

exemption request involves no significant hazards consideration because granting the licensee's partial exemption request from the recordkeeping requirements of 10 CFR 50.71(c); 10 CFR part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVII; and 10 CFR 50.59(d)(3) at the decommissioning DAEC does not: (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety (10 CFR 50.92(c)). Likewise, there is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite, and no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure.

The exempted regulations are not associated with construction, so there is no significant construction impact. The exempted regulations do not concern the source term (*i.e.*, potential amount of radiation involved in an accident) or accident mitigation; therefore, there is no significant increase in the potential for, or consequences from, radiological accidents. Allowing the licensee partial exemption from the record retention requirements for which the exemption is sought involves recordkeeping requirements, as well as reporting requirements of an administrative, managerial, or organizational nature.

Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) and 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the approval of this partial exemption request.

#### IV. Conclusions

The NRC has determined that the granting of the partial exemption request from the recordkeeping requirements of 10 CFR 50.71(c); 10 CFR part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVII; and 10 CFR 50.59(d)(3) will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety. The destruction of the identified records will not impact remaining decommissioning activities; plant operations, configuration, and/or radiological effluents; operational and/or installed SSCs that are quality-related or important to safety; or nuclear security. The NRC staff determined that the destruction of the identified records is administrative in nature and does not involve information or activities that could potentially impact the common defense and security of the United States.

The purpose for the recordkeeping regulations is to assist the NRC in carrying out its mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that the licensing and design basis of the facility is understood, documented, preserved and retrievable in such a way that will aid the NRC in determining compliance and noncompliance, taking action on possible noncompliance, and examining facts following an incident. Since the DAEC SSCs that were safety-related or important to safety have been or will be removed from the licensing basis and removed from the plant, the staff agrees that the records identified in the partial exemption request will no longer be required to achieve the underlying purpose of the records retention rule.

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the partial exemptions are authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and are consistent with the common defense and security. Also, special circumstances are present. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants NEDA a partial exemption from the recordkeeping requirements of 10 CFR 50.71(c); 10 CFR part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVII; and 10 CFR 50.59(d)(3) for DAEC only to the extent necessary to allow the licensee to advance the schedule to remove records associated with SSCs that have been or will be removed from NRC licensing basis documents by appropriate change mechanisms (*e.g.*, 10 CFR 50.59 or via NRC-approved license amendment request, as applicable).

This partial exemption is effective upon submittal of the licensee's certification of permanent fuel removal, under 10 CFR 50.82(a)(1).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of May, 2020.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
Craig G. Erlanger,  
Director Division of Operating Reactor  
Licensing.

[FR Doc. 2020-10742 Filed 5-18-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

#### POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2020-132 and CP2020-139;  
MC2020-133 and CP2020-140]

##### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission's consideration concerning

a negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* May 20, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

##### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

##### I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.<sup>1</sup>

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states

<sup>1</sup> See Docket No. RM2018-3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19-22 (Order No. 4679).

concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

## II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s)*: MC2020–132 and CP2020–139; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail & First-Class Package Service Contract 147 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: May 12, 2020; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 *et seq.*, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative*: Kenneth R. Moeller; *Comments Due*: May 20, 2020.

2. *Docket No(s)*: MC2020–133 and CP2020–140; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 615 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: May 12, 2020; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 *et seq.*, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative*: Gregory S. Stanton; *Comments Due*: May 20, 2020.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Erica A. Barker,  
*Secretary*.

[FR Doc. 2020–10637 Filed 5–18–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–88863; File No. SR–NYSE–2019–54]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Withdrawal of a Proposed Rule Change To Permit the Exchange To List and Trade Exchange Traded Products

May 13, 2020.

