282B or 84.282E must address the following application requirements. These requirements are from the NFP and section 4303(f) of the ESEA. The source of each requirement is provided in parentheses following each requirement. Except as otherwise provided, an applicant may choose to respond to each requirement separately or in the context of the applicant’s responses to the selection criteria in section V.1 of this notice.

1. The Department has replaced “State entity’s program” and “subgrant,” respectively, with “program” and “grant.”
structural, or operational factors involving the management of the school (Section 4303(f)(1)(C)(i)(II) of the ESEA); (4) How the autonomy and flexibility granted to a charter school is consistent with the definition of a charter school in section 4310 of the ESEA (Section 4303(f)(1)(C)(i)(III) of the ESEA); (5) How the eligible applicant will solicit and consider input from parents and other members of the community on the implementation and operation of each charter school that will receive funds under the grant (Section 4303(f)(1)(C)(i)(IV) of the ESEA); (6) The eligible applicant’s planned activities and expenditures of grant funds to support the activities described in section 4303(b)(1) of the ESEA, and how the eligible applicant will maintain financial sustainability after the end of the grant period (Section 4303(f)(1)(C)(i)(V) of the ESEA); (7) How the eligible applicant will support the use of effective parent, family, and community engagement strategies to operate each charter school that will receive funds under the grant (Section 4303(f)(1)(C)(i)(VI) of the ESEA); and (8) How the eligible applicant will ensure that each charter school receiving funds under this program has considered and planned for the transportation needs of the school’s students (Section 4303(f)(1)(E) of the ESEA).

(b) Describe the educational program that the applicant will implement in the charter school receiving funding under this program, including— (1) Information on how the program will enable all students to meet the challenging State academic standards; (2) The grade levels or ages of students who will be served; and (3) The instructional practices that will be used. (NFP) (c) Describe how the applicant will ensure that the charter school that will receive funds will recruit, enroll, and retain students, including educationally disadvantaged students, which include children with disabilities and English learners. (NFP) (d) Describe the lottery and enrollment procedures that the applicant will use for the charter school if more students apply for admission than can be accommodated and, if the applicant proposes to use a weighted lottery, how the weighted lottery complies with section 4303(c)(3)(A) of the ESEA. (NFP) (e) Provide a complete logic model (as defined in 34 CFR 77.1) for the grant project in logic model must include the applicant’s objectives for implementing a new charter school or replicating or expanding a high-quality charter school with funding under this competition. (NFP) (f) Provide a budget narrative, aligned with the activities, target grant project outputs, and outcomes described in the logic model, that outlines how grant funds will be expended to carry out planned activities. (NFP) (g) If the applicant proposes to open a new charter school (CFDA number 84.282B) or proposes to replicate or expand a high-quality charter school (CFDA number 84.282E) that provides a single-sex educational program, demonstrate that the proposed single-sex educational programs are in compliance with the title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681, et seq.) (“Title IX”) and its implementing regulations, including 34 CFR 106.34. (NFP) (h) Provide the applicant’s most recent available independently audited financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. (NFP) (i) Provide— (1) A request and justification for waivers of any Federal statutory or regulatory provisions that the eligible entity believes are necessary for the successful operation of the charter school to be opened or to be replicated or expanded; and (2) A description of any State or local rules, generally applicable to public schools, that will be waived or otherwise not apply to the school that will receive funds. (NFP) (j) Describe how each school that will receive funds meets the definition of charter school under section 4310(2) of the ESEA. (NFP) Grants for the Replication and Expansion of High-Quality Charter Schools (CFDA number 84.282E). In addition to the preceding application requirements, applicants for grants under CFDA number 84.282E must— (a) For each charter school currently operated or managed by the applicant, provide— (1) Information that demonstrates that the school is treated as a separate school by its authorized public chartering agency and the State, including for purposes of accountability and reporting under title I, part A of the ESEA; (2) Student assessment results for all students and for each subgroup of students described in section 1111(c)(2) of the ESEA; (3) Attendance and student retention rates for the most recently completed school year and, if applicable, the most recent available four-year adjusted cohort graduation rates and extended-
Management and Budget Guidelines to Agencies on Governmentwide Debarment and Suspension (Nonprocurement) in 2 CFR part 180, as adopted and amended as regulations of the Department in 2 CFR part 3485. (c) The Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards in 2 CFR part 200, as adopted and amended as regulations of the Department in 2 CFR part 3474. (d) The NFP. (e) The Administrative Priorities.

