

the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS. An electronically-filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>14</sup> Hearing requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.<sup>15</sup>

Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the publication date of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

#### Assessment Rates

Upon completion of the administrative review, Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.<sup>16</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), because ZA Sea Foods reported the entered value for all of its U.S. sales, we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c), or an importer-specific rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For the companies which were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the cash deposit rate calculated for ZA Sea Foods. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.<sup>17</sup>

Further, if we continue to find in the final results that Razban had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR, we will instruct CBP to

liquidate any suspended entries that entered under its antidumping duty case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each specific company listed above will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and therefore *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not participating in this review, the cash deposit will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 10.17 percent, the all-others rate made effective by the LTFV investigation.<sup>18</sup> These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 27, 2020.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
- V. Currency Conversion
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-04625 Filed 3-5-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-583-852]

#### Non-Oriented Electrical Steel From Taiwan: Final Results of the Expedited Five-Year Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of this expedited sunset review, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of this countervailing duty (CVD) order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**DATES:** Applicable March 6, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Benjamin Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2181.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On November 1, 2019, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the *Order*,<sup>1</sup> in accordance with section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.<sup>2</sup> On November 15, 2019, Commerce received a notice of intent to participate from AK Steel Corporation (AK Steel) (hereinafter

<sup>1</sup> See *Notice of Countervailing Duty Order: Non-Oriented Electrical Steel from Taiwan*, 79 FR 61602 (October 14, 2014) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 84 FR 58687 (November 1, 2019).

<sup>14</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>15</sup> *Id.*

<sup>16</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>17</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

<sup>18</sup> See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sale at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India*, 70 FR 5147 (February 1, 2005).

referred to as the Domestic Producer), in which the Domestic Producer claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a manufacturer of the domestic like product.<sup>3</sup> On November 27, 2019, the Domestic Producer submitted a substantive response within the 30-day deadline specified under 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).<sup>4</sup> On November 21, 2019, Commerce notified the United States International Trade Commission (ITC) of its receipt of the Domestic Producer's substantive response.<sup>5</sup> We received no substantive response from any other domestic or interested party in this proceeding, nor was a hearing requested. On December 13, 2019, Commerce notified the ITC that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from respondent interested parties.<sup>6</sup> As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is Non-Oriented Electrical Steel (NOES)

which includes cold-rolled, flat-rolled, alloy steel products, whether or not in coils, regardless of width, having an actual thickness of 0.20 mm or more, in which the core loss is substantially equal in any direction of magnetization in the plane of the material. The merchandise subject to the order is currently classifiable under items 7225.19.0000, 7226.19.1000, and 7226.19.9000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description is dispositive. For a full description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>7</sup>

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum are the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy and the net countervailable subsidy rates likely to prevail if this order were revoked. The Issues and Decision

Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as an Appendix to this notice. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Final Results of Sunset Review**

Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the rates listed below:

| Producer/exporter                                | Net countervailable subsidy (percent) |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Leicong Industrial Company, Ltd. (Leicong) ..... | 17.12                                 |
| All Others .....                                 | 8.61                                  |

**Administrative Protective Order (APO)**

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

**Notification to Interested Parties**

This five-year (sunset) review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: March 2, 2020.  
**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**  
*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

**Appendix**

**List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum**

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. History of the Order
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
  - 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of a Countervailable Subsidy
  - 2. Net Countervailable Subsidy Likely to Prevail
  - 3. Nature of the Subsidies
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-04626 Filed 3-5-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

Domestic Interested Party Substantive Response," dated November 27, 2019.

<sup>5</sup> See Commerce's Letter, "20-Day Letter: Sunset Reviews Initiated on November 1, 2019," dated November 21, 2019.

<sup>6</sup> See Commerce's Letter, "50-Day Letter: Sunset Reviews Initiated on November 1, 2019," dated December 13, 2019.

<sup>3</sup> See Domestic Producer's Letter, "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review Of Countervailing Duty Order On Non-Oriented Electrical Steel from Taiwan: Domestic Interested Party Notice Of Intent To Participate," dated November 15, 2019.

<sup>4</sup> See Domestic Producer's Letter, "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Countervailing Duty Order on Non-Oriented Electrical Steel from Taiwan:

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**  
**[C-580-837]**

**Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Calendar Year 2018**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Hyundai Steel Co. (Hyundai Steel) and Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. (DSM), exporters/producers of certain cut-to-length plate from the Republic of Korea (Korea), received *de minimis* net subsidy rates during the period of review (POR)

<sup>7</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty (CVD) Order on Non-Oriented Electrical Steel (NOES) from Taiwan," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).