This document amends FAA Order 7400.11D, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 8, 2019, published in paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.11D, dated August 8, 2019, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

## Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference

This document amends FAA Order 7400.11D, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 8, 2019, and effective September 15, 2019, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

## The Rule

This amendment to Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 71 by amending the Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.6-mile radius (decreased from a 6.7-mile radius) of Roben-Hood Airport, Big Rapids, MI, removing the White Cloud VORTAC and associated extensions from the airspace legal description; and updating the geographic coordinates of the airport to coincide with the FAA’s aeronautical database.

This action is the result of an airspace review caused by the cancellation and revision of the instrument procedures at this airport.

FAA Order 7400.11, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, is published yearly and effective on September 15.

## Regulatory Notices and Analyses

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current, is non-controversial and unlikely to result in adverse or negative comments. It, therefore: (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that only affects air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

## Environmental Review

The FAA has determined that this action qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, “Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures,” paragraph 5-6.5.a. This airspace action is not expected to cause any potentially significant environmental impacts, and no extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant preparation of an environmental assessment.

## Lists of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

## Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

### § 71.1 [Amended]

```
AGL MI E5 Big Rapids, MI [Amended]
Roben-Hood Airport, MI
(Lat. 43°43’22″ N, long. 85°30’15″ W)
```

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.6-mile radius of Roben-Hood Airport.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on May 4, 2020.

Steven T. Phillips,
Acting Manager, Operations Support Group,
ATO Central Service Center.
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BILLING CODE 4910–13–P
provided the test administrator employs sufficient security measures. In addition, the Office is requesting public comment on the technological requirements needed for examination of secure test claims via secure teleconference. Finally, the Office is announcing its intention to issue guidelines according to which parties may request ex parte meetings with the Office in this proceeding.

DATES: Effective May 8, 2020. Comments must be made in writing and must be received by the U.S. Copyright Office no later than June 8, 2020.

ADDRESSES: For reasons of government efficiency, the Copyright Office is using the regulations.gov system for the submission and posting of public comments in this proceeding. All comments are therefore to be submitted electronically through regulations.gov. Specific instructions for submitting comments are available on the Copyright Office website at https://copyright.gov/rulemaking/securetests. If electronic submission of comments is not feasible due to lack of access to a computer and/or the internet, please contact the Office using the contact information below for special instructions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Regan A. Smith, General Counsel and Associate Register of Copyrights, regans@copyright.gov; Robert J. Kasunic, Associate Register of Copyrights and Director of Registration Policy and Practice, rkas@copyright.gov; Kevin R. Amer, Deputy General Counsel, kamer@copyright.gov; or David Welkowitz, Attorney Advisor, dwelkowitz@copyright.gov. They can be reached by telephone at 202–707–3000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Under Section 408 of the Copyright Act, the U.S. Copyright Office is responsible for registering copyright claims. In so doing, the Office is obligated to obtain a registration deposit that is sufficient to verify the claim and to provide an archival record of what was examined and registered. Deposits of unpublished material must be kept for the full term of copyright protection, and deposits are available for public inspection. The Act, however, authorizes the Office to issue regulations establishing “the nature of the copies . . . to be deposited” in specific classes of works and to “permit, for particular classes, the deposit of identifying material instead of copies or phonorecords.”

Pursuant to that authority, the Office has long provided special registration procedures for “secure tests” that require the maintenance of confidentiality of their contents. These include tests “used in connection with admission to educational institutions, high school equivalency, placement in or credit for undergraduate and graduate course work, awarding of scholarships, and professional certification.” Current regulations define a secure test as “a non-marketed test administered under supervision at specified centers on scheduled dates, all copies of which are accounted for and either destroyed or returned to restricted locked storage following each administration.”

On June 12, 2017, the Office issued an interim rule (the “June 2017 Interim Rule”) that memorialized certain aspects of its secure test procedure and adopted new processes to increase the efficiency of its examination of such works. Under this rule, applicants must, among other things, submit an online application, a redacted copy of the entire test, and a brief questionnaire about the test through the electronic registration system. This procedure allows the Office to prescreen an application to determine whether the work appears to be eligible for registration as a secure test. If the test appears to qualify, the Office will schedule an in-person appointment for examination of an unredacted copy of the test.

During the in-person meeting, the examiner will review the redacted and unredacted copies in a secure location in the presence of the applicant or his/her representative. If the examiner determines that the relevant legal and formal requirements have been met, he or she will register the claim(s) and add an annotation to the certificate reflecting that the work was examined under the secure test procedure. The registration is effective as of the date that the Office received in proper form the application, questionnaire, filing fee, and the redacted copy that was uploaded to the electronic registration system.