Note: The regulations in 34 CFR part 79 apply to all applicants except federally recognized Indian Tribes.

II. Award Information

Type of Award: Discretionary grants. Estimated Available Funds: $7,500,000.

Contingent upon the availability of funds and the quality of applications, we may make additional awards in subsequent years from the list of unfunded applications from this competition.

Estimated Range of Awards: $150,000—$300,000 per year. Estimated Average Size of Awards: $225,000 per year. Maximum Award: See Reasonable and Necessary Costs in section III.4. for information regarding the maximum amount of funds that may be awarded per new school seat and per new school. Estimated Number of Awards: 12–25.

Note: The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice. The estimated range and average size of awards are based on a single 12-month budget period. We may use available funds to support multiple 12-month budget periods for one or more grantees. Project Period: Up to 60 months.

III. Eligibility Information

1. Eligible Applicants:

Eligible applicants are developers that have—

(a) Applied to an authorized public chartering authority to operate a charter school; and

(b) Provided adequate and timely notice to that authority. (Section 4310(6) of the ESEA).

Additionally, the charter school must be located in a State with a State statute specifically authorizing the establishment of charter schools (as defined in section 4310(2) of the ESEA) and in which a State entity currently does not have a CSP State Entity grant (CFDA number 84.282A) under section 4303 of the ESEA. (Section 4305(a)(2)

4 States in which a State entity currently has an approved CSP State Entity grant application under section 4303 of the ESEA that is actively running subgrant competitions are Alabama, Arizona, of the ESEA) Eligibility in a State with a CSP SEA grant (CFDA 84.282A) under the ESEA, as amended by NCLB, is limited to grants for replication and expansion and only if the Department has not approved an amendment to the SEA’s approved grant application authorizing the SEA to make subgrants for replication and expansion.

As a general matter, the Secretary considers charter schools that have been in operation for more than five years to be past the initial implementation phase and, therefore, ineligible to receive CSP funds under CFDA number 84.282B to support the opening of a new charter school or under CFDA number 84.282E for the replication of a high-quality charter school; however, such schools may receive CSP funds under CFDA number 84.282E for the expansion of a high-quality charter school.

Note: If an applicant has applied to an authorized public chartering agency to operate a new school and has not yet been approved, it must include in its application, a dated copy of its application to the authorized public chartering agency, and information addressing the plan and timeline to receive notification from the authorizer on the final decision. Additionally, an applicant should delineate any costs in its proposed budget that are projected to be incurred prior to the date the applicant’s charter school application is approved by the authorized public chartering agency.

2. Cost Sharing or Matching: This program does not require cost sharing or matching.

3. Subgrantees: A grantee under this competition may not award subgrants to entities to directly carry out project activities described in its application.

Arkansas, Colorado, Delaware, Idaho, Indiana, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Texas, Washington, and Wisconsin. We will not consider applications from applicants in these States under either CFDA 84.282B or 84.282E.

5 States in which the SEA currently has an approved CSP SEA grant application under the ESEA, as amended by NCLB (i.e., a grant award made in fiscal year 2016 or earlier), are California, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Nevada, Ohio, South Carolina, and Tennessee. We will not consider applications from applicants in these States for grants for the operation of new charter schools submitted under CFDA number 84.282E.

6 States in which the SEA currently has an approved CSP SEA grant application under the ESEA, as amended by NCLB (i.e., a grant award made in fiscal year 2015 or earlier), and have approved amendment requests that authorize the SEA to make subgrants for replication and expansion, are California, District of Columbia, Massachusetts, Nevada, and Ohio. We will not consider applications from applicants in these States for grants for the replication or expansion of high-quality charter schools under CFDA 84.282E.