On October 3, 2019, New York Stock Exchange LLC (“Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to list and trade Exchange Traded Products that have a component

NMS Stock listed on the Exchange or that are based on, or represent an interest in, an underlying index or reference asset that includes an NMS Stock listed on the Exchange. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on October 23, 2019.<sup>3</sup>

On December 5, 2019, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>4</sup> the Commission designated a longer period within which to approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change.<sup>5</sup> On January 17, 2020, the Commission instituted proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act<sup>6</sup> to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.<sup>7</sup> On April 17, 2020, the Commission designated a longer period for Commission action on proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.<sup>8</sup> On May 13, 2020, the Exchange withdrew the proposed rule change (SR–NYSE–2019–54).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>9</sup>

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,  
*Assistant Secretary*.

[FR Doc. 2020–10652 Filed 5–18–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–88866]

### Order Designating Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., To Receive Form X–17A–5 (FOCUS Report) From Certain Security-Based Swap Dealers and Major Security-Based Swap Participants

May 14, 2020.

#### I. Introduction

Currently, broker-dealers are required to file on a monthly or quarterly basis various parts (Part II, Part IIB, Part II CSE, or Part IIA) of Form X–17A–5 (“FOCUS Report”), a form which is used to report financial and operational information. On September 19, 2019,

<sup>3</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 87329 (Oct. 17, 2019), 84 FR 56864.

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>5</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 87671, 84 FR 67763 (Dec. 11, 2019).

<sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

<sup>7</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88003, 85 FR 4051 (Jan. 23, 2020).

<sup>8</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88677, 85 FR 22767 (Apr. 23, 2020).

<sup>9</sup> 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

the Commission adopted recordkeeping, reporting, and notification requirements for security-based swap dealers and major security-based swap participants (collectively, “security-based swap entities” or “SBSEs”) and additional recordkeeping and reporting requirements for broker-dealers to account for their security-based swap activities.<sup>1</sup> In adopting these requirements, the Commission amended Part II of the FOCUS Report to elicit additional information about the security-based swap activities of broker-dealers that file Part II, including broker-dealers that will also be registered as SBSEs. In addition, the Commission adopted amendments that will require the broker-dealers that currently file Part IIB and Part II CSE to file revised Part II. Further, the Commission adopted a rule (“Rule 18a–7”) pursuant to Section 15F of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) that will require SBSEs that are not also registered with the Commission as broker-dealers or regulated by a prudential regulator (“stand-alone SBSEs”) to file Part II of the FOCUS Report on a monthly basis and SBSEs for which there is a prudential regulator (“bank SBSEs”) to file new Part IIC of the FOCUS Report on a quarterly basis.<sup>2</sup> The compliance date for these entities to file revised Part II or new Part IIC of the FOCUS Report is October 6, 2021.<sup>3</sup>

Most broker-dealers currently file the FOCUS Report electronically on the eFOCUS system<sup>4</sup> developed by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (“FINRA”). These broker-dealers file the FOCUS Report pursuant to a plan established by the broker-dealer’s self-regulatory organization (“SRO”), the procedures and provisions of which have been submitted to and declared effective by the Commission pursuant to paragraph (a)(3) of Exchange Act Rule 17a–5.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements for Security-Based Swap Dealers, Major Security-Based Swap Participants, and Broker-Dealers*, Securities Exchange Act Release No. 87005 (Sept. 19, 2019), 84 FR 68550 (Dec. 16, 2019) (“Recordkeeping Adopting Release”).

<sup>2</sup> See 17 CFR 240.18a–7(a)(1) (applicable to stand-alone SBSEs); 17 CFR 240.18a–7(a)(2) (applicable to bank SBSEs). Revised Part II of the FOCUS Report is available on pages 68672–68721 of the Recordkeeping Adopting Release. New Part IIC of the FOCUS Report is available on pages 68722–68733 of the Recordkeeping Adopting Release.

<sup>3</sup> See *Recordkeeping Adopting Release*, 84 FR at 68600–601; *Cross-Border Application of Certain Security-Based Swap Requirements*, Exchange Act Release No. 87780 (Dec. 18, 2019), 85 FR 6270 (Feb. 4, 2020).

<sup>4</sup> FINRA’s eFOCUS system is available at <https://www.finra.org/filing-reporting/efocus>.

<sup>5</sup> See 17 CFR 240.17a–5(a)(3).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.