

Controlled substance	Drug code	Schedule
Hydromorphone	9150	II
Hydrocodone	9193	II
Methadone	9250	II
Methadone intermediate	9254	II
Morphine	9300	II
Oripavine	9330	II
Thebaine	9333	II
Opium tincture	9630	II
Oxymorphone	9652	II

The company plans to manufacture the listed controlled substances in bulk for sale to its customers.

Dated: February 10, 2020.

William T. McDermott,

Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2020-05748 Filed 3-18-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act, the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and the Pipeline Safety Laws

On March 13, 2020, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Central District of California (“Court”) in the matter of *United States and the People of the State of California vs. Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. et al.*, Civil Action No. 2:20-cv-02415 (C.D. Cal.).

The United States filed a Complaint against Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. and Plains Pipeline, L.P. (jointly, “Plains”) arising out of Plains’ violations of pipeline safety laws and liability for the May 19, 2015, discharge of approximately 2,934 barrels of crude oil from Plains’ Line 901, located near Refugio State Beach and Santa Barbara, California. The Complaint seeks penalties, injunctive relief, and natural resource damages and assessment costs for the United States, on behalf of the United States Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration; the United States Environmental Protection Agency; the United States Department of the Interior; the United States Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and the United States Coast Guard. The United States’ claims are brought, as applicable, under the Pipeline Safety Laws, 49 U.S.C. 60101 *et seq.*; the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*; and the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, 33 U.S.C. 2701 *et seq.* The State of California is a co-plaintiff signatory to the Complaint under applicable State of California laws, and a signatory to the

proposed Consent Decree, which also resolves certain State of California claims.

The proposed Consent Decree requires Plains to: (1) Pay \$24 million in penalties; 2) implement injunctive relief to improve Plains’ nationwide pipeline system, in addition to modifying operations relating to the May 19, 2015, oil discharge from Plains’ Line 901; and 3) pay \$22.325 million in natural resource damages. Plains previously reimbursed the United States and the State of California approximately \$10 million for natural resource damage assessment costs, and the United States approximately \$4.26 million for removal or clean-up costs.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and the People of the State of California vs. Plains Pipeline, L.P. et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-1-1-11340. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov .
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the lodged proposed Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>.

We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$25.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury, for a paper copy of the proposed Consent Decree.

Susan M. Akers,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2020-05772 Filed 3-18-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-CW-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act; Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act; and Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act

On March 13, 2020, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia in the lawsuit entitled *United States and Commonwealth of Virginia v. Virginia Electric and Power Company (d/b/a Dominion Energy Virginia)*, Civil Action No. 3:20-cv-00177.

The United States and the Commonwealth of Virginia filed this lawsuit for injunctive relief and civil penalties against Virginia Electric and Power Company (d/b/a Dominion Energy Virginia). The United States and the Commonwealth alleged claims under the Clean Water Act and the Virginia State Water Control Law for violations of NPDES permits at certain facilities in Virginia and West Virginia. In addition, the United States alleges violations of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act at the Bellemeade Power Station in Richmond, Virginia, and the Mt. Storm Power Station in Grant County, West Virginia. Finally, the Commonwealth alleges violations of the Virginia State Water Control Law relating to certain unpermitted discharges from the Chesterfield Power Station in Chesterfield County, Virginia.

Under the proposed Consent Decree, Defendant will perform injunctive relief designed to prevent future violations, including auditing and implementation of an environmental management system, a third party environmental audit, internal environmental audits, and training. In addition, Defendant will pay a total civil penalty of \$1.4 million.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and Commonwealth of Virginia v. Virginia Electric and Power Company (d/b/a Dominion Energy Virginia)*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-1-1-11859. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$15 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Jeffrey Sands,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2020-05720 Filed 3-18-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Vacancy Posting: Chair of the Administrative Review Board

Summary of Duties: The Administrative Review Board (ARB) Chair directs other ARB Members and administrative and professional staff in the performance of the ARB's mission. The Chair directs the management of the ARB's administrative, clerical, and professional staff and makes final decisions for the ARB on management matters, such as budget, personnel, space, and other services. The Chair exercises completely independent judgment in discharging his/her duties and responsibilities as required by law and any applicable regulations. In addition, the Chair and the ARB Members establish general policies for the ARB's operations and promulgation of Rules of Practice and Procedure for all persons appearing before the ARB in the performance of its appellate review authority.

¹ Pursuant to sec. 1006(b)(1) of the Copyright Act, small portions of each fund have already been distributed to representatives for nonfeatured musicians and nonfeatured vocalists and are not part of this proceeding.