The June 2017 Interim Rule thus gives publishers the benefit of establishing as their effective date of registration the date when those materials are submitted to and received by the Office electronically, rather than the later date when the in-person examination takes place.

On November 13, 2017, in response to concerns raised by stakeholders following the June 2017 Interim Rule, the Office issued a second interim rule (the “November 2017 Interim Rule”) to permit registration of a group of test items (i.e., sets of questions and answers) stored in a database or test bank and used to create secure tests. For these works, the November 2017 Interim Rule adopted most of the same registration procedures that apply to secure tests under the June 2017 Interim Rule.

The Office invited public comment on both the June 2017 and the November 2017 Interim Rules. The Office received a total of thirty-nine responses from a wide variety of testing organizations and other interested parties.

II. The Interim Rule

While the Office is continuing to evaluate the secure tests regulations as a whole to determine whether changes may be warranted before issuing a final rule, it is issuing an additional interim rule at this time to address a specific disruption currently affecting test publishers’ ability to exercise this option. The Office has become aware that, as a result of the COVID–19 pandemic, certain tests that normally are administered with test-takers physically assembled at one or more locations will instead be administered remotely, with test-takers completing the exam online from their homes. Publishers have expressed concern that this change may make the tests ineligible for registration as secure tests, as they will not be administered “at specified centers.” As a result, these publishers may be forced to choose between registering their tests under the normal procedure for literary works (thus forfeiting confidentiality) and either delaying registration until they can administer the test according to the existing rule or foregoing copyright
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also may be returned to “secure electronic storage.”

As the wording of the interim rule makes clear, the modification of the definition of secure tests is temporary, lasting only until the COVID–19 emergency ends. The Office is providing this flexibility to ensure that test administrators can continue to offer socially valuable secure tests during the national emergency. This accommodation should not be seen as determinative of the final rule in this proceeding, which will be established on the basis of the overall rulemaking record. The Office recognizes, however, that the “specified centers” limitation was a concern for many test publishers even before the emergency, with several commenters in this proceeding urging the Office to amend that language to facilitate a broader range of testing models. The Office therefore will monitor the operation of the interim rule to help it evaluate whether and under what conditions remote testing should be permitted under the secure tests regulations once the emergency period ends.

In light of the ongoing national emergency, the Copyright Office finds good cause to publish these amendments as an interim rule effective immediately, and without first publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking, “because of the demonstrable urgency of the conditions they are designed to correct.”

III. Request for Comments

As noted, the Office is currently unable to conduct in-person examination of secure test applications. The Office is exploring possible options to provide such examinations via secure videoconference. The Office invites comments regarding the technological requirements that would be needed for test publishers to participate in such a process. In particular, the Office is interested in whether examination using the WebEx platform would be acceptable to publishers, as that program is currently supported by the Library of Congress. The Office requests that comments be limited to these topics.

IV. Ex Parte Communication

The Office has determined that informal communication with interested parties might be beneficial in this rulemaking, including to discuss how the change implemented by the interim rule has operated in practice. The Office therefore intends to issue guidelines according to which parties may request ex parte meetings with the Office in this proceeding. Consistent with its practice in other rulemakings, the Office will establish requirements to ensure transparency, including that participating parties submit a list of attendees and a written summary of any oral communications, which will be posted on the Office’s website. The ex parte guidelines will make be available at https://www.copyright.gov/rulemaking/secu’restests when the Office initiates the availability of such communications. No ex parte meetings in this proceeding will be scheduled before that time.

List of Subjects in 37 CFR Part 202

Copyright, Preregistration and Registration of Claims to Copyright.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Copyright Office amends 37 CFR part 202 as follows:

PART 202—PREREGISTRATION AND REGISTRATION OF CLAIMS TO COPYRIGHT

1. The authority citation for part 202 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 17 U.S.C. 408(f), 702.

2. Amend §202.13 by revising paragraph (b)(1) to read as follows:

§202.13 Secure tests.

(b) * * * * * * * *

(1) A secure test is a nonmarketed test administered under supervision at specified centers on scheduled dates, all copies of which are accounted for and either destroyed or returned to restricted locked storage or secure electronic storage following each administration. A test otherwise meeting the requirements of this paragraph shall be considered a secure test if it normally is administered at specified centers but is being administered online during the national emergency concerning the COVID–19 pandemic, provided the test administrator employs measures to maintain the security and integrity of the test that it reasonably determines to be substantially equivalent to the security and integrity provided by in-person proctors.