IV. Application and Submission Information

1. Application Submission Instructions: Applicants are required to follow the Common Instructions for Applicants to Department of Education Discretionary Grant Programs, published in the Federal Register on February 13, 2019 (84 FR 3768) and available at www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2019-02-13/pdf/2019-02206.pdf, which contain requirements and information on how to submit an application. Grants.gov has relaxed the requirement for applicants to have an active registration in SAM in order to apply for funding during the COVID–19 pandemic. An applicant that does not have an active SAM registration can still register with Grants.gov; but must contact the Grants.gov Support Desk, toll-free, at 1–800–518–4726, in order to take advantage of this flexibility.

4. Reasonable and Necessary Costs: The Secretary may elect to impose maximum limits on the amount of grant funds that may be awarded for a new charter school, or replicated, or expanded, high-quality charter school.

For this competition, the maximum limit of grant funds that may be awarded for a new, replicated, or expanded charter school is $1,500,000.

In accordance with 2 CFR 200.404, applicants must ensure that all costs included in the proposed budget are reasonable and necessary in light of the goals and objectives of the proposed project. Any costs determined by the Secretary to be unreasonable or unnecessary will be removed from the final approved budget.

A charter school that previously has received CSP funds for replication or expansion or for planning or initial implementation of a charter school under CFDA number 84.282A or 84.282M (under the ESEA) may not use funds under this grant for the same purpose. However, such charter school may be eligible to receive funds under this competition to expand the charter school beyond the existing grade levels or student count and beyond the grade levels or projected student count provided in the previous CSP award. Likewise, a charter school that receives funds under this competition is ineligible to receive funds for the same purpose under section 4303(b)(1) or 4305(b) of the ESEA, including opening and preparing for the operation of a new charter school, opening and preparing for the operation of a replicated high-quality charter school, or expanding a high-quality charter school (i.e., CFDA number 84.282A or 84.282M).
2. Submission of Proprietary Information: Given the types of projects that may be proposed in applications for this competition, your application may include business information that you consider proprietary.

In 34 CFR 5.11 we define “business information” and describe the process we use in determining whether any of that information is proprietary and, thus, protected from disclosure under Exemption 4 of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552, as amended).

Because we plan to make successful applications available to the public, you may wish to request confidentiality of business information.

Consistent with Executive Order 12600, please designate in your application any information that you believe is exempt from disclosure under Exemption 4. In the appropriate Appendix section of your application, under “Other Attachments Form,” please list the page number or numbers on which we can find this information.

For additional information please see 34 CFR 5.11(c).

3. Intergovernmental Review: This competition is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. Information about Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs under Executive Order 12372 is in the application package for this program.

4. Funding Restrictions: Grantees must use the grant funds to open and prepare for the operation of a new charter school; to open and prepare for the operation of a replicated high-quality charter school; or to expand a high-quality charter school, as applicable. Grant funds must be used to carry out allowable activities, described in section 4303(d) of the ESEA, which include the following:

   (a) Preparing teachers, school leaders, and specialized instructional support personnel, including through paying the costs associated with—
   (1) Providing professional development; and
   (2) Hiring and compensating, during the eligible applicant’s planning period specified in the application for funds, one or more of the following:
   (i) Teachers.
   (ii) School leaders.
   (iii) Specialized instructional support personnel.

   (b) Acquiring supplies, training, equipment (including technology), and educational materials (including developing and acquiring instructional materials).

   (c) Carrying out necessary renovations to ensure that a new school building complies with applicable statutes and regulations, and minor facilities repairs (excluding construction).

   (d) Providing one-time, startup costs associated with providing transportation to students to and from the charter school.

   (e) Carrying out community engagement activities, which may include paying the cost of student and staff recruitment.

   (f) Providing for other appropriate, non-sustained costs related to the opening of new charter schools, or the replication or expansion of high-quality charter schools, as applicable, when such costs cannot be met from other sources.

   A grant awarded by the Secretary under this competition may be for a period of not more than five years, of which the grantee may use not more than 18 months for planning and program design. (Section 4303(d)(1)(B) of the ESEA). Applicants may propose to support only one charter school per grant application.

   We refer you to additional regulations outlining funding restrictions in the Applicable Regulations section of this notice.

5. Recommended Page Limit: The application narrative (Part III of the application) is where you, the applicant, address the selection criteria that reviewers use to evaluate your application. We recommend that you (1) limit the narrative to no more than 50 pages, and (2) use the following standards:

   • A “page” is 8.5” x 11”, on one side only, with 1” margins at the top, bottom, and both sides.

