

under part 488, subpart A, must provide us with reasonable assurance that the AO requires the accredited provider entities to meet requirements that are at least as stringent as the Medicare conditions. Our regulations concerning the approval of AO are set forth at § 488.5. The regulations at § 488.5(e)(2)(i) require an AO to reapply for continued approval of its accreditation program every 6 years or as determined by CMS.

The DNV–GL Healthcare USA, Inc. (DNV–GL) current term of approval for their hospital accreditation program expires December 23, 2020.

II. Approval of Accreditation Organizations

Section 1865(a)(2) of the Act and our regulations at § 488.5 require that our findings concerning review and approval of a national AO's requirements consider, among other factors, the applying AO's requirements for accreditation; survey procedures; resources for conducting required surveys; capacity to furnish information for use in enforcement activities; monitoring procedures for provider entities found not in compliance with the conditions or requirements; and ability to provide CMS with the necessary data for validation.

Section 1865(a)(3)(A) of the Act further requires that we publish, within 60 days of receipt of an organization's complete application, a notice identifying the national accrediting body making the request, describing the nature of the request, and providing at least a 30-day public comment period. We have 210 days from the receipt of a complete application to publish notice of approval or denial of the application.

The purpose of this proposed notice is to inform the public of DNV–GL's request for continued approval of its CAH accreditation program. This notice also solicits public comment on whether the DNV–GL's requirements meet or exceed the Medicare conditions of participation (CoPs) for CAHs.

III. Evaluation of Deeming Authority Request

DNV–GL submitted all the necessary materials to enable us to make a determination concerning its request for continued approval of its CAH accreditation program. This application was determined to be complete on March 17, 2020. Under 1865(a)(2) of the Act and our regulations at § 488.5 (Application and re-application procedures for national AO), our review and evaluation of the DNV–GL will be conducted in accordance with, but not

necessarily limited to, the following factors:

- The equivalency of the DNV–GL's standards for hospitals as compared with CMS' CAH CoPs.
- The DNV–GL's survey process to determine the following:
 - ++ The composition of the survey team, surveyor qualifications, and the ability of the organization to provide continuing surveyor training.
 - ++ The comparability of the DNV–GL's processes to those of state agencies, including survey frequency, and the ability to investigate and respond appropriately to complaints against accredited facilities.
 - ++ DNV–GL's processes and procedures for monitoring a CAH found out of compliance with DNV–GL's program requirements. These monitoring procedures are used only when the DNV–GL identifies noncompliance. If noncompliance is identified through validation reviews or complaint surveys, the state survey agency monitors corrections as specified at § 488.9.
 - ++ DNV–GL's capacity to report deficiencies to the surveyed facilities and respond to the facility's plan of correction in a timely manner.
 - ++ DNV–GL's capacity to provide CMS with electronic data and reports necessary for effective validation and assessment of the organization's survey process.
 - ++ The adequacy of the DNV–GL's staff and other resources, and its financial viability.
 - ++ DNV–GL's capacity to adequately fund required surveys.
 - ++ DNV–GL's policies with respect to whether surveys are announced or unannounced, to assure that surveys are unannounced.
 - ++ DNV–GL's policies and procedures to avoid conflicts of interest, including the appearance of conflicts of interest, involving individuals who conduct surveys or participate in accreditation decisions.
 - ++ DNV–GL's agreement to provide CMS with a copy of the most current accreditation survey together with any other information related to the survey as we may require (including corrective action plans).

++ DNV–GL's capacity to report deficiencies to the surveyed facilities and respond to the facility's plan of correction in a timely manner.

++ DNV–GL's capacity to provide CMS with electronic data and reports necessary for effective validation and assessment of the organization's survey process.

++ The adequacy of the DNV–GL's staff and other resources, and its financial viability.

++ DNV–GL's capacity to adequately fund required surveys.

++ DNV–GL's policies with respect to whether surveys are announced or unannounced, to assure that surveys are unannounced.

