

and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

### Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

These final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 10, 2020.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. List of Issues
- III. Background
- IV. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
- V. Scope of the Order
- VI. Period of Review
- VII. Subsidies Valuation Information
- VIII. Analysis of Programs
- IX. Discussion of Comments
  - Comment 1: Whether the Electricity for LTAR Upstream Subsidy Allegation Confers a Benefit
  - Comment 2: Whether the Subsidy Rate for the Industrial Technology Innovation Promotion Act (ITIPA) Grants Was Improperly Calculated
  - Comment 3: Whether Tax Credit Programs Under the RSTA Meet the Specificity Requirement
  - Comment 4: Whether Tax Benefits Should Not Be Adjusted for the Special Rural Development Tax
  - Comment 5: Whether the Trading of Demand Response Resource Program is Countervailable
  - Comment 6: Whether the Modal Shift Program Confers a Countervailable Benefit
  - Comment 7: Whether the Non-Government Banks Were Entrusted or Directed to Provide a Financial Contribution to Dongbu through the Debt Restructuring Program
  - Comment 8: Whether the Restructuring of Dongbu's Existing Loans by GOK-controlled Banks Provided a Financial Contribution to Dongbu
  - Comment 9: Whether the Restructured Loans Provided to Dongbu were Specific
  - Comment 10: Whether Commerce Should Use the Interest Rates from Loans provided by Commercial Banks Participating in the Creditor Bank Committee as Benchmarks
  - Comment 11: Whether Dongbu Is Equityworthy and the Debt-to-Equity Swaps should be Countervailed
  - Comment 12: Whether Commerce Correctly Calculated the Benefit to Dongbu from KDB Short-Term Discounted Loans for Export Receivables Program
- X. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-05488 Filed 3-16-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-878]

#### Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2017-2018

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. (Dongkuk) made sales of corrosion-resistant steel products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) at less than normal value, and Hyundai Steel Company (Hyundai) did not, during the period of review (POR), July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018.

**DATES:** Applicable March 17, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lingjun Wang or Elfi Blum-Page, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2316 or (202) 482-0197, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* on September 12, 2019.<sup>1</sup> On January 27, 2020, Commerce determined that a cost-based particular market situation (PMS) existed with respect to the production cost of CORE in Korea during the POR.<sup>2</sup> For a history of events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the IDM.<sup>3</sup> On January 2, 2020, Commerce postponed the final results of this review until March 10, 2020.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2016-2017*, 84 FR 48118 (September 12, 2019) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea; 2017-2018: Post-Preliminary Decision Memorandum on Particular Market Situation," dated January 27, 2020 (PMS Memorandum).

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments: Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea; 2017-2018", dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (IDM).

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum, "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea:

### Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain corrosion-resistant steel products. For a complete description of the scope of this order, see attachment to the IDM.

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties are addressed in the IDM. The issues are identified in the Appendix to this notice. The IDM is a public document and is on-file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the IDM can be accessed at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed and the electronic versions of the IDM are identical in content.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

We increased the cost of the respondents' purchased hot-rolled coil by 17.29 percent for the final results, revised from the 13.97 percent used in the post-preliminary results.<sup>5</sup>

### Final Determination of No Shipments

We received no comments regarding our preliminary determination of no shipments with respect to Samsung C&T Corporation, Hyosung Corporation, and Hyosung TNC. As the record contains no other information that calls into question our preliminary findings, we continue to find that those three companies had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.

### Rate for Non-Examined Companies

For the final results of this review, the only weighted-average dumping margin that is not zero, *de minimis*, or determined entirely on the basis of facts is the margin calculated for Dongkuk. Thus, Commerce has assigned the margin calculated for Dongkuk to the non-examined companies.

### Final Results of the Administrative Review

We have determined the following weighted-average dumping margins for the exporters or producers listed below exist for the POR:

Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated January 2, 2020.

<sup>5</sup> See PMS Memorandum and IDM.

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd ..	2.43
Hyundai Steel Company .....	0.00
Anjeon Tech Co., Ltd .....	2.43
Benion Corp. ....	2.43
Dongbu Steel, Co., Ltd .....	2.43
Dongbu Incheon Steel Co., Ltd .....	2.43
GS Global Corp .....	2.43
Kima Steel Corporation Ltd ..	2.43
Mitsubishi Corp. (Korea) Ltd	2.43
POSCO .....	2.43
POSCO Coated & Color Steel Co., Ltd .....	2.43
POSCO Daewoo Corporation	2.43
SeAH Coated Metal Corporation .....	2.43
Young Steel Co., Ltd .....	2.43

### Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protections (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. We will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the respondents noted above will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will

continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 8.31 percent, the all-others cash deposit rate established in the investigation.<sup>6</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

<sup>6</sup> See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, Italy, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea and Taiwan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determination for India and Taiwan, and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 48390 (July 25, 2016), as amended by *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Determination of Investigation and Notice of Amended Final Results*, 83 FR 39054 (August 8, 2018).

Dated: March 10, 2020.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the IDM

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
- V. Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination
- VI. Final Determination of No Shipments
- VII. Discussion of the Comments
  - Comment 1: Legal Authority for Applying PMS to the Sales-Below-Cost Test
  - Comment 2: Existence of a PMS
  - Comment 3: Quantifying the PMS
  - Comment 4: Dongkuk's Constructed Export Price (CEP) Offset
  - Comment 5: Dongkuk's Inland Freight from Plant to Port of Exportation
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-05489 Filed 3-16-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[RTID 0648-XA082]

### New England Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice; public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The New England Fishery Management Council (Council) is scheduling a public meeting of its Groundfish Advisory Panel to consider actions affecting New England fisheries in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ). Recommendations from this group will be brought to the full Council for formal consideration and action, if appropriate.

**DATES:** This meeting will be held on Thursday, April 2, 2020 at 9 a.m., however, due to the evolving coronavirus situation, the Council may decide to change this meeting to a webinar, possibly on short notice. The Council website and official Council communications are the best source for this information.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the DoubleTree by Hilton, 50 Ferncroft Road, Danvers, MA 01950; telephone: (978) 777-2500.

*Council address:* New England Fishery Management Council, 50 Water Street, Mill 2, Newburyport, MA 01950.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas A. Nies, Executive Director,