

to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of common alloy aluminum sheet from Bahrain, Brazil, Croatia, Egypt, Germany, Greece, India, Indonesia, Italy, Korea, Oman, Romania, Serbia, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Taiwan, and Turkey, provided for in subheadings 7606.11.30, 7606.11.60, 7606.12.30, 7606.12.60, 7606.91.30, 7606.91.60, 7606.92.30, and 7606.92.60 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (“LTFV”) and to be subsidized by the governments of Bahrain, Brazil, India, and Turkey.<sup>2</sup>

### Commencement of Final Phase Investigations

Pursuant to section 207.18 of the Commission’s rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigations. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling, which will be published in the **Federal Register** as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission’s rules, upon notice from the U.S. Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) of affirmative preliminary determinations in the investigations under sections 703(b) or 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determinations are negative, upon notice of affirmative final determinations in those investigations under sections 705(a) or 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigations need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigations. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigations.

### Background

On March 9, 2020, The Aluminum Association Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet Working Group and its Individual Members, Aleris Rolled Products, Inc., Beachwood, Ohio; Arconic, Inc., Bettendorf, Iowa; Constellium Rolled Products Ravenswood, LLC, Ravenswood, West Virginia; JW Aluminum Company, Daniel Island,

South Carolina; Novelis Corporation, Atlanta, Georgia; and Texarkana Aluminum, Inc., Texarkana, Texas filed petitions with the Commission and Commerce, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of subsidized imports of common alloy aluminum sheet from Bahrain, Brazil, India, and Turkey and LTFV imports of common alloy aluminum sheet from Bahrain, Brazil, Croatia, Egypt, Germany, Greece, India, Indonesia, Italy, Korea, Oman, Romania, Serbia, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Taiwan, and Turkey. Accordingly, effective March 9, 2020, the Commission instituted countervailing duty investigation Nos. 701–TA–639–642 and antidumping duty investigation Nos. 731–TA–1475–1492 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission’s investigations and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of March 13, 2020 (85 FR 14702). In light of the restrictions on access to the Commission building due to the COVID–19 pandemic, the Commission conducted its conference (originally scheduled for March 30, 2020) through written questions, submissions of written testimony, written responses to questions, and postconference briefs; all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to participate.

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to sections 703(a) and 733(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(a) and 1673b(a)). It completed and filed its determinations in these investigations on April 23, 2020. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5049 (April 2020), entitled *Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Bahrain, Brazil, Croatia, Egypt, Germany, Greece, India, Indonesia, Italy, Korea, Oman, Romania, Serbia, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Taiwan, and Turkey: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–639–642 and 731–TA–1475–1492 (Preliminary)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 24, 2020.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2020–09075 Filed 4–28–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1103]

#### Certain Digital Video Receivers and Related Hardware and Software Components; Commission Decision Finding a Violation of Section 337; Issuance of a Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Orders; Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has found a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in this investigation and has issued a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders prohibiting importation of infringing digital video receivers and related hardware and software components.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 16, 2018, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a supplemented complaint filed on behalf of Rovi Corporation of San Jose, California; Rovi Guides, Inc. of San Jose, California; and Veveo, Inc. of Andover, Massachusetts (collectively, “Rovi”); as well as Rovi Technologies Corporation of San Jose, CA. The supplemented complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital video receivers and related hardware and software components by reason of infringement of one or more claims of U.S. Patent Nos. U.S. Patent No. 7,779,011 (“the ‘011 patent”); 7,937,394

<sup>2</sup> 85 FR 19449 (April 7, 2020) and 85 FR 19444 (April 7, 2020).

("the '394 patent"); 7,827,585 ("the '585 patent"); 9,294,799 ("the '799 patent"); 9,396,741 ("the '741 patent"); 9,578,363 ("the '363 patent"); 9,621,956 ("the '956 patent"); and 9,668,014 ("the '014 patent"). 83 FR 11792 (Mar. 16, 2018). The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents Comcast Corporation of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Comcast Cable Communications, LLC of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Comcast Business Communications, LLC of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Comcast Holdings Corporation of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; and Comcast Shared Services, LLC of Chicago, Illinois (collectively, "Comcast"). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party in this investigation. *Id.*

The Commission previously terminated the investigation as to complainant Rovi Technologies Corporation; as to the '956, '394, '014, '799, and '363 patents in their entirety; and as to certain claims of the '011, '585, and '741 patents. Order No. 12, *unreviewed*, Notice (July 24, 2018); Order No. 33, *unreviewed*, Notice (Sept. 19, 2018); Order 39, *unreviewed*, Notice (Oct. 25, 2018).