² In its petition to participate, AARC states that it "is a non-profit organization formed to administer the Audio Home Recording Act of 1992 * * * royalties for featured recording artists and sound

Appointment Type: Excepted—The term of appointment is for four years or less. This appointment may be extended at the agency's discretion.

Qualifications: The applicant should be well versed in whistleblower, immigration, child labor, employment discrimination, and federal construction/services contracts. This includes the processes, adjudication of claims, and the appeals process, as well as having the ability to interpret regulations and come to a consensus to determine an overall appeals determination with Members of the Board. Prior experience directing a team of professional, administrative, and clerical staff in management matters is required.

To Be Considered: Applicants must provide a detailed resume containing a demonstrated ability to perform as Chair of the Board.

Closing Date: Resumes must be submitted (postmarked, if sending by mail; submitted electronically; or received, if hand-delivered) by 11:59 p.m. EST on April 09, 2020. Resumes must be submitted to: *white.robert.t@dol.gov* or mail to: U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, ATTN: Division of Executive Resources, Room N2453, Washington, DC 20210, phone: 202-693-7800. This is not a toll-free number.

Dated: March 9, 2020.

Bryan Slater,

Assistant Secretary for Administration & Management.

[FR Doc. 2020-05698 Filed 3-18-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-HW-P

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Copyright Royalty Board

[Docket No. CONSOLIDATED 2008-3 CRB DD (2007-2011 SRF)]

Distribution of Digital Audio Recording Royalty Funds

AGENCY: Copyright Royalty Board (CRB), Library of Congress.

ACTION: Final distribution determination.

SUMMARY: The Copyright Royalty Judges announce their final determination of the distribution of the digital audio

recording copyright owners, who have authorized it to do so" and "represents over 440,000 featured recording artists and over 16,000 labels." AARC PTP at 2.

³ The Judges dismissed the original Powell and Curry PTPs as defective, *Order Granting AARC Motion to Reject David Powell's Defective Filings and Dismissing David Powell (Feb. 27, 2019); Order Granting AARC Motion to Reject Eugene Curry's*

recording technology (DART) royalty fees in the 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011 Sound Recordings Funds.

ADDRESSES: Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents, go to eCRB, the Copyright Royalty Board's electronic filing and case management system, at <https://app.crb.gov/> and search for docket number CONSOLIDATED 2008-3 CRB DD (2007-2011 SRF).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anita Blaine, Program Specialist, by telephone at (202) 707-7658 or by email at *crb@loc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 26, 2018, the Copyright Royalty Judges (Judges) commenced a proceeding to determine the distribution of the digital audio recording technology (DART) royalties in the 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011 Sound Recordings Funds, which, for purposes of this proceeding, consist of the Featured Recording Artists Subfund and the Copyright Owners Subfund. 83 FR 66312, 66313.¹ The Judges had already distributed 100% of the royalties in the Featured Recording Artists Subfund of the 2008 Sound Recordings Fund. *Id.*; *Distribution Order*, Docket No. 2009-3 CRB DD 2008 (Jun. 24, 2009).

Consequently, that subfund is not part of this proceeding. Because the Judges made only partial distributions of the 2007, 2009, 2010, and 2011 Featured Recording Artist Subfunds and the 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011 Copyright Owners Subfunds, those subfunds were covered by this proceeding (Covered Subfunds). *Id.*

The Judges received Petitions to Participate (PTP) from David Powell, Eugene "Lambchops" Curry, Herman Kelly, and the Alliance of Artists and Recording Companies (AARC).² Notice of Participants, Commencement of Voluntary Negotiation Period, and Case Scheduling Order (Attachment A) (Feb. 27, 2019).³ According to Copyright Royalty Board (CRB) claims records, Mr. Powell filed a claim for the 2007 Copyright Owners Subfund and for no other Covered Subfund. Mr. Curry filed a claim for the 2008 and 2010 Copyright Owners Subfunds and no other Covered Subfund. Mr. Kelly filed claims for all Covered Subfunds except the 2007 Featured Recording Artists Subfund and the 2007 Copyright Owners Subfund.

Defective Filing and Dismissing Eugene Curry (Feb. 27, 2019), but later permitted Mr. Powell and Mr. Curry to file corrected PTPs after the filing deadline. Order Granting Motion of David Powell to Accept Late Petition to Participate (Jun. 19, 2019); Order Granting Eugene Curry Leave to File Late Petition to Participate (Apr. 19, 2019).