   • Double space (no more than three lines per vertical inch) all text in the application narrative, including titles, headings, footnotes, quotations, references, and captions, as well as all text in charts, tables, figures, and graphs.

   • Use a font that is either 12 point or larger or no smaller than 10 pitch (characters per inch).

   • Use one of the following fonts: Times New Roman, Courier, Courier New, or Arial.

   The recommended page limit does not apply to Part I, the cover sheet; Part II, the budget section, including the narrative budget justification; Part IV, the assurances and certifications; or the one-page abstract, the resumes, the bibliography, or the letters of support. However, the recommended page limit does apply to all of the application narrative.

6. Notice of Intent to Apply: The Department will be able to develop a more efficient process for reviewing grant applications if it has a better understanding of the number of entities that intend to apply for funding under this competition. Therefore, we strongly encourage each potential applicant to notify us of their intent to submit an application for funding by sending an email to charterschools@ed.gov with FY 2020 CSP Developer Intent to Apply in the subject line, by June 1, 2020.

Applicants that do not send a notice of intent to apply may still apply for funding.

V. Application Review Information

1. Selection Criteria. The selection criteria for applicants submitting applications under CFDA numbers 84.282B and 84.282E are listed in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, respectively. These selection criteria are from the NFP and 34 CFR 75.210. The maximum possible score for addressing all of the criteria in each section is 100 points. The maximum possible score for addressing each criterion is indicated in parentheses following the criterion.

   In evaluating an application for a Developer Grant, the Secretary considers the following criteria:

   (a) Selection Criteria for Grants for the Opening of New Charter Schools (CFDA number 84.282B)

   (1) Quality of the management plan (up to 30 points).

   The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project. In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

   (i) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks (up to 15 points). (34 CFR 75.210(g)(2)(i))

   (ii) The extent to which the time commitments of the project director and principal investigator and other key project personnel are appropriate and adequate to meet the objectives of the proposed project (up to 10 points). (34 CFR 75.210(g)(2)(iv))

   (iii) How the applicant will ensure that a diversity of perspectives are brought to bear in the operation of the proposed project, including those of parents, teachers, the business community, a variety of disciplinary and professional fields, recipients or beneficiaries of services, or others, as appropriate (up to 5 points). (34 CFR 75.210(g)(2)(v))

   (2) Quality of the continuation plan (up to 10 points).
In determining the quality of the continuation plan, the Secretary considers the extent to which the eligible applicant is prepared to continue to operate the charter school that would receive grant funds in a manner consistent with the eligible applicant’s application once the grant funds under this program are no longer available. (NFP)

(3) Significance of contribution in assisting educationally disadvantaged students (up to 20 points).

In determining the significance of the contribution the proposed project will make in expanding educational opportunity for educationally disadvantaged students and enabling those students to meet challenging State academic standards, the Secretary considers the quality of the plan to ensure that the charter school the applicant proposes to open, replicate, or expand will recruit, enroll, and effectively serve educationally disadvantaged students, which include children with disabilities and English learners. (NFP)

(4) Quality of the project design (up to 25 points).

The Secretary considers the quality of the design of the proposed project. In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the proposed project demonstrates a rationale (as defined in 34 CFR 77.1(c)) (up to 10 points). (34 CFR 75.210(c)(2)(xxix))

(ii) The extent to which the goals, objectives, and outcomes to be achieved by the proposed project are clearly specified and measurable (up to 10 points). (34 CFR 75.210(c)(2)(i))

(iii) The extent to which the design for implementing and evaluating the proposed project will result in information to guide possible replication of project activities or strategies, including information about the effectiveness of the approach or strategies employed by the project (up to 5 points). (34 CFR 75.210(c)(2)(x))

(5) Quality of the project personnel (up to 15 points).

The Secretary considers the quality of the personnel who will carry out the proposed project.

(i) In determining the quality of project personnel, the Secretary considers the extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability (up to 5 points). (34 CFR 75.210(e)(2))

(ii) In addition, the Secretary considers the qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel (up to 10 points). (34 CFR 75.210(e)(3)(ii))

(b) Selection Criteria for Replication and Expansion Grants (CFDA number 84.282E).

