

*Background:* The FAA has found life-limited parts that exceeded their life-limits installed on type-certificated products during accident investigations and in routine surveillance. Although such installation of life-limited parts violates existing FAA regulations, concerns have arisen regarding the disposition of these life-limited parts when they have reached their life limits. Concerns over the use of life-limited aircraft parts led Congress to pass a law requiring the safe disposition of these parts. The Wendell H. Ford Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century (Pub. L. 106–181), added section 44725 to Title 49, United States Code.

### Current Requirements

The type design of an aircraft, aircraft engine, or propeller includes the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness (ICA), which includes the Airworthiness Limitations that describe life limits for parts installed on the product. See, for instance, 14 CFR 21.3(c) and 21.50.

In order for an aviation product to comply with its type design, the life-limited parts installed on it must fall within the acceptable ranges described in the Airworthiness Limitations section of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness. For this reason, installation of a life-limited part after the mandatory replacement time has been reached would be a violation of the maintenance regulations. Section 43.13(b) requires that maintenance work be completed so that the product worked on “will be at least equal to its original or properly altered condition.\* \* \*” The product is not at least equal to its original or properly altered condition if a life-limited part has reached or exceeded its life limit. Existing regulations require that specific markings be placed on all life-limited parts at the time of manufacture. This includes permanently marking the part with a part number (or equivalent) and a serial number (or equivalent). See 14 CFR 45.14. Persons who install parts must have adequate information to determine a part’s current life status. In particular, documentation problems may mislead an installer concerning the life remaining for a life-limited part. This rule further provides for the data needs of subsequent installers to ensure they know the life remaining on a part and prevent the part being used beyond its life limit. Existing regulations provide for records on life-limited parts that are installed on aircraft. The regulations require that each owner or operator under § 91.417(a)(2)(ii) and each certificate holder under § 121.380(a)(2)(iii) or § 135.439(a)(2)(ii),

maintain records showing “the current status of life-limited parts of each airframe, engine, propeller, rotor, and appliance.” These regulations do not govern the disposition of the part when it is removed from the aircraft. If the part is intended to be reinstalled, however, a record of the life status of the part will be needed at the time of reinstallation to show that the part is within its life limit and to create the required record under §§ 91.417(a)(2)(ii), 121.380(a)(2)(iii), or 135.439(a)(2)(ii), as applicable. Therefore, when a life-limited part is removed from an aircraft and that part is intended to be reinstalled in an aircraft, industry practice is to make a record of the part’s current status at the time of removal. Repair stations, air carriers, and fixed base operators (FBO’s) have systems in place to keep accurate records of such parts to ensure that they can reinstall the parts and have the required records to show that the part is airworthy. If the part is not intended to be reinstalled, however, under existing regulations and practice there is no record required or routinely made when a part is removed from an aircraft. The part may be at the end of its life limit and not eligible for installation. Or, the part may not have reached the end of its life limit, but is so close that reinstallation would not be practicable. In these cases industry practices vary. For instance, the part might be put in a bin and later sold as scrap metal, it might be used as a training aid, or it might be mutilated. This renewal of the OMB control action requires the continued information collection.

*Respondents:* Industry associations, air carriers, manufacturers, repair stations, representatives of employees, a foreign civil air authority, and individuals.

*Frequency:* As identified in previous rulemaking proposals for an annual frequency of information collection requirements is 625,000 procedures.

*Estimated Average Burden per Response:* 5 minutes per procedure.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden:* As identified in previous rule making estimates for this information collection the FAA refined its NPRM estimate of annual burden, and has determined that there is no more than a minimal paperwork burden on any respondent. Both the previous proposal and the final rule estimates are based on 625,000 annual removals subject to the rule. In the NPRM each removal was estimated to require record keeping and reporting requirements of five minutes duration, at \$50 per hour. Thus for the NPRM, the total annual estimated burden of Public

Law 106–181 was about \$2,600,000, borne by a total of 5,000 respondents. In the final rule this estimate is decreased by an indeterminate amount because the rule is satisfied by the—

(a) Control for safe-disposition of life limited parts through the appropriate use of record keeping systems that are known in wide use; and

(b) Physical segregation of life-limited parts that have little or no remaining capacity as airworthy parts. Many certificated operators and air agencies are known to make use of this method of control.

While a respondent may find it useful to satisfy the rule by one or more of the remaining options, the FAA believes that neither case above is likely to result in an additional Paperwork Reduction Act burden.

Further, the option of mutilation is likely to reduce the NPRM estimate. This option may include the sale of the mutilated part as scrap metal. Such a sale would offset some of all of any additional cost of this option. Because FAA has not attempted to determine the preference ranking by respondents of the options permitted under this rule, it has no basis by which to estimate the amount the choice of these options will decrease the NPRM estimate. Thus, the NPRM estimate should be considered to be a ceiling cost.