17 37 CFR 202.13(b)(3).
Seamless Changes for Detached Mail Unit (DMU) and Full-Service Mailings

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service™ is revising Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM®) to require Detached Mail Unit (DMU) mailers and mailers that enter full-service mailings at a Business Mail Entry Unit (BMEU) to participate in Seamless Parallel by June 1, 2020. In addition, the Postal Service provides advance notice of its intent to require DMU mailers to enroll in the Seamless Acceptance Program by February 1, 2021. Moreover, the Postal Service provided advance notices of its intent to verify all full-service mailings entered at a BMEU using automated sampling and verification processes, beginning July 1, 2021.

The Postal Service received many insightful comments and questions from the mailing community in response to the proposed rule of January 8, 2020. In response to those comments, the Postal Service incorporates the following changes into this final rule, and notes that aside from these changes, Seamless Acceptance plans have not changed in substance from the proposed rule of January 8, 2020:

- Mailers with authorized DMUs and mailers entering full-service mailings at BMEUs must enroll in Seamless Parallel by June 1, 2020 (instead of March 1, 2020).
- In addition, the Postal Service intends to propose the following regulatory changes in a future rulemaking:
  - Mailers with authorized DMUs, as a condition of their DMU authorization, must participate in Seamless Acceptance by May 1, 2021.
  - Full-Service mailings entered at BMEUs will begin to be verified using only automated sampling and verification processes on July 1, 2021.

DATES: Effective June 1, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lance Bell at (407) 782–2972, or Jacqueline Erwin at (202) 268–2158.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Seamless Acceptance leverages electronic documentation (eDoc) and the Intelligent Mail® barcodes (IMBs) on all containers, handling units, and mailpieces required under full-service. Mailpiece scans collected from mail processing equipment (MPE) and samples from hand-held scanning devices are reconciled to the mailer eDoc to confirm proper mail preparation for discounts claimed and confirm correct postage payment. This capability avoids the need for verification of mail at acceptance.

The Postal Service published a notice of proposed rulemaking on January 8, 2020 (85 FR 856–859), to require mailers with authorized Detached Mail Units (DMU) and mailers that enter full-service mailings at a Business Mail Entry Unit (BMEU) to participate in Seamless Parallel by March 1, 2020. In addition, the Postal Service provided advance notice of its intent to require DMU mailers to enroll in the Seamless Acceptance Program by February 1, 2021. Moreover, the Postal Service provided advance notices of its intent to verify all full-service mailings entered at a BMEU using automated sampling and verification processes, beginning July 1, 2021.

The Postal Service received many insightful comments and questions from the mailing community in response to the proposed rule of January 8, 2020. In response to those comments, the Postal Service incorporates the following changes into this final rule, and notes that aside from these changes, Seamless Acceptance plans have not changed in substance from the proposed rule of January 8, 2020:

- Mailers with authorized DMUs and mailers entering full-service mailings at BMEUs must enroll in Seamless Parallel by June 1, 2020 (instead of March 1, 2020).

The Postal Service received seven formal responses on the Seamless changes for Detached Mail Unit (DMU) and Full-Service Mailings and USPS Responses.

The Postal Service received seven formal responses on the Seamless changes for Detached Mail Unit (DMU) and Full-Service Mailings and USPS Responses.

The Postal Service notes that new rates, including incentives, must be authorized by the Governors of the Postal Service and reviewed by the Postal Regulatory Commission.

Piece Weights May Cause Assessments

Four comments stated that varying piece weights may cause a mailer to be susceptible to an assessment. Respondents claim this is especially true for flat-size pieces susceptible to environmental impacts (e.g., humidity). USPS Response

USPS has initiated the creation of a Task Team (MTAC TT–30) to work collaboratively with industry stakeholders. USPS will consider recommendations from MTAC TT–30.

Images of Mailpieces To Assist With Undocumented Errors

Four comments encourage USPS to provide mailers with images of undocumented pieces and other mailer scorecard errors so they can identify the specific mailer or mailing to investigate and resolve mail quality issues. USPS Response

USPS will continue to explore the feasibility of this proposal.

The Timeline for Mandating Seamless Acceptance for DMUs

Three comments had concerns that the intended February 1, 2021 date was too close to implementation of the January 2021 price change. Price changes require significant resources and commenters are concerned that their resources will be limited and will not be ready by February 1, 2021.

USPS Response

As previously indicated, the USPS recognizes the industry concern with the proposed dates and has made the following changes:

- Seamless Parallel enrollment must be completed by June 1, 2020.
- Intended date to require DMU sites to Seamless changed to May 1, 2021.
- Verification of BMEU full-service mailings intended to begin on July 1, 2021.

General Overall Timeline and Deadline To Adopt Seamless Acceptance

Three comments voice concerns on the general timeline in terms of USPS ability to provide the necessary education, training, and customer service needed for mailers to adopt Seamless Acceptance.

USPS Response

USPS has provided extensive internal and external training on the Streamlined Mail Entry Programs. The Mail Entry...