++ DNV–GL's policies and procedures to avoid conflicts of interest, including the appearance of conflicts of interest, involving individuals who conduct surveys or participate in accreditation decisions.

++ DNV–GL's agreement to provide CMS with a copy of the most current accreditation survey together with any other information related to the survey as we may require (including corrective action plans).

IV. Collection of Information Requirements

This document does not impose information collection requirements, that is, reporting, recordkeeping or third party disclosure requirements. Consequently, there is no need for review by the Office of Management and Budget under the authority of the

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 3501 *et seq.*).

V. Response to Comments

Because of the large number of public comments we normally receive on **Federal Register** documents, we are not able to acknowledge or respond to them individually. We will consider all comments we receive by the date and time specified in the **DATES** section of this preamble, and, when we proceed with a subsequent document, we will respond to the comments in the preamble to that document.

The Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), Seema Verma, having reviewed and approved this document, authorizes Evell J. Barco Holland, who is the **Federal Register Liaison**, to electronically sign this document for purposes of publication in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: May 7, 2020.

Evell J. Barco Holland,

Federal Register Liaison, Department of Health and Human Services.

[FR Doc. 2020–10632 Filed 5–15–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Resources and Services Administration

National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program; List of Petitions Received

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: HRSA is publishing this notice of petitions received under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (the Program), as required by the Public Health Service (PHS) Act, as amended. While the Secretary of HHS is named as the respondent in all proceedings brought by the filing of petitions for compensation under the Program, the United States Court of Federal Claims is charged by statute with responsibility for considering and acting upon the petitions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about requirements for filing petitions, and the Program in general, contact Lisa L. Reyes, Clerk of Court, United States Court of Federal Claims, 717 Madison Place NW, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 357–6400. For information on HRSA's role in the Program, contact the Director, National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program,

5600 Fishers Lane, Room 08N146B, Rockville, Maryland 20857; (301) 443-6593, or visit our website at: <http://www.hrsa.gov/vaccinecompensation/index.html>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Program provides a system of no-fault compensation for certain individuals who have been injured by specified childhood vaccines. Subtitle 2 of Title XXI of the PHS Act, 42 U.S.C. 300aa-10 *et seq.*, provides that those seeking compensation are to file a petition with the United States Court of Federal Claims and to serve a copy of the petition to the Secretary of HHS, who is named as the respondent in each proceeding. The Secretary has delegated this responsibility under the Program to HRSA. The Court is directed by statute to appoint special masters who take evidence, conduct hearings as appropriate, and make initial decisions as to eligibility for, and amount of, compensation.

A petition may be filed with respect to injuries, disabilities, illnesses, conditions, and deaths resulting from vaccines described in the Vaccine Injury Table (the Table) set forth at 42 CFR 100.3. This Table lists for each covered childhood vaccine the conditions that may lead to compensation and, for each condition, the time period for occurrence of the first symptom or manifestation of onset or of significant aggravation after vaccine administration. Compensation may also be awarded for conditions not listed in the Table and for conditions that are manifested outside the time periods specified in the Table, but only if the petitioner shows that the condition was caused by one of the listed vaccines.

Section 2112(b)(2) of the PHS Act, 42 U.S.C. 300aa-12(b)(2), requires that “[w]ithin 30 days after the Secretary receives service of any petition filed under section 2111 the Secretary shall publish notice of such petition in the **Federal Register**.” Set forth below is a list of petitions received by HRSA on April 1, 2020, through April 30, 2020. This list provides the name of petitioner, city and state of vaccination (if unknown then city and state of person or attorney filing claim), and case number. In cases where the Court has redacted the name of a petitioner and/or the case number, the list reflects such redaction.