(1) Quality of the eligible applicant (up to 30 points).

In determining the quality of the eligible applicant, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the academic achievement results (including annual student performance on statewide assessments and annual student attendance and retention rates and where applicable and available, student academic growth, high school graduation rates, postsecondary enrollment and persistence rates, including in college or career training programs, employment rates, earnings and other academic outcomes) for educationally disadvantaged students served by the charter schools operated or managed by the applicant have exceeded the average academic achievement results for such students served by other public schools in the State (up to 10 points). (NFP)

(ii) The extent to which one or more charter schools operated or managed by the applicant have closed; have had a charter revoked due to noncompliance with statutory or regulatory requirements; or have had their affiliation with the applicant revoked or terminated, including through voluntary disaffiliation (up to 10 points). (NFP)

(iii) The extent to which one or more charter schools operated or managed by the applicant have had any significant issues in the area of financial or operational management or student safety, or have otherwise experienced significant problems with statutory or regulatory compliance that could lead to revocation of the school’s charter (up to 5 points). (NFP)

(iv) The extent to which the schools operated or managed by the applicant demonstrate strong results in on measurable outcomes in non-academic areas such as, but not limited to, parent satisfaction, school climate, student mental health, civic engagement, and crime prevention and reduction (up to 5 points). (NFP)

(2) Quality of the continuation plan (up to 10 points).

In determining the quality of the continuation plan, the Secretary considers the extent to which the eligible applicant is prepared to continue to operate the charter school that would receive grant funds in a manner consistent with the eligible applicant’s application once the grant funds under this program are no longer available. (NFP)

(3) Significance of contribution in assisting educationally disadvantaged students (up to 20 points).

In determining the significance of the contribution the proposed project will make in expanding educational opportunity for educationally disadvantaged students and enabling those students to meet challenging State academic standards, the Secretary considers the quality of the plan to ensure that the charter school the applicant proposes to open, replicate, or expand will recruit, enroll, and effectively serve educationally disadvantaged students, which include children with disabilities and English learners. (NFP)

(4) Quality of the project design (up to 25 points).

The Secretary considers the quality of the design of the proposed project. In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the proposed project demonstrates a rationale (as defined in 34 CFR 77.1(c)) (up to 10 points). (34 CFR 75.210(c)(2)(xxix))

(ii) The extent to which the goals, objectives, and outcomes to be achieved by the proposed project are clearly specified and measurable (up to 10 points). (34 CFR 75.210(c)(2)(i))

(iii) The extent to which the design for implementing and evaluating the proposed project will result in information to guide possible replication of project activities or strategies, including information about the effectiveness of the approach or strategies employed by the project (up to 5 points). (34 CFR 75.210(c)(2)(x))

(5) Quality of the project personnel and management plan (up to 15 points).

The Secretary considers the quality of the personnel who will carry out the proposed project and the management plan. In determining the quality of project personnel and the management plan, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability (up to 2 points). (34 CFR 75.210(e)(2))

(ii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel (up to 10 points). (34 CFR 75.210(e)(3)(i))

(iii) The adequacy of procedures for ensuring feedback and continuous improvement in the operation of the
proposed project (up to 3 points). (34 CFR 75.210(g)(2)(iii))

2. Review and Selection Process: We remind potential applicants that in reviewing applications in any discretionary grant competition, the Secretary may consider, under 34 CFR 75.217(d)(3), the past performance of the applicant in carrying out a previous award, such as the applicant’s use of funds, achievement of project objectives, and compliance with grant conditions. The Secretary may also consider whether the applicant failed to submit a timely performance report or submitted a report of unacceptable quality.

In addition, in making a competitive grant award, the Secretary requires various assurances, including those applicable to Federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance from the Department (34 CFR 100.4, 104.5, 106.4, 108.8, and 110.23).

3. Risk Assessment and Specific Conditions: Consistent with 2 CFR 200.205, before awarding grants under this competition the Department conducts a review of the risks posed by applicants. Under 2 CFR 3474.10, the Secretary may impose specific conditions and, in appropriate circumstances, high-risk conditions on a grant if the applicant or grantee is not financially stable; has a history of unsatisfactory performance; has a financial or other management system that does not meet the standards in 2 CFR part 200, subpart D; has not fulfilled the conditions of a prior grant; or is otherwise not responsible.

