SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission's website by searching for the file number, or for an applicant using the Company name box, at *http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm* or by calling (202) 551–8090.

Summary of the Application

1. The Initial Adviser is the investment adviser to the SmartETFs Smart Transportation & Technology ETF (the ''Initial Fund''), a series of the Trust, pursuant to an investment management agreement with the Trust (''Investment Management Agreement'').[1] Under the terms of the Investment Management Agreement, the Adviser, subject to the supervision of the board of trustees of the Trust (''Board''), provides continuous investment management of the assets of each Subadvised Fund. Consistent with the terms of the Investment Management Agreement, the Adviser may, subject to the approval of the Board, delegate portfolio management responsibilities of all or a portion of the assets of a Subadvised Fund to one or more Sub-Advisers.[2] The Adviser will continue to have overall responsibility for the management and investment of the assets of each Subadvised Fund. The Adviser will evaluate, select, and recommend Sub-Advisers to manage the assets of a Subadvised Fund and will oversee, monitor and review the Sub-Advisers and their performance and recommend the removal or replacement of Sub-Advisers.

2. Applicants request an order to permit the Adviser, subject to the approval of the Board, to enter into investment sub-advisory agreements with the Sub-Advisers (each, a ''Sub-Advisory Agreement'') and materially amend such Sub-Advisory Agreements without obtaining the shareholder approval required under section 15(a) of the Act and rule 18f–2 under the Act.[3] Applicants also seek an exemption from the Disclosure Requirements to permit a Subadvised Fund to disclose (as both a dollar amount and a percentage of the Subadvised Fund's net assets): (a) The aggregate fees paid to the Adviser and any Wholly-Owned Sub-Adviser; (b) the aggregate fees paid to Non-Affiliated Sub-Advisers; and (c) the fee paid to each Affiliated Sub-Adviser (collectively, Aggregate Fee Disclosure'').[4]

3. Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the terms and conditions stated in the application. Such terms and conditions provide for, among other safeguards, appropriate disclosure to Subadvised Funds' shareholders and notification about sub-advisory changes and enhanced Board oversight to protect the interests of the Subadvised Funds' shareholders.

4. Section 6(c) of the Act provides that the Commission may exempt any person, security, or transaction or any class or classes of persons, securities, or transactions from any provisions of the Act, or any rule thereunder, if such relief is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act. Applicants believe that the requested relief meets this standard because, as further explained in the application, the Investment Management Agreements will remain subject to shareholder approval, while the role of the Sub-Advisers is substantially equivalent to that of individual portfolio managers, so that requiring shareholder approval of Sub-Advisory Agreements would impose unnecessary delays and expenses on the Subadvised Funds. Applicants believe that the requested relief from the Disclosure Requirements meets this standard because it will improve the Adviser's ability to negotiate fees paid to the Sub-Advisers that are more advantageous for the Subadvised Funds.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–05245 Filed 3–13–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

[1] Applicants request relief with respect to the Initial Fund, as well as to any future series of the Trust and any other existing or future registered open-end management investment company or series thereof that, in each case, is advised by the Initial Adviser or any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with, the Initial Adviser or its successors (each, also an ''Adviser''), uses the multi-manager structure described in the application, and complies with the terms and conditions set forth in the application (each, a ''Subadvised Fund''). For purposes of the requested order, ''successor'' is limited to an entity that results from a reorganization into another jurisdiction or a change in the type of business organization. Future Subadvised Funds may be operated as a master-feeder structure pursuant to section 12(d)(1)(E) of the Act. In such a structure, certain series of the Trust (each, a ''Feeder Fund'') may invest substantially all of their assets in a Subadvised Fund (a ''Master Fund'') pursuant to section 12(d)(1)(E) of the Act. No Feeder Fund will engage any sub-advisers other than through approving the engagement of one or more of the Master Fund's sub-advisers.

[2] As used herein, a ''Sub-Adviser'' for a Subadvised Fund is (1) an indirect or direct ''wholly owned subsidiary'' (as such term is defined in the Act) of the Adviser for that Subadvised Fund, or (2) a sister company of the Adviser for that Subadvised Fund that is an indirect or direct ''wholly-owned subsidiary'' of the same company that, indirectly or directly, wholly owns the Adviser (each of (1) and (2) a ''Wholly-Owned Sub-Adviser'' and collectively, the ''Wholly-Owned Sub-Advisers''), or (3) not an ''affiliated person'' (as such term is defined in section 2(a)(3) of the Act) of the Subadvised Fund, any Feeder Fund invested in a Master Fund, the Trust, or the Adviser, except to the extent that an affiliation arises solely because the Sub-Adviser serves as a sub-adviser to a Subadvised Fund (''Non-Affiliated Sub-Advisers'').