Section 2112(b)(2) also provides that the special master “shall afford all interested persons an opportunity to submit relevant, written information” relating to the following:

1. The existence of evidence “that there is not a preponderance of the

evidence that the illness, disability, injury, condition, or death described in the petition is due to factors unrelated to the administration of the vaccine described in the petition,” and

2. Any allegation in a petition that the petitioner either:

a. “[S]ustained, or had significantly aggravated, any illness, disability, injury, or condition not set forth in the Vaccine Injury Table but which was caused by” one of the vaccines referred to in the Table, or

b. “[S]ustained, or had significantly aggravated, any illness, disability, injury, or condition set forth in the Vaccine Injury Table the first symptom or manifestation of the onset or significant aggravation of which did not occur within the time period set forth in the Table but which was caused by a vaccine” referred to in the Table.

In accordance with Section 2112(b)(2), all interested persons may submit written information relevant to the issues described above in the case of the petitions listed below. Any person choosing to do so should file an original and three (3) copies of the information with the Clerk of the United States Court of Federal Claims at the address listed above (under the heading “For Further Information Contact”), with a copy to HRSA addressed to Director, Division of Injury Compensation Programs, Healthcare Systems Bureau, 5600 Fishers Lane, 08N146B, Rockville, Maryland 20857. The Court’s caption (Petitioner’s Name v. Secretary of HHS) and the docket number assigned to the petition should be used as the caption for the written submission. Chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code, related to paperwork reduction, does not apply to information required for purposes of carrying out the Program.

Thomas J. Engels,
Administrator.

List of Petitions Filed

1. Fredrick Messer, Okmulgee, Oklahoma, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0369V
2. Anne Marie Beachel, Newark, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0370V
3. Annette Hoops, Miamisburg, Ohio, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0371V
4. Charles Motsett, Jacksonville, Florida, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0374V
5. Thomisa Brown on behalf of B. F., Columbia, South Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0375V
6. Kenneth Clark, Lakeland, Florida, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0376V

7. David Butts, Lyons Falls, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0377V
8. Wade Green, Boulder, Colorado, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0378V
9. Sheila Key, Edgewater, Colorado, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0379V
10. Frady Fekete, Brooklyn, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0380V
11. Sharon Campbell, Stoneham, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0381V
12. Jana Logan, Greensburg, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0382V
13. Shannah Game, Bessemer, Alabama, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0383V
14. Kristin Kabanuk, Seaside, Oregon, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0385V
15. Jennifer Cashion, High Point, North Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0387V
16. Roxane Wise, Union, New Jersey, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0389V
17. Mandy Remillard, Berlin, New Hampshire, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0390V
18. Caitlin O’Donoghue, Crystal Lake, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0391V
19. Michael Auen, Southborough, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0392V
20. Alexandra Marcucci, Placerville, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0393V
21. Anastacia Salcedo, Cambridge, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0394V
22. Ivelearis Teresa Colon Mercado, Carolina, Puerto Rico, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0395V
23. Tamera Cursio, Uniontown, Ohio, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0397V
24. Michael Washburn, Louisville, Kentucky, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0398V
25. Lillian Robinson, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0400V
26. Mindy Botts, New Orleans, Louisiana, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0402V
27. Kelsie Reynolds, Abilene, Texas, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0403V
28. Shirley Underwood, Jonesboro, Arkansas, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0404V
29. Neva Bernier, Hagerstown, Maryland, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0405V