4. Integrity and Performance System: If you are selected under this competition to receive an award that over the course of the project period may exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (currently $250,000), under 2 CFR 200.205(a)(2) we must make a judgment about your integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards—that is, the risk posed by you as an applicant—before we make an award. In doing so, we must consider any information about you that is in the integrity and performance system (currently referred to as the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS)), accessible through SAM. You may review and comment on any information about yourself that a Federal agency previously entered and that is currently in FAPIS.

Please note that, if the total value of your currently active grants, cooperative agreements, procurement contracts from the Federal Government exceeds $10,000,000, the reporting requirements in 2 CFR part 200, Appendix XII, require you to report certain integrity information to FAPIS semiannually. Please review the requirements in 2 CFR part 200, Appendix XII, if this grant plus all the other Federal funds you receive exceed $10,000,000.

VI. Award Administration Information

1. Award Notices: If your application is successful, we notify your U.S. Representative and U.S. Senators and send you a Grant Award Notification (GAN); or we may send you an email containing a link to access an electronic version of your GAN. We may notify you informally, also.

If your application is not evaluated or not selected for funding, we notify you.

2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements: We identify administrative and national policy requirements in the application package and reference these and other requirements in the Applicable Regulations section of this notice.

We reference the regulations outlining the terms and conditions of an award in the Applicable Regulations section of this notice and include these and other specific conditions in the GAN. The GAN also incorporates your approved application as part of your binding commitments under the grant.

3. Open Licensing Requirements: Unless an exception applies, if you are awarded a grant under this competition, you will be required to openly license to the public grant deliverables created in whole, or in part, with Department grant funds. When the deliverable consists of modifications to pre-existing works, the license extends only to those modifications that can be separately identified and only to the extent that open licensing is permitted under the terms of any licenses or other legal restrictions on the use of pre-existing works. Additionally, a grantee or subgrantee that is awarded competitive grant funds must have a plan to disseminate these public grant deliverables. This dissemination plan can be developed and submitted after your application has been reviewed and selected for funding. For additional information on the open licensing requirements please refer to 2 CFR 3474.20.

4. Reporting: (a) If you apply for a grant under this competition, you must ensure that you have in place the necessary processes and systems to comply with the reporting requirements in 2 CFR part 170 should you receive funding under the competition. This does not apply if you have an exception under 2 CFR 170.110(b).

(b) At the end of your project period, you must submit a final performance report, including financial information, as directed by the Secretary. If you receive a multiyear award, you must submit an annual performance report that provides the most current performance and financial expenditure information as directed by the Secretary under 34 CFR 75.118. The Secretary may also require more frequent performance reports under 34 CFR 75.720(c). For specific requirements on reporting, please go to www.ed.gov/fund/grant/apply/appforms/appforms.html.

(c) Under 34 CFR 75.250(b), the Secretary may provide a grantee with additional funding for data collection analysis and reporting. In this case the Secretary establishes a data collection period.

5. Performance Measures: (a) The Secretary has two performance indicators to measure progress toward achieving the purposes of the program, which are discussed elsewhere in this notice. The performance indicators are: (1) The number of charter schools in operation around the Nation and (2) the percentage of fourth- and eighth-grade charter school students who are achieving at or above the proficient level on State assessments in mathematics and reading/language arts.

Additionally, the Secretary has established the following measure to examine the efficiency of the CSP: The Federal cost per student in implementing a successful school (defined as a school in operation for three or more consecutive years).

(b) Project-Specific Performance Measures. Applicants must propose project-specific performance measures and performance targets consistent with the objectives of the proposed project. Applications must provide the following information as directed under 34 CFR 75.110(b) and (c):

(1) Performance measures: How each proposed performance measure would accurately measure the performance of the project and how the proposed performance measure would be consistent with the performance measures established for the program funding the competition.

(2) Baseline data. (i) Why each proposed baseline is valid; or (ii) if the applicant has determined that there are no established baseline data for a particular performance measure, an explanation of why there is no established baseline and of how and when, during the project period, the applicant would establish a valid baseline for the performance measure.
(3) Performance targets. Why each proposed performance target is ambitious yet achievable compared to the baseline for the performance measure and when, during the project period, the applicant would meet the performance target(s).