[3] The requested relief will not extend to any sub-adviser, other than a Wholly-Owned Sub-Adviser, who is an affiliated person, as defined in section 2(a)(3) of the Act, of the Subadvised Fund, of any Feeder Fund, or of the Adviser, other than by reason of serving as a sub-adviser to one or more of the Subadvised Funds (''Affiliated Sub-Adviser'').

[4] For any Subadvised Fund that is a Master Fund, the relief would also permit any Feeder Fund invested in that Master Fund to disclose Aggregate Fee Disclosure.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–88352; File No. SR–PEARL–2020–04]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; MIAX PEARL, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Chapter XVII, Consolidated Audit Trail Compliance Rule

March 10, 2020.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act''),[1] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] notice is hereby given that on February 27, 2020, MIAX PEARL, LLC (''MIAX PEARL'' or the ''Exchange'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') a proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing a proposal to amend Chapter XVII, Consolidated Audit Trail Compliance Rule (''Compliance Rule'') regarding the National Market System Plan Governing the Consolidated Audit Trail (the ''CAT NMS Plan'' or ''Plan'')[3] to be consistent with an exemption from the CAT NMS

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

[3] Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67457 (July 18, 2012), 77 FR 45722 (August 1, 2012) (''Adopting Release''). Unless otherwise specified, capitalized terms used in this rule filing are defined as set forth in the Compliance Rule.

Plan regarding Options Market Makers' reporting obligations.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at *http://www.miaxoptions.com/rule-filings/pearl* at MIAX PEARL's principal office, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

Purpose

Rule 613(c)(7) sets forth data recording and reporting requirements for the CAT NMS Plan. Specifically, Rule 613(c)(7), in relevant part, requires every member of a national securities exchange or national securities association to record and electronically report to the Central Repository details for each order and each Reportable Event. Options Market Maker quotes are included within the meaning of an ''order'' under Rule 613(j)(8), which defines an ''order'' to include ''any bid or offer.'' As a result, Rule 613(c)(7) states that the CAT NMS Plan must require every market maker on an options exchange to record and report all quotes and related Reportable Events to the Central Repository. Rule 613(c)(7) also requires the options exchanges to record and report the details of Options Market Maker quotes received by the options exchanges to the Central Repository. Given that the options exchanges and the Options Market Makers will be submitting virtually identical details concerning the Options Market Maker quotes to the Central Repository, the dual reporting of this information will at least double the size of the options quotation data reported to the CAT, which will create extensive overlap in the data elements reported.

To address the issue of double reporting of quote data, the Participants filed with the Commission a request for exemptive relief from certain provisions Rule 613(c)(7) such that the CAT NMS Plan could be amended so that only options exchanges would record and report details for each Options Market Maker quote and related Reportable Event to the Central Repository, while Options Market Makers would be relieved of their obligation to record and report their quotes and related Reportable Events to the Central Repository.[4] As a condition to this exemption, each Industry Member that is an Options Market Maker shall report to the Exchange the time at which its quote in a Listed Option is sent to the Exchange (and, if applicable, any subsequent quote modification time and/or cancellation time when such modification or cancellation is originated by the Options Market Maker).

MIAX PEARL's Compliance Rule incorporates by reference Chapter XVII of the rules if its affiliate, Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC (''MIAX''), which is MIAX's Consolidated Audit Trail Compliance Rule.[5] Consistent with the above exemptive request, MIAX Rule 1703(a)(3) states that ''[e]ach Industry Member that is an Options Market Maker is not required to report to the Central Repository the Industry Member Data regarding the routing, modification or cancellation of its quotes in Listed Options.'' MIAX Rule 1703(a)(3) further provides that ''[e]ach Industry Member that is an Options Market Maker shall report to the Exchange the time at which its quote in a Listed Option is sent to the Exchange (and, if applicable, any subsequent quote modification time and/or cancellation time when such modification or cancellation is originated by the Options Market Maker).''

MIAX Rule 1703(a)(3) relieves Options Market Makers from reporting Industry Member Data to the Central Repository for quotes only and does not apply to orders. While MIAX Rule 1703(a)(3) applies to MIAX PEARL by virtue of being incorporated by reference, it does not relieve Options Market Makers from reporting Industry Member Data to the Central Repository because on MIAX PEARL, Options Market Makers submit orders and not quotes for display on the MIAX PEARL Book. On MIAX PEARL, orders submitted by Options Market Makers function like quotes on other options exchanges, including MIAX. Like quotes on MIAX, order submitted by Options Market Makers with a time-in-force of Day or GTC that are not executed upon entry are posted to the MIAX PEARL Book.