30. Eric Felland, Sartell, Minnesota, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0406V
31. Deborah Ferry, Washington, District of Columbia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0407V
32. William Newman, St. Louis, Missouri, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0408V
33. Mary Fiolek, White Lake, Michigan, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0409V
34. Larry J. Parker, Scottsdale, Arizona, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0411V
35. Christopher Gravens, New York, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0416V
36. Denise Summer, Columbia, Maryland, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0418V
37. Gilda Jimenez, San Antonio, Texas, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0419V
38. Michael Poole, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0420V
39. Ronnie Lacey, Massapequa, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0422V
40. Dawn Mack, Jonesboro, Georgia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0423V
41. Maria Lynn Myers on behalf of The Estate of Vincent Louis Matassa, Deceased, Millville, Delaware, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0424V
42. Madeline Meehan, Wollaston, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0425V
43. Eugene Anthony Brown, Boston, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0426V
44. John W. Paola, East Providence, Rhode Island, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0427V
45. Timothy Brophy, Wayne, New Jersey, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0428V
46. Jacalyn Broze, Plymouth, Minnesota, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0430V
47. Lisa Mathis, Holland, Michigan, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0431V
48. David Alexander, Reston, Virginia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0432V
49. Heather Jarusewski on behalf of L. S. J., Carlisle, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0433V
50. Tammy Berry, Searcy, Arkansas, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0434V
51. Allison Trop, Wellesley Hills, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0435V
52. Maryam Ebrahimi, Denver, Colorado, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0436V
53. Brenda G. Fritz, Atlanta, Georgia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0439V
54. Sheridan Anderson, Rancho Santa Margarita, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0440V
55. Lawrence Hood, Dickson, Tennessee, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0441V
56. Ellen Whitaker, Plainwell, Michigan, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0442V
57. Brenda Helmandollar on behalf of The Estate of John Helmandollar, Deceased, El Dorado, Kansas, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0443V
58. Mary Beth Neiman, Cherry Hill, New Jersey, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0445V
59. Christina Wells, Faribault, Minnesota, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0446V
60. Catharine Berglund, Caldwell, Idaho, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0447V
61. Lesli Autumn Akers, Milan, Tennessee, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0448V
62. Sandra Gillingham, Great Falls, Montana, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0450V
63. Diane Hildebrandt, Virginia Beach, Virginia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0452V
64. Denissa Harte, Mobile, Alabama, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0453V
65. Jori Baldwin, Atlanta, Georgia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0457V
66. Debra Crawford, Ocala, Florida, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0460V
67. Kelly Mox, Washington, District of Columbia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0462V
68. Robert Payne on behalf of The Estate of Wanda Payne, Deceased, Fort Worth, Texas, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0463V
69. Darrel W. Walters, Florence, South Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0464V
70. Shoshana Robuck, New York, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0465V
71. Sally Johnson, Washington, District of Columbia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0466V
72. Nancy Otero, Washington, District of Columbia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0467V
73. Jaime Zoerman, Washington, District of Columbia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0468V
74. Robyn Zalecky, Washington, District of Columbia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0471V
75. Amy Lange, Washington, District of Columbia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0472V
76. John Bradberry, Washington, District of Columbia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0473V
77. Adrienne Hick, Washington, District of Columbia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0474V
78. Lorinne Taylor, Washington, District of Columbia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0475V
79. David Frank, Richmond, Virginia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0476V
80. Margaret Legum, Fairfax, Virginia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0477V
81. Scarlett Young on behalf of The Estate of Jimmie Vance, Paris, Kentucky, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0478V
82. Wayne Santoro, Washington, District of Columbia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0479V
83. Timothy John Rawlings, Topeka, Kansas, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0480V
84. Michelle Lehmann, Washington, District of Columbia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0481V
85. Chris McMullen, Lancaster, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0482V
86. Virginia Flanagan, Olathe, Kansas, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0484V
87. Kimberlee Winkle, Fountain Valley, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0485V
88. Tammy Brannan, Golden, Colorado, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0486V
89. Jennifer Wilson, Charleston, South Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0487V
90. Ruth Vizcarra, Chandler, Arizona, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0488V
91. Steven Corwin, Lihue, Hawaii, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0491V
92. Glenn Smith, Jr., New Orleans, Louisiana, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0492V
93. Junetta Justice, Marshville, North Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0493V
94. Vincent Begay, Kayenta, Arizona, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0494V
95. Kaitlin Babyak, Fort Washington, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0495V
96. Holly Tigges, Cedar Rapids, Iowa, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0496V
97. Ruby Williams, Houston, Texas, Court of Federal Claims No: 20-0498V