(4) Data collection and reporting. (i) The data collection and reporting methods the applicant would use and why those methods are likely to yield reliable, valid, and meaningful performance data; and (ii) the applicant’s capacity to collect and report reliable, valid, and meaningful performance data, as evidenced by high-quality data collection, analysis, and reporting in other projects or research.

All grantees must submit an annual performance report with information that is responsive to these performance measures.

6. Continuation Awards: In making a continuation award under 34 CFR 75.253, the Secretary considers, among other things: Whether a grantee has made substantial progress in achieving the goals and objectives of the project; whether the grantee has expended funds in a manner that is consistent with its approved application and budget; and, if the Secretary has established performance measurement requirements, the performance targets in the grantee’s approved application.

In making a continuation award, the Secretary also considers whether the grantee is operating in compliance with the assurances in its approved application, including those applicable to Federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance from the Department (34 CFR 100.4, 104.5, 106.4, 108.8, and 110.23).

7. Project Director’s Meeting: Applicants approved for funding under this competition must attend a two-day meeting for project directors at a location to be determined in the continental United States during each year of the project. Applicants may include the cost of attending this meeting as an administrative cost in their proposed budgets.

VII. Other Information

Accessible Format: Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document and a copy of the application package in an accessible format (e.g., braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc) on request to the program contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. You may access the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations at www.govinfo.gov. At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the Federal Register, in text or Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the Federal Register by using the article search feature at www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

Frank T. Brogan,
Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.

[FR Doc. 2020–11047 Filed 5–21–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Combined Notice of Filings #1

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric corporate filings:

- **Docket Numbers:** EC20–67–000.
  - **Applicants:** Broadview Energy JN, LLC, Broadview Energy KW, LLC.
  - **Filed Date:** 5/15/20.
  - **Accession Number:** 20200515–5304.
  - **Comments Due:** 5 p.m. ET 6/5/20.

- **Docket Numbers:** EG20–166–000.
  - **Applicants:** Tehachapi Plains Wind, LLC.
  - **Description:** Notice of Self-Certification of Exempt Wholesale Generator Status of Tehachapi Plains Wind, LLC.
  - **Filed Date:** 5/15/20.
  - **Accession Number:** 20200515–5210.
  - **Comments Due:** 5 p.m. ET 6/5/20.

Take notice that the Commission received the following exempt wholesale generator filings:

- **Docket Numbers:** ER20–1396–001.
  - **Applicants:** VETCO.
  - **Description:** Tariff Amendment: Amendment to Baseline Filing to be effective 3/26/2020.
  - **Filed Date:** 5/18/20.
  - **Accession Number:** 20200518–5113.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 5/26/20.

Docket Numbers: ER20–1830–001.
Applicants: Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.

Filed Date: 5/18/20.
Accession Number: 20200518–5090.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 6/8/20.
Docket Numbers: ER20–1836–000.
Applicants: Dominion Energy South Carolina, Inc.
Description: Compliance filing: Order 864 Compliance Filing to be effective 6/27/2020.

Filed Date: 5/15/20.
Accession Number: 20200515–5204.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 6/5/20.
Docket Numbers: ER20–1837–000.
Description: Compliance filing: Joint OATT Order 864 Compliance Filing to be effective 1/27/2020.

Filed Date: 5/15/20.
Accession Number: 20200515–5214.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 6/5/20.
Docket Numbers: ER20–1838–000.

Filed Date: 5/15/20.
Accession Number: 20200515–5307.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 6/5/20.
Docket Numbers: ER20–1839–000.
Applicants: VETCO.
Description: Compliance filing: Compliance Filing for Order No. 864 to be effective 3/26/2020.

Filed Date: 5/18/20.
Accession Number: 20200518–5068.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 6/8/20.
Docket Numbers: ER20–1840–000.
Applicants: Southern California Edison Company.
Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Second Amendment DSA P&G Oxnard Cogen2 SA No. 1086 to be effective 4/1/2020.

Filed Date: 5/18/20.
Accession Number: 20200518–5073.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 6/8/20.