To implement the above exemption and avoid duplicative reporting, MIAX PEARL proposes to amend its own compliance rule to state that orders submitted by Options Market Makers that are posted to the MIAX PEARL Book are considered quotes for purposes of the above exemption. Specifically, the Compliance Rule would state that ''[f]or purposes of MIAX Rule 1703(a)(3), orders that are posted to the MIAX PEARL Book are considered quotes when submitted by an Options Market Maker in an assigned symbol on MIAX PEARL.''

The Exchange offers three time-in-force modifiers that Options Market Makers may attach to their orders: Day, Immediate-Or-Cancel (''IOC''), and Good-Till Cancel (''GTC'') and two interfaces of order entry, FIX and the MIAX Express Order interface (''MEO'').[6] An Options Market Maker must include a time-in-force of Day or GTC on its order for it to be posted on the MIAX PEARL Book and to meet its continuous quoting obligations under Exchange Rule 605(d).[7] The Exchange does not propose to exempt orders submitted by an Options Market Maker with a time-in-force of IOC because those orders do not post to the PEARL Book and, therefore, do not count towards its continuous quoting obligations.[8] For the above reasons, the Exchange believes it is appropriate to only include Options Market Maker

[4] Letter from Participants to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Commission, Request for Exemptive Relief from Certain Provisions of SEC Rule 613 of Regulation NMS under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (January 31, 2015), *available at https://www.catnmsplan.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/p602383.pdf. See also* Letter from Participants to Brent J. Fields, Commission, Supplement to Request for Exemptive Relief from Certain Provisions of SEC Rule 613 of Regulation NMS under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (April 3, 2015), *available at https://www.catnmsplan.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/exemptivesupplement1-allocationsreports.pdf.*

[5] *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 80256 (March 15, 2017), 82 FR 14526 (March 21, 2017) (SR–PEARL–2017–04) (Order Approving Proposed Rule Changes To Adopt Consolidated Audit Trail Compliance Rules).

[6] *See* Exchange Rule 516, Order Types. Not all order types and modifiers are available for use on each of the MEO Interface and the FIX Interface.

[7] Only the time-in-force modifiers of IOC and Day are available on the MEO interface. *See id.* (noting that ''[n]ot all order types and modifiers are available for use on each of the MEO Interface and the FIX Interface). *See also* Section 4.1.1.2 of the *MEO Interface Specification, available at https://www.miaxoptions.com/sites/default/files/page-files/MIAX_Express_Orders_MEO_v2.0.pdf* (indicating that the time—in-force instructions of IOC and Day are available on the MEO interface).

[8] *See* Interpretation and Policies .01 to Exchange Rule 605 (stating that IOC orders from Market Makers will not be counted for the continuous quoting obligations set forth in paragraph (d) of this Rule 605).

orders that are posted to the MIAX PEARL Book under this exemption because they function like quotes as they post on the MIAX PEARL Book and count towards an Options Market Maker's continuous quoting obligations.

Absent this proposed rule change, orders submitted to the Exchange would not be eligible for the exemption afforded to quotes as Options Market Makers would be required to report the details of their order and each Reportable Event to the Central Repository. This would result in the same duplicative reporting that the exemption prevents for quotes to occur for orders because both Options Market Makers and MIAX PEARL would submit virtually identical data to the Central Repository. As a result of this filing, double reporting would be avoided as only the Exchange will report all orders and Reportable Events to the Central Repository, as described above and required by the CAT NMS Plan. Options Market Makers on MIAX PEARL would be required to report to the Exchange the time at which its order in a Listed Option is sent to the Exchange and, if applicable, any subsequent order modification time and/or cancellation time when such modification or cancellation is originated by the Options Market Maker.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,[9] which requires, among other things, that the Exchange rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, and Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,[10] which requires that the Exchange rules not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate.

The exemption for quotes described above would avoid the submission to the Central Repository by exchange and Options Market Makers of virtually identical details concerning the Options Market Maker quotes to the Central Repository. This proposed rule change seeks to serve the same purpose regarding orders submitted by Options Market Makers on MIAX PEARL, dual reporting of information that will at least double the size of the options quotation data reported to the CAT and create extensive overlap in the data elements reported. As described above, on MIAX PEARL orders posted to the MIAX PEARL Book operate in an identical manner as quotes on other options exchanges.