Issued in Washington DC on March 5, 2020.

**David A. Hoynq,**

*Aviation Safety Inspector—LLP SME, Air Carrier Branch/Aircraft Maintenance Division/Safety Standards/Flight Standards Service.*

[FR Doc. 2020–05179 Filed 3–12–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### Safety Oversight and Certification Advisory Committee; Meeting

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Safety Oversight and Certification Advisory Committee (SOCAC) meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces a meeting of the SOCAC.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on April 16, 2020, from 10 a.m. to 3 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time.

Requests to attend the meeting must be received by March 30, 2020.

Requests for accommodations to a disability must be received by March 30, 2020.

Requests to speak during the meeting must submit a written copy of their remarks to the Designated Federal Officer (DFO) by March 30, 2020.

Requests to submit written materials to be reviewed during the meeting must be received no later than March 30, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591. Information on the committee and copies of the meeting minutes will be available on the FAA Committee website at [https://www.faa.gov/regulations\\_policies/rulemaking/committees/documents/](https://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/rulemaking/committees/documents/).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thuy H. Cooper, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591, telephone (202) 267-4191; fax (202) 267-5075; email [9-awa-arm-socac@faa.gov](mailto:9-awa-arm-socac@faa.gov). Any committee related request should be sent to the person listed in this section.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

The SOCAC was created under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), in accordance with the FAA Reauthorization Act of 2018, Public Law 115-254, to provide advice to the Secretary on policy-level issues facing the aviation community that are related to FAA safety oversight and certification programs and activities.

**II. Agenda**

At the meeting, the agenda will cover the following topics:

- Review and Acceptance of November 2019 Minutes
- Governance
- Aviation Rulemaking Committee Activities
- Certification Process

Additional information will be posted on the committee's website listed in the **ADDRESSES** section at least one week in advance of the meeting.

**III. Public Participation**

The meeting will be open to the public on a first-come, first served basis, as space is limited. Please confirm your attendance with the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section no later than March 30, 2020. Please provide the following information: Full legal name, country of citizenship, and name of your industry association, or applicable affiliation. If you are attending as a public citizen, please indicate so.

For persons participating by telephone, please contact the person

listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by email or phone for the teleconference call-in number and passcode. Callers are responsible for paying long-distance charges.

The U.S. Department of Transportation is committed to providing equal access to this meeting for all participants. If you need alternative formats or services because of a disability, such as sign language, interpretation, or other ancillary aids, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by March 30, 2020.

There will be 15 minutes allotted for oral comments from members of the public joining the meeting. To accommodate as many speakers as possible, the time for each commenter may be limited. Individuals wishing to reserve speaking time during the meeting must submit a request at the time of registration, as well as the name, address, and organizational affiliation of the proposed speaker. If the number of registrants requesting to make statements is greater than can be reasonably accommodated during the meeting, the FAA Office of Rulemaking may conduct a lottery to determine the speakers. Speakers are requested to submit a written copy of their prepared remarks for inclusion in the meeting records and for circulation to SOCAC members. All prepared remarks submitted on time will be accepted and considered as part of the record. Any member of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

The public may present written statements to the SOCAC by providing 25 copies to the Designated Federal Officer, by sending the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section, or by bringing the copies to the meeting.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 9, 2020.

**James M. Crotty,**

*Acting Deputy Executive Director, Office of Rulemaking.*

[FR Doc. 2020-05206 Filed 3-12-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Aviation Administration**

[Docket No. FAA-2020-0257]

**Agency Information Collection Activities: Requests for Comments; Clearance of a New Approval of Information Collection: Limited Recreational Unmanned Aircraft Operation Applications**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, FAA invites public comments about our intention to request Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for a new information collection. The collection involves information related to recreational flying under the Exception for Limited Recreational Operations of Unmanned Aircraft. The information collected will be used to recognize Community Based Organizations (CBOs), administer an aeronautical knowledge and safety test, establish fixed flying sites, approve standards and limitations for Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) weighing more than 55 pounds, and designate FAA Recognized Identification Areas (FRIAs).

**DATES:** Written comments should be submitted by May 12, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** Please send written comments:

*By Electronic Docket:*  
[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) (Enter docket number into search field).

*By mail:* Dwayne C. Morris, AFS-820, 800 Independence Ave. SW, Washington, DC 20591.

*By email:* [chris.morris@faa.gov](mailto:chris.morris@faa.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kevin Morris by email at: [kevin.morris@faa.gov](mailto:kevin.morris@faa.gov); phone: (202) 267-1078.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Public Comments Invited:* You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for FAA's performance; (b) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (c) ways for FAA to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (d) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB's clearance of this information collection.

*OMB Control Number:* 2120-XXXX.