On June 3, 2019, the presiding ALJ issued Order No. 47, a summary determination ("SD"), which, *inter alia*, granted Rovi's motions for summary determination as to importation and sale after importation. On June 11, 2019, Comcast filed a petition for review of the SD. On June 18, 2019, Rovi responded to Comcast's petition. On June 25, 2019, the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") responded to Comcast's petition.

On June 4, 2019, the ALJ issued the final initial determination ("final ID"). On June 17, 2019, Comcast and Rovi each filed a petition for review of the final ID. On June 25, 2019, Comcast and Rovi responded to each other's petition, and the IA responded to both.

In addition, the Commission received comments from Rovi on the public interest pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4). The Commission also received comments from the following organizations in response to the Commission's notice soliciting public interest comments, 84 FR 27804 (June 14, 2019): Tea Party Patriots Action; Americans for Limited Government; Frontiers of Freedom Institute; Market Institute; and Conservatives for Property Rights (joined by 60 Plus Association, and Americans for Limited Government). The Commission also received correspondence from Rep.

Peter King (R-N.Y.) (Sept. 19, 2019), Rep. Jackie Speier (D-Cal.) (Sept. 6, 2019), and Rep. Steve Stivers (R-Ohio) (Aug. 27, 2019).

On August 15, 2019, the Commission determined to review in part the SD as to reimportation, and not to review the remainder of the SD. Notice at 3 (Aug. 15, 2019) ("Notice of Review"). As to the final ID, in relevant part the Commission terminated the investigation with a finding of no violation as to the '585 and '741 patents, but determined to review infringement of the '011 patent. *Id.* The Commission solicited briefing on certain questions pertaining to infringement of the '011 patent. *Id.* at 4.

On August 29, 2019, the parties filed responses to the Commission notice, and on September 10, 2019, Comcast and Rovi filed replies.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the final ID and the parties' submissions, the Commission has determined that Comcast's X1 set-top boxes are used by Comcast's users to directly infringe claim 9 of the '011 patent at Comcast's inducement. Thus, Comcast violated section 337 with regard to claim 9 of the '011 patent. The Commission declines to reach the issue of whether there has been a section 337 violation as to claim 1 of the '011 patent because of the delay and burden associated with deciding the issue and because such a finding would not afford any additional relief to Rovi. Thus, the Commission need not decide the issue. *See Yingbin-Nature (Guangdong) Wood Indus. Co. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n*, 535 F.3d 1322, 1331-32 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

The Commission has further determined that the appropriate remedy is: (1) A limited exclusion order prohibiting the entry of infringing digital video receivers and related hardware and software components; and (2) cease and desist orders directed to respondents. The Commission has determined that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(d) and (f), 19 U.S.C. 1337(d), (f), do not preclude the issuance of the limited exclusion order or the cease and desist orders. The Commission has determined that a bond in the amount of zero percent of entered value is required during the period of Presidential review. 19 U.S.C. 1337(j)(3).

The investigation is terminated. The Commission's reasoning in support of its determinations is set forth more fully in its opinion. The Commission's orders and opinion were delivered to the President and the United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 23, 2020.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2020-09043 Filed 4-28-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1171]

### Certain Child Resistant Closures With Slider Devices Having a User Actuated Insertable Torpedo for Selectively Opening the Closures and Slider Devices Therefor; Notice of Request for Statements on the Public Interest

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that, on April 21, 2020, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued an Initial Determination Granting Summary Determination on Violation of Section 337 and Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding in the above-captioned investigation. On April 22, 2020, the ALJ issued a Notice of Errata thereto. The Commission is soliciting comments on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief, should the Commission find a violation. This notice is soliciting public interest comments from the public only. Parties are to file public interest submissions pursuant to Commission rules.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.