The Exchange believes it is appropriate to limit the proposal to orders posted to the MIAX PEARL Book because those orders satisfy the Options Market Maker's two-sided quoting obligation. IOC orders would not be covered by the exemption because such orders do not post to the MIAX PEARL Book and do not count towards the Options Market Maker satisfying its two-sided quoting obligation.[11] The Exchange believes that this proposal is consistent with the Act because it would avoid the same dual reporting that was the subject of the exemption for orders and is currently covered by MIAX Rule 1703(a)(3). The proposal is consistent with this exemption from the CAT NMS Plan and is designed to assist the Exchange and its Industry Members in meeting regulatory obligations pursuant to the Plan.

In approving the Plan, the SEC noted that the Plan ''is necessary and appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors and the maintenance of fair and orderly markets, to remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of a national market system, or is otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.'' [12] As it will do for the quotes under the exemption, the Exchange will report all orders posted to the MIAX PEARL Book and Reportable Events to the Central Repository as required by the CAT NMS Plan. Options Market Makers on MIAX PEARL would be required to report to the Exchange the time at which its order in a Listed Option is sent to the Exchange and, if applicable, any subsequent order modification time and/or cancellation time when such modification or cancellation is originated by the Options Market Maker. Therefore, the Exchange believes that this proposal furthers the objectives of the Plan, as identified by the SEC, and is therefore consistent with the Act.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange notes that the proposed rule changes are consistent with the exemption from the CAT NMS Plan to avoid duplicative reporting for quotes and is designed to assist the Exchange and its Options Market Makers in meeting their regulatory obligations pursuant to the Plan. The Exchange also notes that this amendment to the Compliance Rule will apply equally to all Industry Members that are Options Market Makers. In addition, all options exchanges that accept orders and not quotes from their market makers are proposing similar amendments to their Compliance Rules. Therefore, this is not a competitive rule filing and does not impose a burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days after the date of the filing, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act [13] and Rule 19b–4(f)(6) [14] thereunder.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or

[9] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(6).

[10] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

[11] *See supra* note 8.

[12] Adopting Release, *supra* note 3 *at* 84697.

[13] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

[14] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b–4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

• Send an email *to rule-comments@sec.gov.* Please include File Number SR–PEARL–2020–04 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–PEARL–2020–04. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–PEARL–2020–04 and should be submitted on or before April 6, 2020.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.[15]

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–05238 Filed 3–13–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

[15] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–88355; File No. SR–BOX–2020–05]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; BOX Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Fee Schedule on the BOX Options Market LLC ("BOX") Facility To Amend Section V., Eligible Orders Routed to an Away Exchange

March 10, 2020.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),[1] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] notice is hereby given that on March 2, 2020, BOX Exchange LLC (the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,[3] and Rule 19b–4(f)(2) thereunder,[4] which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") a proposed rule change to amend the Fee Schedule on the BOX Options Market LLC ("BOX") facility. The text of the proposed rule change is available from the principal office of the Exchange, at the Commission's Public Reference Room and also on the Exchange's internet website at *http://boxexchange.com.*

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the Fee Schedule for trading on BOX to amend Section V., Eligible Orders Routed to an Away Exchange.

Currently, BOX uses third-party broker-dealers to route orders to other exchanges and incurs transaction fees for each order routed to and executed at an away market, as well as related costs for routing such orders. To offset the fees and costs incurred by the Exchange for orders routed to other exchanges, the Exchange charges a $0.60 per contract fee for customer accounts.[5] The Exchange is now proposing to amend Section V. of the BOX Fee Schedule. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to charge $0.85 per contract for Non-Penny Pilot Classes for customer accounts. Routing Penny Pilot Classes will continue to be charged the current $0.60 per contract fee for customer accounts. The Exchange notes that the proposed changes are in line to fees assessed at other options exchanges in the industry.[6]

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act, in general, and Section 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5)of the Act,[7] in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among BOX Participants and other persons using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers. The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

[3] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

[4] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(2).

[5] The term Customer accounts includes both Professional Customers and Public Customers.

[6] The Exchange notes that other exchanges in the industry make this distinction between routed order fees for Penny Pilot and Non-Penny Pilot Classes. *See* Miami International Securities Exchange LLC ("MIAX") Fee Schedule. On MIAX, routed orders for Priority Customers in Penny Pilot Classes are charged $0.15 or $0.65 (depending on what away market the orders are sent). Routed orders for Priority Customers in Non-Penny Pilot Classes are charged $0.15 or $1.00 (depending on what away market the orders are sent). Further, routed orders for Public Customers (that are not a Priority Customer) in Penny Pilot Classes are charged $0.65. Routed orders for Public Customers (that are not a Priority Customer) in Non-Penny Pilot Classes are charged $1.00, $1.15, or $1.25 (depending on what away market the orders are sent). *See also* Cboe Exchange, Inc. ("Cboe") Fee Schedule.

[7] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).