98. Pamela Bell, Jacksonville, Florida, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0501V
99. Dean Piermattei, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0502V
100. Mayra Del Bosque on behalf of M. R., Laredo, Texas, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0503V
101. Sarah L. Malone, Mesa, Arizona, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0506V
102. Lisa A. Barno, Jefferson Hills, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0507V
103. Jerry Taylor, Atlanta, Georgia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0508V
104. Erin Callahan, Kensington, Maryland, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0510V
105. Jennifer Drees, Des Moines, Iowa, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0511V
106. Carol Wagner, Cleveland, Ohio, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0512V
107. Nadine Botelho, Sonora, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0513V
108. Shawna Troxell, Rio Rancho, New Mexico, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0515V
109. Brian Williams, Atlanta, Georgia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0516V
110. Jeanette Williams, Athens, Georgia, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0519V
111. April Colon on behalf of C. L., Middletown, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0521V
112. Ana Quartarone on behalf of O. Q., Wellesley Hills, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0522V
113. Edgar Jones, Canton, Mississippi, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0523V
114. Roberto A. Tejada, San Antonio, Texas, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0525V
115. Erwin Evans, Mount Morris, Michigan, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0527V
116. Thomas Laha, Seattle, Washington, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0528V
117. Michael Blackmon, Bronx, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0530V
118. Bonnie Miller, Glenview, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0531V
119. Pamela Gallus, Mt. Holly, New Jersey, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0532V
120. Kathleen Puhli and Kawelolani Puhli on behalf of K. P., Sarasota, Florida, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0533V
121. Kathryn Lungaro, Memphis, Tennessee, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0534V
122. Daisy Parrish Seattle, Washington, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0535V
123. Edward Diaz, Dresher, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0536V
124. Ronald Tanski, Beverly Hills, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0537V
125. David Dubriske, Beverly Hills, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0538V
126. Sharon Spiegelglas, Beverly Hills, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 20–0539V

[FR Doc. 2020–10634 Filed 5–15–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4165–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

[Document Identifier: OS–0990–0419]

Agency Information Collection Request. 30-Day Public Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the requirement of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Secretary (OS), Department of Health and Human Services, is publishing the following summary of a proposed collection for public comment.

DATES: Comments on the ICR must be received on or before June 17, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sherrette Funn, Sherrette.Funn@hhs.gov or (202) 795–7714. When submitting comments or requesting information, please include the document identifier 0990–0419–30D and project title for reference.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Interested persons are invited to send comments

regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency’s functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

Title of the Collection: Acquisition Regulation Clause Patent Rights and Rights and Data.

Type of Collection: Extension.

OMB No.: 0990–0419.

Abstract: The Department of Health and Human Services; Office of the Assistant Secretary for Financial Resources and Office of Grants and Acquisition Policy and Accountability, Division of Acquisition is requesting an approval by OMB for an extension of a previously approved information collection request, Acquisition Regulation Clause Patent rights and Rights in Data. HHS found that systematically, over a period of several years, when Determination of Exceptional Circumstances (DEC) were executed, additional legal protection for the patent and data rights of third parties beyond those covered by FAR 27.306 were necessary. A DEC is executed consistent with the policy and objectives of the Bayh-Dole Act, 35 U.S.C. 200, *et seq.*, to ensure that subject inventions made under contracts and subcontracts (at all tiers) are used in a manner to promote free competition and enterprise without unduly encumbering future research and discovery; to encourage maximum participation of small business firms in federally supported research and development efforts; to promote collaboration between commercial concerns and nonprofit organizations including universities; to ensure that the Government obtains sufficient rights in federally supported inventions to meet its needs; to protect the public against nonuse or unreasonable use of inventions; and in the case of fulfilling the mission of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, to ultimately to benefit the public health.

Likely Respondents: Administrative, technical, legal and management personnel.