

such as sign language interpretation, should contact the Staff Director for the Board.

**Public Disclosure of Comments:** Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. Appendix 2.

**Alma Ripps,**

*Chief, Office of Policy.*

[FR Doc. 2020-03658 Filed 2-24-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-52-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1022 (Third Review)]

### Refined Brown Aluminum Oxide From China

#### Determination

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on refined brown aluminum oxide from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

#### Background

The Commission instituted this review on September 3, 2019 (84 FR 46047) and determined on December 9, 2019 that it would conduct an expedited review (85 FR 3416, January 21, 2020).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on February 20, 2020. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5020 (February 2020), entitled *Refined Brown Aluminum Oxide from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-1022 (Third Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 20, 2020.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2020-03755 Filed 2-24-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1118]

### Certain Movable Barrier Operator Systems and Components Thereof; Commission Determination To Review a Final Initial Determination in Part Finding No Violation of Section 337 and Order No. 38 Granting Summary Determination That the Economic Prong Has Been Satisfied; Request for Written Submissions on the Issues Under Review and on Remedy, Public Interest, and Bonding

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (the “Commission”) has determined to review in part the final Initial Determination (“ID”) issued in this case as well as Order No. 38 granting summary determination that the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement has been satisfied. The Commission requests briefing from the parties on the issues under review. The Commission also requests written submissions from the parties, interested government agencies, and interested persons on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carl P. Bretscher, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2382. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s Electronic Docket Information System (“EDIS”) (<https://edis.usitc.gov>). Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 11, 2018, the Commission instituted the present investigation based on a complaint and supplement thereto filed by The Chamberlain Group, Inc. (“Chamberlain”) of Oak Brook, Illinois. 83 FR 27020-21 (June 11, 2018). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges a violation of 19 U.S.C. 1337, as amended (“Section 337”), in the importation, sale for importation, or sale in the United States after importation of certain movable barrier operator systems that purportedly infringe one or more of the asserted claims of Chamberlain’s U.S. Patent Nos. 8,587,404 (“the ‘404 patent”); 7,755,223 (“the ‘223 patent”); and 6,741,052 (“the ‘052 patent”). *Id.* The Commission has partially terminated the investigation with respect to certain patent claims withdrawn by Chamberlain. *See* Order No. 16 (Feb. 5, 2019), *not rev’d*, Comm’n Notice (March 6, 2019); Order No. 27 (June 7, 2019), *not rev’d*, Comm’n Notice (June 27, 2019); Order No. 31 (July 30, 2019), *not rev’d*, Comm’n Notice (Aug. 19, 2019); Order No. 32 (Sept. 27, 2019), *not rev’d*, Comm’n Notice (Oct. 17, 2019). The only asserted claims still at issue are claim 11 of the ‘404 patent, claims 1 and 21 of the ‘223 patent, and claim 1 of the ‘052 patent.

The Commission’s notice of investigation named Nortek Security & Control, LLC of Carlsbad, CA; Nortek, Inc. of Providence, RI; and GTO Access Systems, LLC of Tallahassee, FL (collectively, “Nortek”) as respondents. 83 FR at 270721. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as a party to this investigation. *See id.*

The parties filed their *Markman* briefs on November 13, 2018, and a revised claim construction chart on February 8, 2019. On June 5, 2019, the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) issued a *Markman* order (Order No. 25) construing the claim terms in dispute.

On December 12, 2018, Chamberlain filed a motion for summary determination, pursuant to 19 CFR 210.18(a), that it has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement. Nortek filed a response opposing the motion on February 11, 2019. The ALJ held a teleconference with the parties on May 31, 2019. On June 6, 2019, the ALJ issued a notice advising the parties that the motion would be granted and a formal written order would be issued later. Order No. 26 (June 6, 2019).

The ALJ held a prehearing conference and evidentiary hearing on the issues in dispute on June 10-14, 2019. The parties filed their initial post-hearing briefs on July 11, 2019, and their reply briefs on August 16, 2019. On October

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).